# SEED YIELD AND SEED YIELD COMPONENTS VARIABILITY IN THE HELALY POPULATION OF BARSEEM CLOVER

"Trifolium alexandrinum, L"

M. Abd EL- Sattar Ahmed<sup>(1)</sup>, G.A.A Ramadan<sup>(2)</sup> and A.K.S.M Badawy<sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Crop Sci. Dept., Fac. Agric. (EL- Shatby). Alex. Univ., Egypt.

(2) Forage Research Section, Agric. Res. Cent., Minst. Agric., Sakha, Egypt.

(Received: Mar. 5, 2013)

ABSTRACT: Variability in Helaly barseem gene pool had determined through driving two types of families. These were half- sib (H.S) and first selfing generation ( $S_1$ ). Those two types of families had evaluated in two different experiments. In most seed yield and seed yield component characters, among families mean squares were of larger magnitude for S1than halfsib families, meanwhile the within families mean squares were generally of opposite order. Additive genetic variance estimates ( $\sigma^2 A$ ) were positive for all studied seed yield and seed yield component characters. In addition, the ratio of  $\sigma^2 A$  /  $\sigma^2 G$ , indicated that additive genetic variance was more effective in controlling seed yield and seed yield components. Reduction in mean values associated with S<sub>1</sub> families relative to H.S families reached, 5.38, 1.73, 4.11, 6.78, 3.64 and 3.38% for seed yield, seeds/inflorescence, flowers/ inflorescence, % seed setting, seed index and inflorescence / plant, respectively. Genotypic variation among half- sib families were less than 20% of the studied seed yield and seed yield component mean values, except for seed setting percentage, that had amounted to 23% of the character mean value. While, genotypic variations among S<sub>4</sub> families were more than 20% of the recorded mean values. Phenotypic coefficients of variation for seed yield and seeds/ inflorescence were of larger magnitude for estimates from S<sub>1</sub>families, meanwhile, were about the same for the rest of the studied characters, irrespective of the type of family. Seed yield expressed the least estimate of heritability (0.55 and 0.62) for H.S and S<sub>4</sub> families, respectively. This might indicate the influence of environment in the expression of that character. Expected gain from selection in units was the lowest for seed index (0.549 and 0.477g/cycle) for H.S and S₁family selection, respectively. Whereas, gain was the highest for the number of flowers / inflorescence (14.24 and 15.09 flowers) for H.S and S<sub>1</sub> family selection, respectively. Relative to the overall mean of character, gain from H.S selection ranged between 26.41% for percent seed setting to 18.12% for number of seeds/ inflorescence. While, gain percent from S1 family selection ranged between 16.60% for seed index to 29.36 % for number of seeds/inflorescence.

**Key words:** Barseem clover, genotypic and phenotypic variation, heritability, gain from selection, seed yield, seed yield components.

#### INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, forages are the backbone of sustainable agriculture and environmental regeneration. Leguminous forages play a major role in providing high quality feed for the economic production of meat and milk. Also, it is important in soil conservation and environmental protection, as they add organic matter and fixed nitrogen.

Barseem clover "Trifolium alexandrinum, L." is an annual legume, well adapted to Mediterranean, central Europe. India and Southern USA, for soiling, hay production and grazing. While the heritability of a population provides a measure of its genetic potential to response to a generation of selection, the magnitude of h²only provides information on the potential over a few generations. As allele frequencies change, so does heritability. A population showing a high h² value may have heritability erode to zero very quickly, while another population with a much smaller h² value may actually have heritability increase during selection as rare favorable alleles become more frequent. Hence, heritability is a completely unreliable predictor for long-term response although it is generally a good to excellent

predictor of short-term response. Large genetic variability has been found for seed yield and seed yield components. Recorded estimates for seed yield heritability reached 0.63 (Bakheit, 1989-b), 0.97 (Ahmed, 1992), 0.50 (Martiniello and Lannucci, 1998) and 0.595 (Rajab, 2010). Farid et al, (1972) found that seed setting percentage was responsible for most of seed yield variations rather than 1000 seed weight. Phenotypic variation in seed yield amounted to 27% of obtained mean yield (Ahmed, 1992), 19.0% (Martiniello and Lannucci, 1998), 27.3%(Ahmed, 2000) and 12.7% (Radv. 2008).

Breeding of cross-pollinated, sexual, forages generally aims at the development of superior synthetic cultivars and improved heterogeneous populations. Since seed yield, and most seed yield components traits are quantitatively inherited, selection methods have commonly been to improve breeding populations. Improved breeding populations can be released as an openpollinated cultivar or be a source of elite parents for synthetic cultivars.

Progeny testing procedures, including half-sibs and selfed generations, which measure general combining ability for synthetic cultivar development, were discussed by Allard (1960). Quantitative genetic information regarding the source populations is needed to plan effective selection programs. It is necessary to obtain information on the extent and nature of genetic variation, heritability, genotype x environment interactions, correlations, and prediction of genetic advance by selection discussed by Dudley and (1969). Knowledge of the additive genetic variance in relationship to the total genetic variance is important in the breeding of forage species since most breeding methods available make little or no use of the non-additive genetic variance in the source populations (Hill 1977)

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the genetic variability for characters related to seed yield and seed yield components as a step for estimating the potential toward developing improved population.

# MATERIALS AND MATHODS Experimental site

The recent study had carried out at Sakha experimental station, Kafr ElSheikh, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.

#### Parental materials

The base population comprised 30 farmers and market seed lots of *Helaly* multi-cut barseem clover. In 2007 – 2008 winter season, seeds of the bas population had sown in 300 rows , 20 cm apart and 4.0 m long, at the rate of 36 Kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.9 g.row<sup>-1</sup>). Cultural practices had applied as recommended for optimum barseem productivity. Four cuts were taken before adjusting spacing within row to 10 cm among plants through uprooting small-tagged plants.

#### **Families formulation**

In 2007-2008, 300 plants were visually selected before flowering, depending on crown size and general performance. Those selected plants were marked by wood sticks tagged (OP) to indicate the collection of their open pollinated seeds. The highest seed producing 100 plants were saved as half-sib families. S<sub>1</sub>- families had developed during 2007 – 2008 season, by isolating 300 plants before flowering by fine translucent cloth. Those plants were marked by wood sticks tagged S<sub>1</sub>. Hand tripping had applied to enhance seed setting. S<sub>1</sub> seeds had harvested from each selfed plant. separately, to represent S<sub>1</sub>- family. One hundred S<sub>1</sub>- families, with sufficient selfed seeds, were saved.

#### **Families evaluation**

In 2008- 2009 season, the 100 half-sib families were evaluated in five sets, each with twenty Families. Each set was treated as a randomized complete black experiment to evaluate the twenty families with two blocks. One – row plots of 2 m length and 20 cm apart were used. Seeding rate was 36 Kg. ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.45 g. plot<sup>-1</sup>). Each plot was guarded by two rows planted with base

population seeds. Seed yield, determined from the middle meter of each plot (g.plot<sup>-1</sup>). Seed yield components, i.e.; number of heads plant, number of flowers head, number of seeds head and percent seed setting, were determined from five plants in each plot.

S¹- family's evaluation had carried-out during 2008/2009 season in a similar manner to half- sib family's evaluation, where, five sets each of twenty families with two replicates were used. The plot size was 2 m long and 20 cm apart, seeded at the rat of 36 Kg. ha⁻¹ (1.45 g. plot⁻¹). Each plot was guarded by two rows planted with base population seeds.

Data from half- sib and S<sub>1</sub>- families statistically evaluation had analyzed according to the following model: measured character= sets, reps sets, families/sets and combined error, using Mstat-c program (1980). Variance components for families  $(\sigma_F^2)$  and error  $(\sigma_e^2)$  were estimated from the analysis of variance. Values of  $(\sigma^2)$  and (h<sup>2</sup>) calculated according to Fehr (1987) had used to estimate the expected genetic advance from selection. It had assumed that the gene frequency for "Helaly" population would be near to one- half, and consequently, the estimates of variance components, for the two types of families, would be used to calculate ( $\sigma^2$ <sub>A</sub>) as follows (Hallauer and Miranda, 1988):

$$\sigma^{2}\text{H.s} = \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{2} \text{ A}$$

$$\sigma^{2}\text{S}_{1} = (1) (\sigma^{2}\text{A} + \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{2} \text{ D})$$

The estimates of  $\sigma^2 A$  and  $\sigma^2 D$  had used for estimating the expected genetic advance from selecting the superior 20 % families in each family type, when appropriate, as indicated by Fehr (1987).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSION

The base population for the recent study comprised from 30 farmers and market seed- Lots of *Helaly* multi- cut barseem clover "*Trifolium alexandrinum*,L.". Two types of families had formulated, as a step

before selection for high seed yielding ability. Those were half- sib (H.S Families) and the first generation of self pollination (S<sub>1</sub> Families). Variability in the base population gene pool had estimated depending on variance components of the aforementioned families. Partioning of variance components had used to estimate phenotypic, genotypic and environmental variances. The estimates of heritability and phenotypic variance had used to calculate the expected genetic advance from selecting the superior 20% families in each selection scheme, when appropriate.

The pertinent mean squares analysis of variance over sets and estimates of family's variance component for each of half- sib (H.S) and first selfing generation (s<sub>1</sub>) families derived from Helaly barseem regarding seed yield and seed yield components had presented in Table1. The analysis revealed highly significant effects (P ≥ 0.01) of families/ sets for seed yield (g/ m<sup>2</sup>) and seed yield components (seeds/ inflorescence, flowers/ inflorescence, seed setting %, seed index and number of inflorescences / plant). In most seed yield and seed yield components traits, among families' mean squares were of larger magnitude for S<sub>1</sub> families than half- sib families. Meanwhile the within families mean squares were generally, of opposite order.

Family's variance component for S<sub>1</sub> families was 1.08, 2.01, 1.03, 0.96, 0.74 and 1.56 times the corresponding values for halfsib (H.S) families. Genter and Alexander (1962) Showed that, variation among S<sub>1</sub> families was of higher magnitude than their test crosses. Lindsey et al., (1962), also found that, variance component for S<sub>1</sub> family was four times the H.S family variance. Mota (1975) and Goulas and Lonnquist (1976) found that, the genetic variance, among S<sub>1</sub> lines, was three times that among H.S families. Ahmed (2006-a) recorded that, variance component for S<sub>1</sub> families was between 1.12 and 3.7 times corresponding values for half- sib families of Khadarwi barseem clover.

Table (1): Pertinent mean squares from analysis of variance and estimates of family's variance components for half- sib (H.S) and first selfing generation (S<sub>1</sub>) Families of *Helaly* barseem seed yield and seed yield components.

		Mean	$\sigma^2$ f				
Character	Families	s / Sets	Ei	ror			
	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	
Seed yield (g /0.1m <sup>2</sup> )	14.42**	14.17**	6.353	5.429	4.034	4.372	
Seeds/inflorescence	83.84**	100.8**	42.07	21.05	19.88	39.89	
Flowers / inflorescence	489.4**	460.7**	171.12	131.6	159.1	164.5	
Seed setting %	322.3**	283.2**	110.4	79.78	105.9	101.7	
Seed index	0.5940**	0.4335**	0.1664	0.1159	0.2138	0.1588	
Inflorescences / plant	70.72**	62.31**	27.41	19.47	13.71	21.42	

<sup>\*\*:</sup> Significance at 0.01 level

Hallauer and Miranda (1988) Falconer (1995), showed that the genetic variance among various types of families was the expectation of  $1/4 \sigma^2_A$  for half- sib (H.S) families and  $\sigma^2 A$  + 1/4  $\sigma^2_D$  for S<sub>1</sub> families, where;  $\sigma^2_A$  and  $\sigma^2_D$  denotes additive and dominance effects. respectively. The additive and dominance components genetic variance were calculated for the base population, depending upon the two types of evaluated from the above mentioned equations. The results had presented in Table 2.The estimates of additive variance (σ<sup>2</sup><sub>A</sub>) were positive for all studied seed yield and seed yield component characters. While, the estimates of dominance variance  $(\sigma^2_D)$  were negative for all characters, The ratio of  $\sigma^2_A/\sigma^2_G$  indicated that additive genetic variance was more effective in controlling both seed yield and seed yield component characters. Weyhrich et al, (1998) stated that, if additive genetic variance was of greater importance in a population dominance than variance. selection among inbred - progeny might be expected to be superior to other methods under most genetic situations.

Estimates of minimum mean maximum values for Helalv barseem's seed yield and seed yield components derived from half- sib (H.S) and first- selfing generation (S<sub>1</sub>) had summarized in Table 3. The mange (maximum- minimum) values obtained from half- sib families were of lower magnitude relative to the corresponding values obtained from S₁families for each studied seed yield and seed yield component characters. The estimated figures were, 1.15 vs. 4.0, 9.05 vs. 14.6, 6.7 vs. 11.55, 14.3 vs. 14.85, 0.22 vs. 0.30 and 4.75 vs. 5.25 for H.S vs. S<sub>1</sub> families in seed yield (g/0.1m<sup>2</sup>), seed index and number of inflorescence /plant, respectively. Also, the mean values recorded from S<sub>1</sub> families were smaller than the corresponding values for half- sib families in all seed yield and seed yield component characters Reduction in mean values associated with S1 families relative to half-sib families reached 15.38, 1.73. 4.11. 6.78. 3.64 and 3.38% for seed yield (g/0.1 m<sup>2</sup>), seeds/ inflorescence, flowers/ inflorescence, % seed setting, seed plant. index and inflorescences/ respectively. These results are in accordance with those reported by Fehr (1987) and Hallauer and Miranda (1988).

 $<sup>\</sup>sigma^2 f$ ; Family's variance component

Table (2): Estimates of additive  $(\sigma^2_A)$  and dominance  $(\sigma^2_D)$  variances from the two types of *Helaly* barseem families for seed yield and seed yield component characters.

Character	$\sigma^2_A$	$\sigma^2_{ D}$	$\sigma^2_A / \sigma^2_G$
Seed yield (g/ 0.1 m <sup>2</sup> )	16.14	- 51.07	1
Seed / inflorescence	79.52	- 158.5	1
Flowers / inflorescence	636.4	- 1889.6	1
Seed setting %	406.8	- 1220.4	1
Seed index	0.8552	- 2.786	1
Inflorescences	87.00	- 262.3	1

Table (3): Estimates of minimum, mean and maximum values, for *Helaly* barseem's seed yield and seed yield components.

Character	Minimum		Me	an	Maximum		
	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	
Seed yield (g /0.1m <sup>2</sup> )	7.90	7.00	11.28	9.545	9.050	11.00	
Seeds/ inflorescence	21.00	20.40	27.21	26.74	30.05	35.00	
Flowers / inflorescence	61.00	58.20	66.47	63.74	67.70	69.75	
Seed setting %	32.30	31.95	44.23	41.23	46.95	46.80	
Seed index	2.775	2.680	2.775	2.674	2.995	2.980	
Inflorescences / plant	24.25	25.25	28.07	27.12	29.00	30.50	

 $(\sigma_{g}^2)$ of Estimates genotypic environmental  $(\sigma_e^2)$  and phenotypic  $(\sigma_p^2)$ variances along with coefficient of variation at genotypic (G.C.V) and phenotypic (P.C.V) levels for Helaly barseem seed yield and seed yield components, derived from halfsib and S<sub>1</sub> families had expressed in Table 4. Genotypic variance among S₁Families was larger in magnitude than the respective value for half- sib families in seed yield and seed yield, number of seeds/inflorescence number flowers/inflorescence. of within families Meanwhile, variance (environmental variance  $(\sigma_e^2)$  had reduced in S₁families relative to half- sib families in each measured character. These finding matches true with those reported by Fehr (1987), Hallauer and Miranda (1988), Falconer (1995) and Ahmed (2006-b).

Values of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, reflects the variation in terms of genetic or phenotypic as a percentage of the character mean. Genotypic variation among half- sib families

were less than 20% of the studied seed yield and seed yield component characters mean values, except for seed setting percentage, that had genotypic variation amount to 23% of the character mean value. While, genotypic variations among S<sub>1</sub>families were more than 20% of the recorded mean values for most seed yield and seed yield component characters, except for seed index and number of inflorescences / plant, that showed, genotypic variation less than 20% of the characters respective mean values. Phenotypic coefficients of variation were comparable when derived from halfsib and S<sub>1</sub> families, for seed yield and seeds/ inflorescence, with larger magnitude for estimates from S<sub>1</sub>families. Meanwhile, phenotypic coefficients of variation were about the same for the rest of the studied characters, irrespective of the type of family. (Table 4). The recent results are in accordance to those reported by Obilana and Hallauer (1974), Lothrop et al, (1985) and million et al, (2012).

Table (4): Estimates of variance components and coefficient of variation at genotypic (G.C.V) and phenotypic (P.C.V) levels for *Helaly* barseem seed yield and seed

yield components.

Jiela componente.										
Character	$\sigma^2_{g}$		$\sigma^2_{\ e}$		$\sigma^2_{\ ph}$		G.C.V		P.C.V	
	H.s	S <sub>1</sub>	H.s	S <sub>1</sub>	H.s	S <sub>1</sub>	H.s	S <sub>1</sub>	H.s	S <sub>1</sub>
Seed yield (g /0.1m <sup>2</sup> )	4.034	4.372	3.177	2.715	7.211	7.087	17.81	21.91	23.81	27.89
Seeds/inflorescence	19. 88	39.89	12.04	10.53	31.92	50.42	16.39	23.62	20.76	26.55
Flowers / inflorescence	159.1	164.5	85.56	65.8	244.7	230.3	18.97	20.12	23.53	23.81
Seed setting %	105.9	101.7	55.20	39.89	161.1	141.6	23.27	24.46	28.70	28.86
Seed index	0.2138	0.1588	0.0832	0.0580	0.2970	0.2168	15.44	13.87	18.20	16.20
Inflorescences / plant	21.65	21.42	13.61	9.735	35.36	31.16	16.58	17.07	21.18	20.58

Heritability estimates for seed yield and seed yield components (h<sup>2</sup>) had presented in (table 5). Estimates were higher in magnitude when calculated from first selfing generation (S<sub>1</sub>) compared to half- sib families, for all studied characters. Seed yield expressed the least estimate of heritability among all studied characters in each family type (0.55 and 0.62) for half- sib and S<sub>1</sub>- families, respectively. This might indicate the influence of environment in the expression of that character. Meanwhile, seed yield component characters had higher genetic control expressed on heritability estimates from the two studied types of present results are families. The accordance with the results of weyhrich et, al. (1998), where heritability estimates ranged from 17.1 % from half- sibs to 86.7% from S<sub>2</sub> - progeny. Ahmed (2006-a) found that heritability estimates were ascending from half- sib to S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>2</sub> families. In the mea n line, high estimates of heritability ranged from 84.1 to 95.2 were reported by Bakheit and Mahdy (1988), Bakheit (1989-a), Ahmed (1992) and Ahmed (2000).

Expected genetic advance from selecting the superior 20% families, was higher for  $S_1$  relative to half- sib families, for most studied seed yield and seed yield component characters. Expected gain from selection in units was the lowest for seed index (0.549 and 0.477 g/ cycle) for half- sib and  $S_1$  family selection, respectively. Whereas, gain

was the highest for the number of flowers/ inflorescence (14.24 and 15.09 flowers) for half- sib and  $S_1$  families' selection, respectively. Relative to the overall mean of character, gain from selecting half- sib families ranged between 26.41% for percent seed setting to 18.12% for number of seeds/ inflorescence. While gain percent from  $S_1$  family selection ranged between 16.60% for seed index to 29.36% for number of seeds/ inflorescence.

The gain from selection influenced, largely, by the presence of additive variation. The magnitude of genetic advance depends on the magnitude of heritability and some other factors such as selection differential. Accordingly, the expected genetic advance from selection should be higher for S<sub>1</sub> than half- sib families for the studied seed yield and seed yield component characters. The expected advance from selecting S<sub>1</sub> families was about 1.07 times that from half- sib families, as an average for all the studied seed yield and seed yield component characters. Paradoxically, half- sib family selection was of superior advance to S<sub>1</sub> family selection in seed index and number of inflorescences/ plant. The superiority of S<sub>1</sub> to half- sib family selection was attributed to the amount of additive genetic variance within each type of families. These results are in agreement with the finding of Hallauer and Miranda (1988), Weyhrich et al. (1998), Ahmed (2006-a) and (2006-b).

Table (5): Estimates of heritability (h<sup>2</sup>), genetic advance in absolute (GA) and percent of mean (GA %) for *Helaly* barseem seed yield and seed yield components.

mean (OA 70) for treaty barseem seed yield and seed yield components.									
Character	h <sup>2</sup>		G	βA	GA%				
	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>	H.S	S <sub>1</sub>			
Seed yield (g /0.1m <sup>2</sup> )	0.5594	0.6200	2.110	2.31	18.71	24.20			
Seeds/inflorescence	0.6228	0.7900	4.930	7.85	18.12	29.36			
Flowers / inflorescence	0.6502	0.7100	14.24	15.09	21.42	23.67			
Seed setting %	0.6574	0.7133	11.68	11.87	26.41	28.79			
Seed index	0.7199	0.7325	0.5490	0.4770	18.33	16.60			
Inflorescences / plant	0.6127	0.6874	16.58	5.370	22.74	19.80			

H.S: half- sib families.

S<sub>1</sub>: First selfing generation families.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M. Abd EL- Sattar (1992). Improvement of barseem clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*,L.) by different methods of selection. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Alex. Univ., Egypt.
- Ahmed, M. Abd EL- Sattar (2000). Comparison of single trait with multiple trait selection in barseem clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*,L.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25: 4601- 4613.
- Ahmed, M. Abd EL- Sattar. (2006a). Response to three methods of recurrent selection in a Khadarawi barseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*,L.) population. Alex. J. Agric Res. 51: 13- 23.
- Ahmed, M. Abd EL- Sattar (2006b). Variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for two populations of multi- cut barseem clover. Alex. J. Agric. Res. 51: 63- 72.
- Allard,R.W. (1960): Principles of Plant Breeding. New York:J.Wiley.
- Bakheit, R.B. and E.E. Mahdy (1988). Improving barseem clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum*,L.) through pedigree selection among and within farmer's seed lots. Assiut J. Agric Sci. 19: 267: 278.
- Bakheit, B.R. (1989a). The effect of recurrent selection and performance of seed synthetics in berseem clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.). Forage Res. 15: 1-7.
- Bakheit, B.R. (1989b). Selection for seed yield production of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) Cv. *Fahl.* Plant Breeding 103: 278-285.

- Dudley, J.W. and R.H. Moll (1969). Interpretation and use of estimates of heritability and genetic variances in plant breeding. Crop Sci.9, 257-262.
- Falconer, D.S. (1995). Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. The Ronald press Co., New York, USA.
- Farid, N.I., L.F. Tanash and A.M. Moustafa (1972). Comparative study of some imported varieties of Trifolium alexandrinum L., compared with the local variety Giza-1 and the effect of number of cuttings on their seed yield. Agric. Res .Rev.50:89-106.
- Fehr, W.R. (1987). Principles of Cultivar Development. Vol.1. Mc Millian Pub. Co. N.y. USA.
- Genter, C.F. and M.W. Alexander (1962). Comparative performance of S₁progenies and testcrosses of corn. Crop Sci. 2: 516-519.
- Goulas, C.K. and J.H. Lonnquist. (1976). Combined half-sib and S₁family selection in a maize composite population. Crop. Sci. 16:461-464.
- Martiniello, P. and A. lannucci (1998).
  Analysis of seed yield components in four
  Mediterranean annual clovers. Field
  Crops Research, 55(3): 235-243.
- Hallauer, A.R. and J.B. Miranda (1988).

  Quantitative Genetics in Maize Breeding.

  2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Iowa State Univ. Press Ames.
  Iowa, USA.
- Hill, R.R. (1977). Quantitative genetics of forages: potentials and pitfalls. Madison, Wisconsin: Am. Soc. of Agron. bstracts 1977.

- Lamkey, K.R. and A.R. Hallauer (1987). Heritability estimated from recurrent selection experiments in maize. Maydica 32: 61-78.
- Lindsey, M.F., J.H. Lonnquist and C.O. Gardner (1962). Estimates of genetic variance in open-pollinated varieties of cornbelt corn. Crop Sci. 2:105-108.
- Lothrop, J.E., R.E. Atkins and O.S. Smith (1985). Variability yield and yield components in IAPIR grain sorghum random- mating population. I. Means, Variance components and heritabilities. Crop Sci. 25: 235-244
- Million, F. and Habtama and N. Alemayehu (2012). Genetic variability of Ethiopian fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-gracum*. L) Landraces. Tornal of plant breeding and crop science 4(3): 93-48.
- Mota, M.O., J.R. Zincly and R. Vencovsky (1975). Performance of half- sib and S<sub>1</sub>-progenies of the maize (*Zea mays* L.)

- variety of centralmex. (cited after PI. Breed. Abst., 46: 431.
- MSTAT-C. (1996). Russel, D. Freed, MSTAT Director, Crop and Soil Sciences Department, Michigan State University, U.S.A.
- Rady, A.M.S. (2008). Estimation of morphological and genetic variations in berseem clover gene pool. M.Sc.Thesis in Crop Sci, Fac.Agric Univ. Egypt.
- Rajab, M.N. (2010). Studies on breeding of Egyptian clover (*Trifolium alexandrinuma* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Obilana, A.T. and A.R. Hallauer (1974). Estimation of variability of quantitative traits in Bsss by using unselected maize inbred lines Crop Sci. 14: 99-103.
- Weyhrich, R.A., K. R. Lamkey and A.R. Hallauer (1998). Response to seven methods of recurrent selection in the BSII maize population. Crop Sci. 38: 308-321.

# الإختلافات في محصول البذرة ومكوناته للعشيرة هلالي من البرسيم المصري

محمد عبد الستار أحمد (۱) ، جمال علي علي رمضان (۲) ، عبد الكريم سليمان محمد بدوي (۲)

(١) قسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة (الشاطبي) جامعة الإسكندرية .

(٢) قسم بحوث العلف - محطة بحوث سخا - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر.

## الملخص العربي

تهدف الدراسه الى تقدير الاختلافات في الوعاء الجيني لعشيرة البرسيم المصري "هلالي" من خلال دراسة نوعان من العائلات; عائلات نصف منسبة dalf وعائلات الجيل الأول التلقيح الذاتي  $(S_1)$ . تم تقييم نوعي العائلات في تجربتان منفصلتان في محطة بحوث سخا بمحافظة كفر الشيخ مركز البحوث الزراعيه مصر. في معظم صفات محصول البذرة ومكوناته كان متوسط مربع الانحرافات بين العائلات أكبر في قيمته عند تقديره من عائلات الجيل الأول للتلقيح الذاتي مقارنة بالعائلات النصف منسبة، بينما كان اتجاه متوسط مربع الانحرافات داخل العائلات معاكس لذلك. وقد ظهرت تقديرات موجبة للتباين الإضافي  $S_0$  لجميع صفات محصول البذرة ومكوناته المدروسة. أيضاً فقد أظهرت نسبة التباين الإضافي  $S_0$  إلى التباين الكلي دوراً فعالاً في التحكم في توريث صفات محصول البذرة ومكوناته. وقد بلغ الانخفاض في قيم متوسطات الصفات في عائلات الجيل الأول

للتلقيح الذاتي مقاربة بالعائلات النصف منسبة 0.0 و معامل البذرة وعدد النورات على محصول البذرة وعدد البذور / نورة و عدد الأزهار / نورة ونسبة عقد البذور ومعامل البذرة وعدد النورات على النبات على الترتيب. من ناحيه اخرى كانت الاختلافات الوراثية بين العائلات النصف المنسبة 0.0 (H.S) أقل من متوسطها. في المقابل فقد بلغت الاختلافات الوراثية بين عائلات 0.0 أكثر من 0.0 من متوسطات الصفات المدروسة و كانت قيم معامل الاختلافات الوراثية بين عائلات 0.0 أكثر من 0.0 من متوسطات الصفات المدروسة و كانت قيم معامل الاختلاف المظهري لمحصول البذور وعدد البذور / نورة أكبر عند تقديرها من عائلات 0.0 ، بينما كانت التقديرات متماثلة لباقي الصفات المدروسة بغض النظر عن نوع العائلة المدروسة وقد سجلت صفة محصول البذور أقل تقدير لمعامل التوريث (0.0.0 و 0.0 ، لعائلات 0.0 الانتخاب لصفة معامل البذرة (0.0 و 0.0 المتوقع من الانتخاب لصفة معامل البذرة (0.0 و 0.0 و 0.0 المتوقع من الانتخاب لعائلات معامل البذرة (0.0 و 0.0 المنافق عند المؤر المنافق عدد الأزهار المنافق عند المؤرد المنافق عند المؤرد المنافق عن المؤوي من انتخاب عائلات 0.0 المنسبة عقد المؤرد إلى 0.0 الصفة عدد المؤور المنافق عدد المؤرد المنافق عدد المؤور المنافق المنافق عدد المؤور المنافق عدد