Availability and uptake of nitrogen and potassium as affected by inorganic fertilizers in flooded rice soils EI-Hamdi, Kh. H.*; S. A. Ghanem** and I. M. Hashem**

* Soils Dept., Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

** Rice Research and Training Center, Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh

ABSTRACT

Effect of nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) fertilizers application on the uptake and availability of nitrogen and potassium was studied at the Farm of Rice Research and Training Center at Sakha Kafr El-sheikh during 2007 and 2008 seasons. The highest values of available NH₄-N in the soil were recorded at 30 DAT under 92 kg N fed⁻¹ with application full dose of potassium before transplanting (50 Kg potassium sulphate .fed-1). Data showed also that highest values of available soil K were obtained at 30 DAT when rice plants were fertilized with 92 kg N fed⁻¹ combined with application of full dose of potassium before transplanting in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively. The highest values of nitrogen and potassium uptake were recorded at 30 days after transplanting (DAT) when rice plants were fertilized with 92kg N fed 1combined with application of potassium full dose (50kg potassium sulphate fed 1) before flooding at both seasons of study. Data showed also that maximum nitrogen uptake values were found when 92kg N fed-1 was combined with application of potassium (half dose before transplanting + spray with 2% K₂O (4kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% K2O (4kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stages). The N uptake increased significantly at 50, 70 and 95 days after transplanting (DAT) in both seasons of study. Data showed also that application of nitrogen at the rate of 92 kg N fed⁻¹ in combined with application half dose of recommended amount potassium before transplanting + spray with 2% K₂O at panicle initiation and flowering stages gave the highest grain yield in 2007 and 2008 seasons,

Keywords: Availability, uptake, nitrogen, potassium and rice flooded soil.

INTRODUCTION

The crop is generally fertilized by farmers either with nitrogen or with nitrogen and phosphorus only, through potassium is equally important as it stabilizes yield and it's a quality nutrient. Nitrogen is considered the limiting factor for rice growth. Secondary minerals may affect the availability of K irreversibly fixed in the interlayer and wedge sites of soil clay and is rendered unavailable to growing plants (Arshad and Akram, 1999). During weathering, physical disintegration of mica into clay size fractions resulted in replacement and release of interlayer K by more hydrated cations (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, or Na⁺). Evidence of particle size reduction has been found in alluvial soils of Gujranwala, Lyallpur, and Burhan series (Akhtar, 1989).

The amount of *fixed* K in soil increases with added K, whereas the present K fixed relative to total added K decreases (*Bouabid et al., 1991*). Fixation of K fertilizers may affects its recovery by crops. Application of potassium along with nitrogen has become very necessary due to intensified agriculture with high yielding varieties. Introduction of hybrid rice is an important step towards augmentation of rice yield. Hybrid rice is highly fertilizer responsive, but there is a shortage in hybrid rice nutrition. So,

adequate fertilization and combination at real time in a proper manner is essential to achieve potentially yield of hybrid rice.

The present work was designed to evaluate the combined effects of different levels of N and different methods of K application on:

- 1- Availability of ammonium and potassium in flooded rice soils.
- 2- Uptake of nitrogen and potassium in rice.
- 3- Grain yield of hybrid rice

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out on the transplanted rice system at the Experimental Farm of the Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt, during the two growing summer seasons of 2007 and 2008 to investigate the effect of nitrogen levels and different methods of potassium application on the nutrient uptake, availability of some nutrients and grain yield of hybrid rice.

Soil Analyses:

Soil sample was taken before cultivation crushed then grinned to pass through 2mm sieve, some physical and chemical analysis were determined according to Cottenie *et al*, (1982) and Page *et al*, (1982). The results are presented in Table 1.

Experimental Design:

Experimental design was laid out in a split plot design with four replications. The main plots were devoted to the three nitrogen levels in urea form.

- 1) Zero nitrogen (N₁).
- 2) 69 kg N fed⁻¹ (N₂).
- 3) 92 kg N fed⁻¹ (N₃).

Where the sub plots occupied by the eight methods of potassium application at the rate of 24 kg K_2O (fed⁻¹) in potassium sulphate form (50 kg fed⁻¹),

- 1) Zero potassium (K₁).
- 2) All amount was applied as basal (B) incorporated into the soil surface (K₂).
- Half dose of potassium fertilizer was applied as basal and incorporated into the soil surface + spray with 2% K₂O (4kg potassium sulphate) was applied at panicle initiation (P I (K₃).
- 4) Half dose of potassium fertilizer was applied as basal and incorporated into the soil surface + spray with 2% K₂O (4kg potassium sulphate) was applied at flowering (F) (K₄).
- 5) Half dose of potassium fertilizer was applied as basal and incorporated into the soil surface + spray with 2% K_2O was applied at panicle initiation (PI) and flowering (F) (K_5).
- 6) Spray with 2% K2O (4kg potassium sulphate) was applied at panicle initiation (PI) (K₆).
- Spray with 2% K2O (4kg potassium sulphate) was applied at flowering (F) (K₇).
- 8) Spray with 2% K2O (4kg potassium sulphate) was applied at panicle initiation (PI) and flowering (F) (K_8).

Table 1: Some physical and chemical analysis of soil used

	Soil properties	2007	2008
-	Clay%	55.9	56.0
-	Silt%	31.5	32.0
-	Sand%	12.6	12.0
-	Texture	Clayey	Clayey
-	Total nitrogen, mg kg ⁻¹	520	600
-	Available P, mg kg ⁻¹ (0.5 M NaHC O ₃)	13.0	16.0
-	Available ammonium (mg kg ⁻¹)	17.2	18.8
-	Nitrate concentration (mg kg ₂ ⁻¹)	13.0	14.1
-	Available Potassium (mg kg ⁻¹)	310	325
-	pH (1:2.5 soil suspension)	8.1	8.2
-	EC dS.m ⁻¹ (soil paste)	2.1	2.3
-	Soluble cations, meq.L ⁻¹ (soil paste):		
-	Ca ⁺⁺	5.2	5.4
-	Mg ⁺⁺	2.0	2.1
-	$K^{^{+}}$	0.5	0.4
-	Na ⁺	12.1	12.3
-	Soluble anions, meq.l ⁻¹ :		
-	CO ₃	0.00	0.00
-	HCO ⁻ ₃	3.6	3.9
-	CI ⁻	14.9	15.1
-	SO ₄	1.30	1.2
-	Available micronutrients mg kg ⁻¹		
-	Fe ⁺⁺	6.0	6.2
-	Zn ⁺⁺	0.9	1.1
-	Mn ⁺⁺	3.5	3.6

Plant samples:

During the growth stage, plant samples were taken at 30, 50, 70 and 95 days after transplanting (DAT). All plant samples were placed in paper bags and oven dry at 70° C for 48 hours. Dried samples were ground to powder and digested according to the method of Chapman and Pratt (1961), prior to chemical analysis as follows.

Plant analysis:

- Nitrogen content was determined using Orange-G dye colorimetric method according to Hafez and Mikkelson (1981).
- Potassium was determined by the flame photometer as described by Jackson (1967).

- Soil sampling:

Soil samples were collected from each treatment (0-20 Cm depth) four times at 30, 50, 70 and 95 days after transplanting (DAT). The soil samples were homogenized and frozen directly after collection to prevent microbial activity. After thawing samples were immediately extracted to determine the nutrient elements. Available ammonium and potassium in the soil sample were determined according to Cottenie *et al.*, (1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on nutrients availability: 1.1- Ammonium availability:

Available NH₄-N at different periods throughout rice growing seasons as affected by nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Data show that available NH_4^+ sharply increased after flooding reached its high peak at 30 DAT in 2007 and 2008 seasons, and then declined to the minimum by 95 DAT. De Datta (1981) stated that the greater part of N mineralized during rice season appears as ammonium with in two weeks after submergence. It is worthy to mention that the other doses of N fertilizer at mid tillering, panicle initiation and flowering stages did not increase the mean values of NH_4 . This could be attributed to the rapid absorption of this ion by rice roots for tillers production and initiation of panicles. These results in agreement with those reported by Young *et al.* (2005).

Table 2: NH₄ availability in soil (mg kg⁻¹) as affected by nitrogen levels and potassium application during 2007 seasons.

and potassium application during 2007 seasons.										
				K- '	Treatme	ents				
N Treatments	30 DAT									
	K 1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K7	K8	Mean	
N1	28.51	40.10	34.85	35.75	35.82	27.70	26.90	28.95	32.32	
N2	41.90	51.65	45.85	46.20	46.05	40.57	40.45	40.15	44.09	
N3	60.65	67.70	63.50	63.20	63.00	60.00	61.05	61.20	62.50	
Mean	43.68	53.15	48.06	48.38	48.29	42.75	42.76	43.43	46.30	
		50 DAT								
N1	20.45	30.45	25.40	26.09	25.15	20.00	21.10	20.49	23.64	
N2	33.60	40.00	35.90	36.23	35.00	33.00	32.90	33.85	35.06	
N3	43.95	50.40	47.95	47.10	46.30	43.55	43.00	44.05	45.78	
Mean	32.66	40.28	36.41	36.47	35.48	32.18	32.33	32.79	34.82	
					70 DAT	•				
N1	17.35	24.00	21.30	21.60	20.80	16.95	17.05	17.25	19.53	
N2	26.00	30.40	30.00	29.15	29.50	27.00	26.30	26.90	28.15	
N3	32.70	39.99	37.50	36.95	37.00	33.00	32.80	33.60	35.44	
Mean	25.35	31.46	29.60	29.23	29.10	25.65	25.38	25.91	27.70	
					95 DAT					
N1	14.00	17.70	16.66	16.95	15.80	14.90	15.00	14.60	15.72	
N2	20.10	23.30	24.00	24.50	23.00	20.00	20.80	19.90	21.95	
N3	24.00	29.50	30.20	31.10	29.00	26.25	25.65	25.00	27.58	
Mean	19.60	23.50	23.62	24.18	22.60	20.38	20.48	19.83	21.75	

Where: DAT: days after transplanting, N₁: 0kg N fed-1., N₂: 69kg N fed-1, N₃: 92kg N fed-1., K₁: Zero potassium., K₂: 50kg as basal., K₃: 25kg as basal + 2% at P.I, K₄: 25kg as basal + 2% at P.I, K₁: 25kg as basal + 2% at P.I and F., K₃: 2% at P.I., K₁: 2% at F., K₃: 2% at P.I and F.

Table 3: NH₄ availability in soil (mg kg⁻¹) as affected by nitrogen levels and potassium application during 2008 seasons.

and potassium application during 2008 seasons.									
K- Treatments									
30 DAT									
K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K7	K8	Mean	
32.20	43.33	39.00	38.00	38.50	32.80	33.00	33.15	36.24	
45.23	54.90	50.10	50.00	51.00	44.10	45.00	45.20	48.19	
61.40	69.00	65.00	65.30	65.60	60.34	61.00	61.90	63.56	
46.27	55.74	51.36	50.76	51.70	45.74	46.33	46.75	49.33	
				50 DAT					
24.95	32.50	28.75	29.15	28.45	24.60	23.70	24.00	27.01	
35.33	42.45	38.82	38.00	39.06	34.30	33.99	34.90	37.10	
50.10	58.13	55.20	56.00	55.90	51.80	50.60	51.00	53.59	
36.79	44.36	40.36	40.92	41.05	41.13	36.90	36.09	39.23	
				70 DAT					
20.15	26.30	24.30	24.70	24.00	19.36	20.00	19.30	22.26	
27.40	33.10	31.50	32.20	32.60	27.80	27.90	26.40	29.86	
34.90	40.60	39.80	39.99	40.00	32.18	33.40	34.00	36.85	
27.48	32.35	31.86	32.29	32.20	26.44	27.10	26.56	29.65	
				95 DAT					
16.33	22.00	22.80	22.60	22.05	17.00	17.35	17.80	19.74	
24.60	27.20	27.60	27.95	26.90	23.20	23.36	23.00	25.47	
28.45	33.00	33.15	33.70	32.70	27.50	27.60	26.90	30.37	
23.12	27.53	27.85	28.08	27.21	22.60	22.77	22.56	25.19	
	K1 32.20 45.23 61.40 46.27 24.95 35.33 50.10 36.79 20.15 27.40 34.90 27.48 16.33 24.60 28.45	K1 K2 32.20 43.33 45.23 54.90 61.40 69.00 46.27 55.74 24.95 32.50 35.33 42.45 50.10 58.13 36.79 44.36 27.40 33.10 34.90 40.60 27.48 32.35 16.33 22.00 24.60 27.20 28.45 33.00	K1 K2 K3 32.20 43.33 39.00 45.23 54.90 50.10 61.40 69.00 65.00 46.27 55.74 51.36 24.95 32.50 28.75 35.33 42.45 38.82 50.10 58.13 55.20 36.79 44.36 40.36 27.40 33.10 31.50 34.90 40.60 39.80 27.48 32.35 31.86 16.33 22.00 22.80 24.60 27.20 27.60 28.45 33.00 33.15	K1 K2 K3 K4 32.20 43.33 39.00 38.00 45.23 54.90 50.10 50.00 61.40 69.00 65.00 65.30 46.27 55.74 51.36 50.76 24.95 32.50 28.75 29.15 35.33 42.45 38.82 38.00 50.10 58.13 55.20 56.00 36.79 44.36 40.36 40.92 20.15 26.30 24.30 24.70 27.40 33.10 31.50 32.20 34.90 40.60 39.80 39.99 27.48 32.35 31.86 32.29 16.33 22.00 22.80 22.60 24.60 27.20 27.60 27.95 28.45 33.00 33.15 33.70	K- Treatme 30 DAT K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 32.20 43.33 39.00 38.00 38.50 45.23 54.90 50.10 50.00 51.00 61.40 69.00 65.00 65.30 65.60 46.27 55.74 51.36 50.76 51.70 50 DAT 24.95 32.50 28.75 29.15 28.45 35.33 42.45 38.82 38.00 39.06 50.10 58.13 55.20 56.00 55.90 36.79 44.36 40.36 40.92 41.05 70 DAT 20.15 26.30 24.30 24.70 24.00 27.40 33.10 31.50 32.20 32.60 34.90 40.60 39.80 39.99 40.00 27.48 32.35 31.86 32.29 32.20 5 DAT 16.33	K- Treatments 30 DAT K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 32.20 43.33 39.00 38.00 38.50 32.80 45.23 54.90 50.10 50.00 51.00 44.10 61.40 69.00 65.00 65.30 65.60 60.34 46.27 55.74 51.36 50.76 51.70 45.74 50 DAT 24.95 32.50 28.75 29.15 28.45 24.60 35.33 42.45 38.82 38.00 39.06 34.30 50.10 58.13 55.20 56.00 55.90 51.80 36.79 44.36 40.36 40.92 41.05 41.13 70 DAT 20.15 26.30 24.30 24.70 24.00 19.36 27.40 33.10 31.50 32.20 32.60 27.80 34.90 40.60 39.80 39.99	K- Treatments 30 DAT K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 K7 32.20 43.33 39.00 38.00 38.50 32.80 33.00 45.23 54.90 50.10 50.00 51.00 44.10 45.00 61.40 69.00 65.00 65.30 65.60 60.34 61.00 46.27 55.74 51.36 50.76 51.70 45.74 46.33 50 DAT 24.95 32.50 28.75 29.15 28.45 24.60 23.70 35.33 42.45 38.82 38.00 39.06 34.30 33.99 50.10 58.13 55.20 56.00 55.90 51.80 50.60 36.79 44.36 40.36 40.92 41.05 41.13 36.90 27.40 33.10 31.50 32.20 32.60 27.80 27.90 34.90 40.60 39.80 39.	K- Treatments 30 DAT K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 K7 K8 32.20 43.33 39.00 38.00 38.50 32.80 33.00 33.15 45.23 54.90 50.10 50.00 51.00 44.10 45.00 45.20 61.40 69.00 65.00 65.30 65.60 60.34 61.00 61.90 46.27 55.74 51.36 50.76 51.70 45.74 46.33 46.75 50 DAT 24.95 32.50 28.75 29.15 28.45 24.60 23.70 24.00 35.33 42.45 38.82 38.00 39.06 34.30 33.99 34.90 50.10 58.13 55.20 56.00 55.90 51.80 50.60 51.00 36.79 44.36 40.36 40.92 41.05 41.13 36.90 36.99 27.40 33.10 31	

Data indicate that in the first season at 30, 50, 70 and 95 DAT available NH₄-N tended to increase as N levels increased. Plots which received high level of N fertilizer (92 kg N fed⁻¹) showed more available NH₄-N than plots, which received low N levels. Data show also that the highest values of available NH⁺₄-N were obtained at 30 DAT with the application of 92 kg N fed⁻¹ while, the lowest values of NH₄-N were obtained at 95 DAT with untreated soil. This view could be in harmony with those found by De Datta (1981), Suresh *et al.* (1995) and Sikdar *et al.* (2008) who stated that increasing level of nitrogen application up to 73 kg N fed⁻¹ increased the availability of NH⁺₄ in soil. The same trend was found in the second season.

Regarding the affect of potassium application methods, data show that in the first season at different periods of sampling application of potassium fertilizer at transplanting increased available NH₄–N compared with treatments having no potassium application at transplanting. This mainly due to the convergence radius of both the NH $_4^+$, K $^+$ and radius of six fold gap for minerals of clay 2:1 which would lead to fixation of potassium on exchangeable sites releasing the NH $_4$ to the soil solution. The same trend was found in the second season.

It is worthy to note that the highest values of available NH_4 –N in the soil were recorded at 30 DAT under 92kg N fed⁻¹ with application full dose of potassium before transplanting (50kg potassium sulphate), while the lowest values of soil NH_4 –N available were observed in plots which did not receive any fertilizer at 95 DAT.

1.2- Available potassium in soil:

Available soil potassium throughout the growth of rice plants as affected by nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application in Tables 4 and 5.

Table 4: Available potassium in soil (mg kg⁻¹) as affected by nitrogen levels and potassium application during 2007 season.

levels and potassium application during 2007 season.											
				K- 7	Freatme	ents					
N- Treatments	30 DAT										
	K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K7	K8	Mean		
N1	446	500	489	485	492	439	440	450	468		
N2	490	570	550	543	555	480	495	499	523		
N3	553	636	610	620	625	560	548	556	588		
Mean	496	569	550	549	557	493	494	501	505.3		
					50 DAT	•					
N1	415	470	465	460	468	410	416	420	440		
N2	450	540	536	520	538	469	460	480	499		
N3	530	600	584	570	599	535	528	540	599		
Mean	465	536	528	516	535	471	468	480	512		
					70 DAT	•					
N1	350	400	390	408	412	360	375	383	385		
N2	402	460	450	445	470	415	410	425	435		
N3	460	503	485	479	500	465	461	470	479		
Mean	404	454.3	441	444	460	413	415	426	432.2		
					95 DAT	•					
N1	300	325	310	312	305	295	305	300	306		
N2	320	390	380	378	389	330	328	337	356		
N3	370	409	395	389	400	380	383	375	387		
Mean	330	373	361	360	364	335	338	337	349		

Data in Tables 4 and 5 show that the highest amount of available K were obtained at 30 DAT in 2007 and 2008 seasons, then decreased continuously with crop growth, reaching the lowest values at 95 DAT (harvest time) in 2007 and 2008 seasons. The decrease in the available soil K under continuous flooding mainly attributed to that K uptake by rice plant and losses by leaching. Hammad (1995) stated that the availability of K decreased with continuous flooding and development of plant growth.

Data in Tables 4 and 5 show the effect of N levels on available K in soil was clear at early growth stage. Since in both seasons, at 30 DAT available K tended to increase with N level increasing. The highest mean values were obtained with application of 92 kg N fed⁻¹, while the lowest mean values were obtained with control in 2007 and 2008 seasons, after 30 DAT. Available K as affected by N levels have unclear trend up to 95 DAT. Wihardjaka *et al.* (1999) proposed that mobilization of non-exchangeable K in flooded rice root induced through acidification, coupled with K removed from the soil solution by the roots, under NH₄-N nutrition, interlayer K can also be replaced by NH₄⁺ ions which are similar in ionic size. Tisdale *et al.* (1985) reported that NH₄⁺ could be fixed by clays in a manner similar to that of K⁺. Slaton *et al.* (2004) stated that soil water K concentrations peak about on two week after flooding.

Decline rapidly until 4 to 5 weeks after flooding and then reach a consistently low concentration for the duration of the seasons. Both soil K pools, exchangeable and solution reach low K concentration near the time of panicle differentiation and persist until the water is drained for harvest.

Data also show that in the first season at all growth periods, application of potassium before transplanting increased available K^+ compared with treatments having no potassium application before transplanting. Data show also that the maximum mean values of available K were obtained at 30 DAT with application of potassium full dose at transplanting (50kg potassium sulphate), while the lowest values of available K were observed at 95 DAT with untreated control. Thippeswamy *et al.* (2000) reported that water soluble K and available K^+ increased with increase in potassium doses up to 80 kg $\mathsf{K}_2\mathsf{O}$ ha $^{-1}$, but decreased with growth stages of crop from tillering to harvesting. The same trend was found in the second seasons.

Concerning the interaction effect, data in Tables 4 and 5, show that highest values of soil K available in soil (636 and 660 mg kg⁻¹) were obtained at 30 DAT when rice plants were fertilized with 92 kg N fed⁻¹ and application full dose of potassium before transplanting, in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively. While the lowest values of soil K available (300 and 299 mg kg⁻¹ in 2007 and 2008, respectively) were observed at (95 DAT) in both seasons of study.

Table 5: Available potassium in soil (mg kg⁻¹) as affected by nitrogen levels and potassium application during 2008 seasons.

le	vels a	nd pot	assıun	n appli	cation	during	g 2008	seaso	ns.	
				K-	Treatm	ents				
N- Treatments	30 DAT									
	K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6	K7	K8	Mean	
N1	459	515	496	499	505	445	452	458	478	
N2	520	595	568	560	566	518	510	522	545	
N3	580	660	626	620	630	583	585	577	608	
Mean	520	590	563	559	567	515	516	519	543	
					50 DA	T				
N1	420	478	463	462	466	415	413	418	442	
N2	452	546	538	523	537	463	459	470	498	
N3	536	609	590	578	592	536	530	535	563	
Mean	469	544	530	521	532	471	476	474	501	
					70 DA	T				
N1	351	405	393	395	414	363	366	370	333	
N2	406	465	451	450	469	417	415	420	386	
N3	463	519	490	483	511	466	460	469	482	
Mean	407	463	445	442	465	415	414	419	434.13	
					95 DA	Т				
N1	299	326	319	323	325	299	300	302	312	
N2	329	395	385	382	396	331	330	334	360	
N3	374	409	399	392	410	381	380	379	390	
Mean	336	376	368	366	377	337	336	338	354	

2- Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on nutrients uptake:

Nitrogen uptake in hybrid rice at different growth periods as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

The results in Tables 6 and 7 show that increase N levels up to 92 kg N fed⁻¹ increased N uptake at all studied growth periods in 2007 and 2008 seasons. It might be owing to fact that hybrid rice absorbs N continuously up to maturity and the delayed N application at flowering stage expectedly results in relatively higher N accumulation in foliage including lower leaves. This probably contributing to higher growth leading to lager cytokinine production which causing more dry matter production to adequately meet the needs arising on account of larger sink in hybrids this undoubtedly would increase the uptake of nitrogen by plant, (Krishankumar *et al.* 2005). These results are in harmony with those obtained by Meena *et al.* (2002) and Zhang Hong *et al.* (2003).

Addition of K as full dose before transplanting (50 kg potassium sulphate) increased significantly nitrogen uptake at 30 days after transplanting (DAT) in both seasons, Table 6 and 7.

Data also show that application of potassium (half dose before transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% K_2O (4kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% K2O (4kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stages) increased significantly the nitrogen uptake at 30, 50 and 95 DAT in both seasons. This might be to the continuous supply of K to the crop during growth period which is more beneficial and increased dry matter accumulation and fertilizer use efficiency resulted higher N uptake. These findings are in close conformity with those of Thakur *et al.* (1999).

Table 6: Nitrogen uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at different growth periods (30, 50, 70, 95 DAT) as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 season.

Treatment	30 DAT	50 DAT	70 DAT	95	DAT
rreaument	30 DA1	50 DA1	70 DAT	Grain	Straw
N- treatments					
N_1	21.91 c	41.45 c	56.49 c	34.37 c	24.88c
N_2	53.84 b	79.85 b	100.45 b	54.07 b	33.68b
N_3	77.61 a	113.05 a	123.64 a	63.82 a	43.0a
K- treatments					
K ₁	43.12 c	64.37 c	80.91 h	43.39 e	30.05c
K ₂	62.11 a	88.21 a	103.68 b	55.61 b	35.16b
K ₃	57.63 b	88.30 a	98.22 c	54.12 c	34.86ab
K ₄	57.81 b	77.44 b	93.22 d	53.06 c	33.99b
K ₅	58.08 b	89.33 a	106.00 a	59.12 a	36.03a
K ₆	43.72 c	76.52 b	8949 f	46.88 d	33.55b
K ₇	43.12 c	64.51 c	84.65 g	46.17 d	33.43b
K ₈	43.03 c	76.28 b	91.83 e	47.67 d	33.76b

Table 7: Nitrogen uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at different growth periods as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2008 season.

Treatment	30 DAT	50 DAT	70 DAT	95	DAT
Treatment	OU DAI	OU DAI	70 DAI	Grain	Straw
N- treatments					
N ₁	22.44c	41.23c	58.05c	35.44c	25.25c
N ₂	54.74b	80.85b	102.62b	56.00b	35.16b
N ₃	78.82a	115.30a	125.26a	65.33a	43.72a
K- treatments					
K ₁	44.58d	66.01d	82.63g	44.86e	31.00d
K ₂	62.78a	89.67a	104.59b	57.50b	36.58ab
K ₃	59.40b	88.58a	100.06c	55.60c	35.22bd
K ₄	57.58c	79.22b	94.68d	55.01c	34.32c
K ₅	59.35b	88.65a	108.26a	60.57a	37.76a
K ₆	44.93d	76.20c	91.43e	48.44d	34.93bd
K ₇	43.43d	66.56d	87.0f	47.35d	33.66c
K ₈	43.96d	78.11bc	82.63g	48.75d	34.21c

Data listed in Tables 8 and 9 show that highly significantly interaction differences were recorded between nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application at growth periods in both seasons of study. The highest values of nitrogen uptake (90 and 91 kg N.fed-1) were recorded when rice plants were fertilized with 92kg N fed⁻¹ in combined with application of potassium full dose before transplanting (K₂) at 30 DAT in 2007 and 2008 seasons, respectively. Data show also that maximum nitrogen uptake values were found when 92 kg N fed⁻¹ was combined with application of potassium (half dose before transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stages), which increase significantly the N uptake at 50, 70 and 95 DAT in both seasons of study. This might be owing to the continuous supply of potassium to the crop growth period which is more beneficial and increase nitrogen transport amount and percentage after anthesis, as well as, nitrogen accumulation in different rice organs. (Wang et al.2004). These results are in congruent with that the increase of nitrogen uptake could be attributed to the role of nitrogen and potassium metabolism in rice plant.

Table 8: Nitrogen uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at different growth periods (30, 50, 70 and 95 DAT) as affected by interaction between different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

	2001 ai	nd 2008 s	casons.				
				N- treat	tments		
	K-treatments		2007			2008	
		N_1	N_2	N ₃	N_1	N_2	N ₃
	K₁	15.98g	45.24e	68.14c	17.51j	46.34g	69.89cd
5	K ₂	30.51f	65.83cd	90.00a	31.07h	66.26e	91.02a
30 DAT	K ₃	27.21f	61.52d	84.46b	27.00i	65.46e	85.75b
30	K ₄	26.49f	62.09d	84.86b	26.60i	59.59f	86.48b
	K₅	26.97f	62.17d	84.96b	27.15i	65.34e	85.56b
	K ₆	15.97g	45.40e	69.80c	16.64j	45.71g	72.43c
	K ₇	16.39g	44.48e	69.39c	16.56j	43.67g	70.06cd
	K ₈	15.79g	44.02e	69.27c	16.90j	45.59g	69.39d
				N- Trea	tments		
	K-treatments		2007			2008	
		N_1	N_2	N_3	N_1	N_2	N_3
	K₁	33.56j	62.08e	97.46c	32.76k	64.77g	100.50d
ΤA	K ₂	47.56f	92.20a	124.87a	46.30h	95.74e	126.76a
DAT	K ₃	46.28fg	93.26a	125.36a	45.16hi	93.30e	127.30a
20	K ₄	43.54fg	75.36b	113.42b	42.53ij	79.30f	115.83b
	K ₅	45.33fgh	97.49a	125.17a	45.56hi	93.60e	126.80a
	K ₆	41.25gh	78.65b	109.66b	40.30j	77.00f	111.30c
	K ₇	33.82i	62.53c	97.19c	34.50k	65.00g	100.20d
	K ₈	40.26h	77.29d	111.28b	42.74h-j	78.10f	113.50bc
				N- treat	tments		
	K-treatments		2007			2008	
		N_1	N_2	N_3	N_1	N_2	N_3
	K₁	50.05p	82.06L	110.63gh	51.20n	83.10j	113.60ef
Þ	K ₂	62.43m	112.66fg	135.96b	64.15k	114.83e	134.80b
70 DAT	K ₃	60.22m	109.0h	126.00c	61.22kl	110.96f	128.00c
20	K ₄	58.00n	96.73j	124.16c	58.25lm	98.60h	127.20c
	K ₅	62.21m	113.6ef	142.16a	64.90k	115.60e	144.20a
	K ₆	54.300	98.65j	115.53e	55.90m	100.9gh	117.50de
	K ₇	50.51p	88.53k	114.93ef	51.50n	92.50i	117.00de
	K ₈	50.05p	102.28i	118.96d	57.30m	104.40g	119.80d

Table 9: Nitrogen uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at 95 DAT (grain and straw) as affected by interaction between different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

				N- trea	tments					
	K-treatments		2007			2008				
		N_1	N_2	N ₃	N_1	N_2	N ₃			
	K ₁	26.28k	45.00g	58.90de	27.60n	48.00j	59.00g			
Grain	K_2	40.68h	59.36de	66.80b	41.20k	61.00efg	70.10b			
	K ₃	38.28i	58.8de	65.30b	39.501	60.00fg	67.30c			
	K ₄	37.60i	57.5e	64.10bc	38.851	60.40fg	65.80cd			
	K ₅	41.66h	61.90cd	73.80a	42.90k	65.00d	73.81a			
	K ₆	30.50j	50.16f	60.00de	31.72m	51.60hi	62.00ef			
	K ₇	29.18j	49.00f	60.35de	30.36m	50.00i	61.70ef			
	K ₈	30.80j	50.90f	61.33d	31.25m	52.00h	63.00e			
		N- treatments								
	K-treatments		2007			2008				
		N_1	N ₂	N_3	N_1	N ₂	N ₃			
	K ₁	20.50h	30.66e	39.00c	21.00j	32.00g	40.00cd			
>	K ₂	26.60fg	34.80d	44.10ab	27.90h	36.25ef	45.60ab			
Straw	K ₃	26.45fg	34.35d	43.80ab	26.00hi	34.66fg	45.00ab			
St	K ₄	24.98fg	34.00d	43.00ab	23.66ij	35.50efg	43.80ab			
	K ₅	27.50f	35.00d	45.60a	28.00h	38.50de	46.80a			
	K ₆	24.55g	33.50d	42.60b	25.80hi	36.00ef	43.00bc			
	K ₇	24.40g	33.00de	42.90ab	25.00hi	34.00fg	42.00bc			
	K ₈	24.09g	34.20d	43.00ab	24.66hi	34.36fg	43.60ab			

2.2. Potassium uptake:

Potassium uptake (kg fed⁻¹) by hybrid rice at different growth periods as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 and 2008 are presented in Tables 10,11,12 and 13.

Data in tables 10 and 11 reveal that potassium uptake by hybrid rice in both years of study, nitrogen fertilization significantly increased potassium uptake at all growth periods (30, 50, 70 and 95 DAT). Each successive increment of nitrogen resulted in a significant increase in potassium uptake over the preceding level, the highest potassium uptake was recorded at 92 kg N fed⁻¹. These increases in potassium uptake by hybrid rice plants may be due to the role of nitrogen in improving nutrients absorption. These results are in agreement with those of Meena *et al.* (2002), Maiti *et al.* (2003) and Dwivedi *et al.* (2006).

Data in Tables 10 and 11 indicate that potassium uptake was significant affect by a method of potassium application which observed at 30 DAT by applying full dose of potassium before transplanting. On the other hand low potassium uptake was found in plots which did not receive potassium fertilizer at transplanting. As regarded application of potassium half dose at transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stages increased significantly the potassium uptake at 50, 70 and 95 DAT in both seasons.

Table 10: Potassium uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at different growth periods as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 season.

potacoiam approxima aumig zoor coucom										
Treatment	30 DAT	50 DAT	70 DAT	95 [DAT					
Treatilient	30 DAI	30 DA1	70 DAT	Grain	Straw					
N treatments										
N ₁	29.26c	57.57c	86.63c	12.82c	74.89c					
N_2	57.13b	84.79b	121.34b	19.06b	102.10b					
N ₃	87.48a	119.24a	158.10a	23.47a	112.56a					
K treatments										
K ₁	45.93c	68.61d	102.10g	14.04e	85.83f					
K ₂	73.97a	101.83a	135.00b	20.34ab	101.05b					
K ₃	68.32b	101.17a	129.33c	19.21bc	99.33c					
K ₄	69.10b	91.16b	124.61d	18.72bc	96.66d					
K ₅	69.20b	101.16a	139.60a	21.60a	104.90a					
K ₆	46.07c	81.22c	114.25e	18.06cd	94.40e					
K ₇	46.00c	69.22d	108.86f	16.88d	94.13e					
K ₈	45.07c	82.31c	122.46d	18.76bc	95.83d					

Table 11: Potassium uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at different growth periods as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2008 season.

P	taooiaiii a _r	Jpnoution at	ining 2000 3		D.4.T.
Treatment	30 DAT	50 DAT	70 DAT	95	DAT
Heatiment	30 DA1 30 DA1		70 DAT	Grain	Straw
N- treatments					
N ₁	31.77c	58.56c	87.41c	13.14c	76.48c
N ₂	58.96b	86.73b	123.27b	19.79b	104.98b
N ₃	88.55a	120.04a	157.27a	23.49a	116.63a
K- treatments					
K ₁	47.43c	69.26e	102.74h	14.55d	87.83f
K ₂	75.56a	102.06a	136.01b	20.56b	104.67b
K ₃	70.45b	101.06a	129.88c	19.35bc	100.83c
K ₄	70.82b	92.80b	125.43d	19.17bc	100.01cd
K ₅	70.78b	103.27a	140.60a	22.27a	107.31a
K ₆	47.31c	82.81d	114.86f	18.08c	98.43d
K ₇	47.75c	70.48e	109.36g	17.66c	95.94e
K ₈	47.97c	85.31c	122.33e	18.82bc	99.90cd

The increase of potassium uptake of hybrid rice owing to potassium fertilizer application at recommended rate having three times of application (half before transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stages were attributed directly to continuous supply which was proved more beneficial and increased dry matter resulted higher potassium uptake Thakur *et al.*(1999) and Ali *et al.*(2005) and Pattanayak *et al.*(2008).

Highly significant interaction differences between nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application in regarded to the potassium uptake (kg fed⁻¹) by hybrid rice in both seasons as listed in Tables 12 and 13. Multiple range tests partly indicated that the best combination which produced the highest potassium uptake by hybrid rice at 30 DAT was 92 kg N fed⁻¹ with

application of potassium full dose at transplanting (50 kg potassium sulphate) in both seasons. On the other hand low potassium uptake was found in plots which did not receive nitrogen or potassium fertilizer in both seasons of study. At 50, 70 and 95 DAT the best combination which produced the highest potassium uptake by hybrid rice was 92 kg N fed⁻¹ with application of potassium half dose before transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2%(4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% (4 kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stages. Devendra *et al.* (1999) reported that application of nitrogen and potassium caused an increase in uptake of potassium in hybrid rice. Arivazhagan and Ravichandran (2005) found that application of potassium and nitrogen in split doses resulted in higher potassium uptake.

Table 12: Potassium uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at different growth periods as affected by interaction between different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

)ii5.		N treat	ments		
			2007			2008	
	K-treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃
	K ₁	21.13f	71.65d	71.65c	24.00f	46.50d	71.80c
7	K ₂	41.81de	108.69c	108.69a	43.80d	73.00c	109.90a
2	K ₃	36.59e	69.11c	99.26b	38.30e	71.26c	101.81b
30 DAT	K ₄	36.03e	69.94c	101.33b	38.96e	70.50c	103.00b
	K ₅	36.16e	69.06c	102.37b	37.35e	72.00c	103.00b
	K_6	21.70f	44.54d	71.97c	23.40f	45.92d	72.60c
	K ₇	21.52f	44.11d	72.38c	23.90f	46.33d	73.00c
	K ₈	19.12f	43.87d	72.23c	24.40f	46.22d	73.30c
	K-treatments		2007			2008	
		N_1	N_2	N ₃	N_1	N_2	N ₃
	K ₁	45.15j	60.90h	99.80d	46.25k	61.32i	100.21d
50 DAT	K_2	68.50g	101.00d	136.00a	69.10g	102.12d	136.50a
Δ	K ₃	66.60g	100.00d	136.91a	67.20gh	101.00d	135.00a
20	K_4	59.10h	90.00e	125.00b	60.40i	91.70e	126.30b
	K_5	67.30g	101.20d	136.06a	69.33g	103.60d	136.90a
	K ₆	54.30i	81.30f	110.25c	55.10j	82.00f	111.33c
	K ₇	46.00j	61.66h	100.02d	46.15k	63.20hi	102.10d
	K ₈	53.66i	82.26f	111.00c	55.00j	88.95e	112.00c
				N - trea	tments		
	K-treatments		2007			2008	
		N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃
١.	K ₁	72.30p	99.00k	135.00f	73.80r	100.43m	134.01hi
Α	K ₂	98.00k	136.00f	171.00b	99.03m	138.00gh	171.00b
70 DAT	K ₃	90.801	129.20g	168.00bc	91.06n	131.501	167.10bc
70	K ₄	87.30lm	121.25h	165.30cd	88.21no	123.10j	165.00c
	K ₅	99.40k	141.32e	178.10a	100.90m	143.00ef	177.90a
	K ₆	81.60no	116.00i	145.15e	82.40pq	118.20k	144.00e
	K ₇	78.50o	108.00j	140.10ef	78.90q	110.00L	139.20fg
	K ₈	85.20mn	120.00hi	162.00d	85.00op	112.00jk	160.00d

Table 13: Potassium uptake (Kg fed⁻¹) at 95 DAT (grain and straw) as affected by interaction between different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

	K-treatments	N- treatments						
Grain		2007			2008			
		N ₁	N_2	N_3	N_1	N_2	N ₃	
	K₁	8.05j	14.09hi	20.00	8.501	14.66ijk	20.50d-h	
	K ₂	14.59hi	20.83def	25.62	15.80 ij	21.00c-h	24.90b	
	K ₃	13.63hi	20.00efg	24.00	14.00ijk	20.40e-h	23.66bc	
	K ₄	13.25hi	19.90efg	23.03	13.06k	21.09c-h	23.36bc	
	K ₅	15.40h	21.80cde	27.60	16.00i	22.90be	27.92a	
	K ₆	12.80hi	18.50fg	22.90	12.50k	19.30gh	22.46bf	
	K ₇	12.00i	18.00g	20.66	12.00k	18.99h	22.00c-g	
	K ₈	12.90hi	19.40efg	24.00	13.30jk	20.30fgh	23.15bcd	
	K-treatments	N- treatments (kg.fed ⁻¹)						
		2007			2008			
		N ₁	N ₂	N_3	N_1	N_2	N_3	
	K₁	62.60m	90.00i	104.90ef	64.00n	93.00j	107.75g	
	K ₂	79.95jk	106.00e	117.20b	82.50k	110.33f	121.20b	
Straw	K ₃	78.00k	103.50ef	116.50b	76.25lm	106.25gh	120.00b	
	K ₄	75.001	103.00fg	112.00c	75.00m	107.75g	116.80c	
	K ₅	81.50j	111.20cd	122.00a	83.15k	114.00de	124.80a	
	K ₆	73.601	100.60gh	109.00d	76.30lm	104.00h	115.00cde	
	K ₇	73.501	100.00h	108.90d	75.50m	99.33i	113.00e	
	K ₈	75.001	102.50fg	110.00cd	78.701	105.00h	116.00c	

3. Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on grain yield

3- Grain Yield:

Grain yield of hybrid rice as affected by the application of nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application in 2007 and 2008 seasons are presented in Tables 14 and 15.

Data indicate that there was a significant difference in grain yield due to nitrogen fertilizer application. Data show that significant increase in grain yield as nitrogen levels increased from 0 up to 92 Kg N fed⁻¹ in both seasons of study. The increased grain yield owing to N fertilization was attributed directly by significantly improvement in the yield attributed like number of panicles per m², panicle weight and filled grains percentage. The results confirm the findings of Badasubramanian (2002), Samrathlal *et al.* (2003), Singh *et al.* (2004), Zaheen *et al.* (2006) and Sikdar *et al.* (2008).

Table14: Grain yield (t fed⁻¹) as affected by different nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application.

Treatment	Grain				
	2007	2008			
N - treatments					
N ₁	3.044c	3.085c			
N_2	4.805b	4.952b			
N_3	5.290a	5.378a			
K - treatments					
K₁	3.752e	3.908f			
K ₂	4.661b	4.737b			
K ₃	4.517c	4.555c			
K ₄	4.442c	4.437d			
K ₅	4.925a	5.137a			
K ₆	4.215d	4.340de			
K ₇	4.167d	4.250e			
K ₈	4.360c	4.412d			

Where: DAT: days after transplanting, N_1 : 0 kg N fed-1., N_2 : 69 kg N fed-1, N_3 : 92 kg N fed-1., K_4 : Zero potassium., K_2 : 50 kg as basal., K_3 : 25 kg as basal + 2% at P.I, K_4 : 25 kg as basal + 2% at F, K_5 : 25 kg as basal + 2% at P.I and F., K_6 : 2% at P.I., K_7 : 2% at F., K_8 : 2% at P.I and F.

Grain yield of hybrid rice as affected by the methods of potassium in both seasons are presented in Table 14. Data indicate that grain yield was significantly affected by method of potassium application in both seasons. Half dose of potassium before transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% K_2O (4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% K_2O (4 kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stage produced significantly higher grain yield compared with the other treatments. This might be owing to the continuous supply of potassium to the crop during cropgrowth period which is more beneficial and increased translocation of carbohydrates form stems, leaf sheathes and other storage organs to grains, leading to high sink capacity and, subsequently, higher grain yield. Similar trend was obtained by Janardan *et al.* (2000) and Zaratin *et al.* (2004).

Data document in Table 15 reveal that the interaction between nitrogen levels and methods of potassium application had a significant effect on grain yield in 2007 and 2008 seasons. Application of nitrogen at the rate of 92 Kg N fed $^{-1}$ in combined with application half dose of recommended amount potassium before transplanting (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% $\rm K_2O$ (4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation stage + spray with 2% $\rm K_2O$ (4 kg potassium sulphate) at flowering stage were superior to other treatments While, the minimum values were obtained when nitrogen and potassium did not applied. The increase in grain yield is attributed mainly to the increase in most yield components, i.e. number of panicle per $\rm m^2$, filled grains percentage and 1000-grain weight. Similar trend was obtained by Manivannan $\it et al.$ (2005), Dwived $\it et al.$ (2006) and Bahmaniar and Ranjbar (2007).

Table 15: Grain yield (t fed⁻¹) as affected by the interaction between nitrogen levels and methodsof potassium application during 2007 and 2008 seasons.

		N treatments						
Grain Yield	K-treatments	2007			2008			
		N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	
	K₁	2.190m	4.386e	4.680ef	2.2900	4.593j	4.843g-i	
	K ₂	3.396hi	5.030d	5.556b	3.396kl	5.193de	5.623b	
	K ₃	3.190i-k	4.923de	5.440b	3.203lm	4.943f-h	5.520bc	
	K ₄	3.056j-l	4.923de	5.346bc	2.946n	5.01efg	5.356cd	
	K ₅	3.496h	5.130cd	6.150a	3.560k	5.626b	6.226a	
	K ₆	2.963kl	4.680ef	5.003d	3.066mn	4.776h-j	5.176de	
	K ₇	2.820kl	4.646f	5.036d	2.943n	4.686ij	5.120ef	
	K ₈	3.240ij	4.726ef	5.113cd	3.2801	4.793h-j	5.163de	

Where: DAT: days after transplanting, N_1 : 0 kg N fed-1., N_2 : 69 kg N fed-1, N_3 : 92 kg N fed-1., K_4 : Zero potassium., K_2 : 50 kg as basal., K_3 : 25 kg as basal + 2% at P.I, K_4 : 25 kg as basal + 2% at F, K_5 : 25 kg as basal + 2% at P.I and F., K_6 : 2% at P.I., K_7 : 2% at F., K_8 : 2% at P.I and F.

CONCLUSION

From this study, data obtained could be recommended that applying of nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 92 Kg N fed $^{-1}$ along with potassium at basal application as a half dose (25 kg potassium sulphate) + spray with 2% K $_2$ O (4 kg potassium sulphate) at panicle initiation and flowering growth stages produced the peak values of both availability and uptake of nitrogen and potassium at growth periods as well as grain rice yield.

REFERENCES

- Ali, A.; M.S. Zia; F. Hussain; M. Slim and A. Shahzad (2005). Efficacy of different methods of potassium fertilizer application on paddy yield, K uptake and agronomic efficiency. Pak. J. Agric. Sci., 42 (1-2): 27-32.
- Akhtar, M.S. (1989). Soil minerology and potassium quantity / intensity relation in the alluvial soils from Pakistan. Dept. Soil and Crop Sciences, Taxas A & M University, Ph. D. Dissertation.
- Arshad, M. and A. Akram. (1999). Soil fertility problems in central Rachna Doab, Pak. J. Bio. Sci., 2 (4): 1355 1357.
- Arivazhagan, K. and M. Ravichandran (2005). Interaction effect of nitrogen and potassium on yield and yield attributes in rice cv. IR20. Advances Plant Sci., 18(1):425-427.
- Badasubramanian, R. (2002). Response of hybrid rice to levels and time of application of nitrogen. Indian J. Agron., 47(2): 203-206.

- Bahmaniar, M.A. and G.A. Ranjbar (2007). Response of rice cultivars to rates of nitrogen and potassium application in field and pot conditions. J. Biological Sci. 10(9)1430-1437.
- Bouabid, R., M. Badraoui and P. R. Bloom. (1991). Potassium fixation and charge characteristics of soil clays. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 55: 1493 1498.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Parrt (1961). Method of Analysis for Soils, Plant and Water. Univ. of California, USA.
- Cottenie, A.M. Verloo; L. Kiekens, G. Velghe and R. Camerlynch (1982). Chemical analysis of plants and soils. Laboratory of Analytical Agro. Chemistry state University, Ghent Belgium.
- De Datta, S.K. (1981). "Principles and practices of rice production". AWiley-Inter Science Publication New York.
- Devendra, S.; V. Singh and R. Singh (1999). Response of rice to nitrogen and potassium levels in alluvial soil. J. Indian, Agron. 15 (1/4):88 92.
- Dwivedi, A.P.; R.S. Dixit and G.R. Singh (2006). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza Sativa*). Indian J. Agron., 43(1): 64-66.
- Hafez, A.A.R. and D.S. Mikkelson (1981). Colorimetric determination of nitrogen for evaluating the nutrition status of rice. Soil Sci. and Plant Analy. 12(1):61-69.
- Hammad, S.A.; (1995). Pollution of leaching water and some nutrients available as a parameter of effeiciency of biological and inorganic sources under submergence condition. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ, 20(4): 1915 -1931.
- Janardan Singh; H.L. Sharma and C.M. Singh (2000). Effect of levels and phases of potassium application on growth and yield of rice and wheat. J. Indian Res., 16 (1/4):35-40. (C.F. Rice Abs.)
- Krishnakumar, S.; R. Nagarajan; S.K. Natarajan; S Jawahar and J.B. Pandian (2005). NPK fertilizers for hybrid rice (*oryza sativa*) productivity in Alfisols of sothern Districts of Tamil Nadu. Asian J. Plant Sci., 4(6):574-576.
- Maiti, S.; N. Naleshwar and S. Pal (2003). Response of high yielding and hybrid rice to varied levels of nitrogen nutrition. Environment and Ecology, 21(2): 296-300.
- Manivannan, K.; S. Natarajan; M. Ganapathy and K. Arivazhagan (2005). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and potassium on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of rice hybrids. J. Ecobiology, Annamalai Univ., 17(6): 593-595.
- Meena, S.L.; S. Surenda and Y.S. Shivay (2002). Response of hybrid rice to nitrogen and potassium application. Indian J. of Agron., 47(2): 207-211.
- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). Method of Soil Analysis part 2. Amer. Sco. Agric. Inc. Madison.
- Pattanayak, S.K.; S.K. Mukhi and K. Majumdar (2008). Potassium unlocks the potential for hybrid rice. Better Crops, 92(2).
- Samrathlal, M.; S. Surendra and Y.S.Shivay (2003). Response of hybrid rice to nitrogen and potassium application in sandy clay-loam soils. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 73 (1): 8-11.

- Sikdar, M.S.I.; M.M. Rahman; M.S. Islam; M.S. Yeasmin and M.M. Akhter (2008). Effect of nitrogen level on aromatic rice varieties and soil fertility status. Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod., 3(3) 49-54.
- Singh, T.; Y.S. Shivay and S. Singh (2004). Effect of date of transplanting and nitrogen on productivity and nitrogen use indices in hybrid and non-hybrid aromatic rice. Indian Agric. Res. Institute, 52(3): 245-252.
- Slaton, N.A.; D. Dunn and B. Pugh (2004). Potassium nutrition flood-irrigated rice. Better Crops, 88 (3).
- Suresh, S.; P.P. Ramaswami and R.R. Kennedy (1995). Effect of nitrogen sources on the availability of inorganic nitrogen forms in sandy clay wetland rice soil. Madras Agricultural Journal, 82 (6.7.8): 472-476.
- Thakur D.S.; S. R. Patel and Nageshwarlal, (1999). Effect of split application of potassium with FYM on rice (*Oryza Sativa*). Indian J. Agron., 44 (2): 301 -304.
- Thippeswamy, H.M.; B.G. Shivakumar and S.S. Balloli (2000). Potassium transformation studies in lowland rice (oryza sativa) as influenced by levels and time of K application. J. Indian Soci. Soil Sci., 16(4):7-11.
- Tisdale, S.L.; W.L.Nelson and J.D.Beation (1985). Soil fertility and fertilizers (fourth edition). Macmillan Publishing Company, 866 Third Avenue New York.
- Wang, Q.S.; R.H. Zhen; Y.F. Ding; J.Z. Jun ND w. Cao (2004). Effects of potassium fertilizer application rates on plant potassium accumulation and grain quality of japonica rice. China Scientia Agric. Sinica, 37(10)1444-1450.
- Wihardjaka, A.G.; G.J. Kirk and C.P. Mamank (1999). Potassium balances in rain-fed lowland rice on a light textured soil. Field Crops Res., 64: 237-247.
- Young, X. E.; L. H. Krik and A. Dobbermann (2005). Room induced changes of potassium in the rhizosphere of lowland rice. Communications in soils. In Soil Sci. and Plant Analysis, 36 (13): 1947-1963.
- Zaheen, M; H.A. Tahir; M.S. Ehsan; A.I. Rana; M.M. Ashraf and A. Mushtaq (2006). Effect of nitrogen levels on yield and yield components of basmati 2000. J. Agric. Res., 44(2).
- Zaratin, C.; S.A. Souza; A.C. Pantano; M.E. Sa and S. Buzetti (2004). Effects of four potassium doses on six sprinker irrigated rice upland cultivars .l. yield and yield components. Cientifica Jaboticabal, 32 (2);115-120.
- Zhang-Hong, C.; X.Q. Wang; Q.G. Dai; Z.Y. Huo and Xu-Ke (2003). Effects of N application rate on the yield, quality and nitrogen uptake of hybrid rice variety Liangyoupeijiu. Chinese, Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 36(7):80-806.

تيسر وامتصاص النيتروجين والبوتاسيوم تحت التسميد بالأسمدة الغير عضوية في أراضي الأرز المغمورة

خالد حسن الحامدى* ، صبحى عبدالحليم غانم ** و إبراهيم محمد هاشم **

- * قسم الأراضي كلية الزراعة جامعة المنصورة
- ** مركز البحوث والتدريب في الأرز سخا- كفرالشيخ

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان في مزرعة مركز البحوث والتدريب في الأرز سخا كفر الشيخ خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٧ ، ٢٠٠٨ وذلك لدراسة أثر استخدام مستويات مختلفة من السماد النيتروجيني ومستوى واحد من السماد البوتاسي مع طرق إضافة مختلفة من السماد البوتاسي على امتصاص كل من النيتروجين والبوتاسوم بواسطة نبات الأرز وتيسر هذه العناصر في التربة. أوضحت النتائج ان إضافة السماد النيتروجيني بمعدل ٩٢ كجم الفدان مع إستخدام المعدل الموصى به من السماد البوتاسي (٥٠ كجم سلفات بوتاسيوم) قبل الغمر أدى الى زيادة الكمية الميسرة للنبات من النيتروجين والبوتاسيوم عند ٣٠ يوم بعد الشتل في عامى الدراسة.

كما أوضحت النتائج أنة عند استخدام ٩٢ كجم نيتروجين للفدان مع إضافة نصف كمية البوتاسيوم الموصى بها (٢٥ كجم سلفات بوتاسيوم) قبل الغمر مع الرش بتركيز ٢% (٤ كجم سلفات بوتاسيوم) في مرحلتي تكوين السنابل والتزهير أدى إلى زيادة الممتص من النيتروجين والبوتاسيوم عند ٥٠ ، ٧٠ ، ٥٠ يوم من الشتل في عامي الدراسة.

أظهرت النتائج أن أعلى قيمة لمحصول الحبوب قد ظهرت عند إستخدام التسميد النيتروجينى بمعدل ٩٢ وحدة نيتروجين للفدان مع إضافة نصف كمية السماد البوتاسي الموصى بة (٢٥ كجم سلفات بوتاسيوم) للتربة قبل الغمر والرش بتركيز ٢% بوتاسيوم (٤٤جم سلفات بوتاسيوم) في مرحلتي تكوين السنابل والتزهير.

قام بتحکیم البحث أ.د / أحمد عبد القادر طه أ.د / عید مرسی خالد

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة كلية الزراعة – جامعة عين شمس