PEANUT RESPONSE TO POTASSIUM FERTILIZERS UNDER SANDY SALINE SOIL CONDITIONS

A. M. Elbaalawy⁽¹⁾, M. S. Mahrous⁽²⁾ and A. A. Akl⁽²⁾

(1) Soil Sci. Dept., Fac of Agric, Menoufia University, Egypt. (2) Soil, Water and Environment Res. Inst., A. R. C, Egypt.

Received: Dec. 17, 2017 Accepted: Jan. 18, 2018

ABSTARCT: Two field experiments were carried out during two successive summer seasons of 2016 and 2017 at Gelbana Village east Suez Canal, North Sinai Governorate, Egypt to study the impact of three sources of K-fertilization (K-sulphate, K-humate and Ksilicate) at different application rates i.e. 0, 20, 40 and 60 kg K2O/fed. on seed yield, yield components, chemical composition and seeds quality of peanut plants (Arachis hypogae L.) variety (Giza6). Also, effect of K fertilization on salt affected soil pH, EC and content of some available macro- and micro-nutrients were studied. The experiment was carried out in split plot design with three replicates. Increasing rate of added K resulted in a significant increase of seed yield and its components where the highest values were observed with K-humate application and the lowest values were associated with Ksulphate treatments. Similarly, significant increases of seeds content of N, P and K (%), Fe, Mn and Zn (mg/kg), protein and oil contents (%) were found with increasing rate of added K. There were no significant differences in the found values of the studied trails and parameters between the three sources of K fertilization in the two growing seasons. Both soil pH and EC (dSm⁻¹) were decreased with the incremental addition of K, where the obvious diminish occurred in soil fertilized by K-humate followed by those received K-sulphate. In addition, soil contents (mg/kg) of available N, P, K Fe, Mn and Zn were augmented with raising the rate of K fertilization, where the highest contents of these nutrients were found in the soil fertilized by K-silicate followed by those observed with soils fertilized by K-humate. Results obtained from this research indicate the necessity of K fertilization in salt affected soils to improve its properties, increase soil content of available essential nutrients and their agronomic efficiency.

Key words: Sandy saline soils, Peanut productivity and quality, K-sulphate, K-humate and K-silicate.

INTRODUCTION

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is considered one of the most important edible oil crops in Egypt, due to its seed's high nutritive value for humans as well as produced cake and green leafy hay for feeding livestock, in addition to the importance of the seed oil for industrial purposes. The main growing ares are located in the north of the country, including the reclaimed desert east and west of the Nile Delta. Peanut seeds are characterized by their high oil content 50%, which is utilized in different industries, besides they contain 26-28 %

protein, 20% carbohydrates and 5% fiber (Fageria et al., 1997).

Soil is the main factor and medium for agricultural production. There are vast areas of desert soils in Egypt either sandy or calcareous in nature that have been cultivated. These soils are characterized by poor physico-chemical properties, soil water-plant relationships and nutritional status (Awaad *et al.*, 2010). So, fertilizer application to these soils is inevitable for achieving high yields. Moreover, soil salinization is one of the major causes of declining

agricultural productivity in many arid and semiarid regions of the world.

Potassium, a major plant nutrient required in large quantities, plays important role in many physiological activities of plants and thus helps in achieving optimum crop yield (Grant and Bailey, 1993). Nowadays, potassium fertilizers are applied to almost all commercially grown crops to assure its availability to plants in proper proportions leading to obtain high yield and good quality (Inthichack et al., 2012). Moreover, potassium plays many roles in plants beside its main role as major plant nutrient such as reducing the damage caused by salinity to plants (Bar-Tal et al., 1991), increasing growth, yield and quality of crop yields (Khan et al., 2014) and increasing nutrient use efficiency (Tisdale et al., 2002).

Effect of potassium fertilizer application on different crops and soil properties was reported by some researchers. Aramrak et al. (2007) found that groundnut grown on sandy soils, having low available K content, responed significantly to potassium fertilizer applied to these soils. Awaad et al. (2010) concluded that application of potassium fertilizers resulted in significant increase in growth parameters of groundnut plants grown in newly reclaimed sandy soils of Egypt. Moreover, potassium application caused increase in soil available content of N, P and K and decrease in soil EC and pH.

Different sources of potassium salts can be used as K fertilizers such as potassium chloride (KCI), potassium sulphate (K_2SO_4), potassium nitrate (KNO₃), mono-potassium phosphate (KH₂PO₄) (Magen, 2004) , potassium silicate (K_2O_3Si) (Tokunaga , 1991) and potassium humate. Most of potassium fertilization trials depended on using either potassium sulphate or potassium chloride as a source of K. Studies on

using other sources of potassium are still limited.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effect of potassium and sulphate, potassium humate potassium silicate potassium fertilizers on available content of some macro- and micro-nutrient of studied soils and productivity of peanut plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out during two successive summer seasons of 2016 and 2017, to study the effect of some sources of potassium fertilizers on some soil chemical properties and peanut plants productivity grown on sandy loam saline soil, in Gelbana Village at the North-Western Mediterranean Coast of Sinai, between 32° 25' 59"E and 30° 38' 56" N, East Suez Canal, North Sinai Governorate, Egypt.

The applied potassium fertilizers are potassium humate, potassium sulphate and potassium silicate at rates of 0, 20, 40 60 kg K₂O /fed. (4200 m²). Before planting, surface soil samples (0-30 cm) were taken from the used soil. Soil samples were air-dried, ground, mixed well, and sieved through a 2 mm sieve. The samples then were analyzed for determination of some physical and chemical properties and their contents of some available macro- and micronutrients according to the methods described by Cottenie et al. (1982) Page et al. (1986) and Klute (1986). The obtained data were recorded in Table (1). Compost was applied at the rate of 5 Mg/fed. during soil tillage. Super phosphate (15% P2O5) was applied at rate of 200 kg/fed. Urea (48% N) was applied at rate of 40 kg/fed. in three equal doses after 21, 45 and 60 days from planting. In addition potassium fertilization in three forms was carried out as soil application in three equal doses at the same time of urea

applications. At the same day of planting the seeds were inoculated by biofertilizers (Rhizobium radiobacter sp strain) using gum media. The used biofertilizer obtained from Agricultural Microbiology Department, Soil, Water and **Environment Research Institute. Peanut** seeds (Arachis hypogaea L.) variety Giza 6 was obtained from Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center. Giza, Egypt. The studied treatments were arranged within the experimental units in a randomized split plot design with three replicates, where potassium sources were distributed in main plots and the sub plots represent application rates of each potassium source.

The area of experimental units was 10.5m² (3.5×3). Each unit was divided into seven rows. Two inoculated seeds were planted in each hole at 2 cm depth and 20 cm distance between the two holes. After 15 days from planting, the plants of each hole were thinned to one plant. Other farming practices were carried out

according to the recommendations of Ministry of Agriculture of Egypt. At harvesting stage, plants of each experimental unit were harvested and separated to straw and pods and weighed separately. Seed samples of each replicates were taken, weighed, oven dried at 70°C for 24 hrs and weighed to determine the dry matter yield. A 0.2 g of oven-dried plant samples was digested using 5ml of 3:1 H₂SO₄ + HCIO₄ mixture according to the method described by Chapman and Pratt (1961). The digest was diluted into 100 ml using distilled water. Nitrogen, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn were determined in the diluted solution according to the method described by Cottenie et al. (1982). Oil and protein contents of peanut seeds were determined according to methods reported in (AOAC 1980). Oil content was obtained by the soxhlet extraction method using diethyl ether. Protein was determined by the Kjeldahl procedure and the factor Nx6.25 was applied to convert nitrogen in to crud protein.

Table (1): Some physical and chemical properties of the studied soil.

Dhysiaal												
Physical	properties	•	T						1			
Course sand (%)	Fine sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		Те	xture	O.N (%)		CaCO₃ (%)			
2.84	77.22	8.16	11.7	8	Sand	ly loam	0.5	4	10.37			
Chemical properties												
pH ECe Soluble cations (meq/l) Soluble anions (meq/l)												
(1:2.5)	(dS/m)	Ca++	Mg++	Na⁺	+	K+	HCO⁻₃ CI⁻		SO 4			
8.10	9.53	10.39	19.08	65.0	0	0.83	9.53	60.00	25.77			
Available	e macro- a	ınd micro-nu	trients (m	g/kg)	•							
Macro-nu	trients		Micro-nut	trients	5							
N	Р	K	Fe			Mn	Zn		Cu			
33	33 5.68 198 6.41 3.12 0.85 0.59											

The obtained data of yield and its components and seed's contents of N, P K, Fe, Mn and Zn were statistically analyzed according Gomez and Gomez (1984) using coStat software. After plant harvesting, surface soil sample (0-30 cm) of each experimental unit was taken and prepared to determine soil pH, EC (dSm⁻¹) and its content of available macro (N, P and K) and micro (Fe, Mn and Zn) nutrients according to the methods described by Cottenie *et al.* (1982) and Page *et al.* (1982).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Peanut yield and yield component:

The presented data in Table (2) show yield and yield components of peanut plants planted in saline soils of Egypt affected by different sources potassium (potassium sulphate, humate and potassium potassium silicate) and application rates (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg K₂O/fed.). These data illustrated that, increasing rate of added K fertilization in the three evaluated sources resulted in a significant increases of the tested yield component. This trend was found in the two growing seasons with a slight increase in all tested yield component in the second growing season than that found in the first season. The latter findings are attributed to the found improvement in the soil chemical properties such as found in decrease of both pH and EC (dSm⁻¹) followed by K fertilizers additions in the second growing season compared with that may be occurred in the first one. Before that, Tattini et al. (1995) and Jacoby (1999) mentioned that, increasing K in growth medium decreased salinity stress and increased plant growth. With different sources of K fertilizers, Nassar and Abdel-Rahman (2015) and Abdel-All et al. (2017) obtained similar increase effect of K fertilization on plant growth under different soil conditions.

At the same application rate of the evaluated three sources of K fertilizers, data in Table (2) show a wide response of peanut plants to K sources, where the highest values of the tested yield components i.e., pods yield (Mg/fed.), 100 pods weight (g) 100 seed weight (g) and seeds yield (Mg/fed.) were found in the plants grown in soils fertilized by Khumate followed by those found with treatments of K-silicate. This order was noted in both growing seasons. These findings may be resulted from the effect of added K-source on soil properties and its content of available macro- and micronutrients. Nassar and Abdel-Rahman (2015) elucidated the significant effect of K humate on potato plants growth and attributed that to many essential plant nutrients presented as main components of K humate. With K-silicate similar results were obtained by Abdel-All et al. (2017) on onion plants. Recently, El-Koumy et al. (2017) obtained similar results with maize plants fertilized by different K sources under sandy and alluvial soil conditions.

Data in Table (3) show that the rate changes of peanut plants response to the added K- sources using the parameter namely relative changes "RC" (%). With the three K sources, all RC values were positive for all yield components under study and increased with the increase rate of added K fertilizers. According to the found RC values, the evaluated K sources takes the order K-humate > Ksilicate> K-sulphate. This trend was found with the four yield components in Main the growing seasons. observation may be noted from RC (%) values, with all yield components, RC values in the first season were higher than those found in the second one. This means that, K-fertilization was more effective plant growth in the first season. Values of RC with K fertilzeres treatments varied from component to another where, according to found RC values in the two growing seasons, the tested yield components takes the order: seed yield (Mg/fed.) > 100 seed weight (g) > 100 pod weight (g) > pods yield (Mg/fed.). This order means that, K fertilization have a high positive effect on seeds yield of peanut plants

In addition, data in Table (3) show that, agronomical efficiency "AE" of K-fertilizers (kg/kg) for both pods and seeds yield widely varied from K source to another at different application rates, where highest AE values were found in the plats fertilized by K-humate and lowest values were associated with the

treatments of K-sulphate. Also, AE values were decreased with the increase rate of K fertilization, these findings were observed in the two growing seasons. The same data show that, AE values of seeds yield with K fertilization were higher than those of pods yield. These results show the great importance of K fertilization to seeds yield increase. In this respect, Nassar and Abdel-Rahman (2015) Sayed (2016), Abdel-All et al. (2017) and El-Koumy et al. (2017) obtained partially similar results with different plants fertilized by different sources K fertilizers under conditions of some soils of Egypt.

Table (2): Pod and seed yield of peanut plants grown on sandy saline soils affected by K fertilizers.

	m treatments		201	16			201	17	
K source	Added K ₂ O (kg fed ⁻¹ .)	100 pod (g)	Pod yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)	Seed yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)	100 pod (g)	Pod yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)	Seed yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)
Control	0	233.00	1.59	75.00	1.03	238.00	1.66	78.00	1.06
۶.	20	246.00	1.67	79.00	1.15	250.00	1.75	82.00	1.18
Potassium sulphate	40	250.00	1.68	82.00	1.18	253.00	1.77	84.00	1.23
otas sulp	60	260.00	1.70	86.00	1.22	264.00	1.82	89.00	1.25
<u> </u>	Mean	247.25	1.66	80.50	1.15	251.25	1.75	83.25	1.18
	20	248.00	1.70	80.00	1.18	253.00	1.75	83.00	1.22
siur	40	259.00	1.74	86.00	1.26	261.00	1.79	88.00	1.30
Potassium humate	60	263.00	1.78	89.00	1.30	267.00	1.86	92.00	1.33
<u>a</u>	Mean	254.31	1.72	83.88	1.22	258.06	1.79	86.56	1.26
ے	20	245.00	1.68	81.00	1.17	253.00	1.74	84.00	1.20
Potassium silicate	40	259.00	1.72	87.00	1.27	264.00	1.82	89.00	1.31
otassiu	60	260.00	1.74	88.00	1.31	267.00	1.85	90.00	1.35
<u> </u>	Mean	254.58	1.72	84.97	1.24	260.52	1.80	87.39	1.28
	Sources	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD	Rates	4.22	0.062	1.74	0.033	2.34	0.026	3.15	0.028
	Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	4.68	NS	NS	NS

Table 3

Peanut content of macronutrients

Seeds of peanut contents of N, P and K (%) were increased with the increase rate of added K fertilization in the two growing seasons (Table, 4). These findings are due to the effect of added K fertilizers on soil fertility, especially soil content of available N, p and K as mentioned before by El-Koumy et al. (2017). Such increases were found with the three evaluated sources of K fertilizers. Based on the found N, P and K

concentrations (%), it may be noted that, at the same treatment of K fertilization, peanut seeds contents (%) of N, p and K in the second growing season were higher than those found in the first one. These increments may be due to the found improvement in soil properties as a result of K fertilization (Abdel-All et al., 2017). Increasing plant content of N, P, and K followed by fertilization with different K sources was reported earlier by Belay et al. (2002) and Dong et al. (2010).

Table (4): Effect of K fertilization on N, P and K content (%) and their relative changes "RC" (%) in seeds of peanut plants grown on sandy saline soil.

	assium tments			-	016	<u>g</u> .			., c		201		
К	Added	١	1		Р		K	N	ı		P	l	K
source	K₂O (kgfed. ⁻¹)	(%)	RC (%)	(%)	RC (%)	(%)	RC (%)	(%)	RC (%)	(%)	RC (%)	(%)	RC (%)
nate	0	3.19	0.00	0.41	0.00	2.59	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.48	0.00	2.62	0.00
Potassium sulphate	20	3.29	3.13	0.46	12.20	2.76	6.56	3.37	3.69	0.52	8.33	2.77	5.73
S WIN	40	3.34	4.70	0.51	24.39	2.91	12.36	3.44	5.85	0.58	20.83	2.94	12.21
assi	60	3.47	8.78	0.58	41.46	2.95	13.90	3.52	8.31	0.60	25.00	2.97	13.36
Pota	Mean	3.32	5.54	0.49	26.02	2.80	10.94	3.40	5.95	0.55	18.06	2.83	10.43
ate	0	3.19	0.00	0.41	0.00	2.59	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.48	0.00	2.62	0.00
hum	20	3.33	4.39	0.48	17.07	2.78	7.34	3.36	3.38	0.54	12.50	2.81	7.25
Potassium humate	40	3.46	8.46	0.54	31.71	2.88	11.20	3.42	5.23	0.59	22.92	2.91	11.07
assi	60	3.49	9.40	0.50	21.95	2.97	14.67	3.55	9.23	0.63	31.25	2.98	13.74
Pot	Mean	3.37	7.42	0.48	23.58	2.81	11.07	3.40	5.95	0.56	22.22	2.83	10.69
ate	0	3.19	0.00	0.41	0.00	2.59	0.00	3.25	0.00	0.48	0.00	2.62	0.00
silic	20	3.32	4.08	0.59	43.90	2.83	9.27	3.35	3.08	0.55	14.58	2.85	8.78
Potassium silicate	40	3.39	6.27	0.56	36.59	2.90	11.97	3.44	5.85	0.60	25.00	2.88	9.92
assi	60	3.49	9.40	0.52	26.83	2.98	15.06	3.56	9.54	0.63	31.25	2.92	11.45
Pot	Mean	3.35	6.58	0.52	35.77	2.83	12.10	3.40	6.15	0.57	23.61	2.82	10.05
	Sources	N	S	١	NS	١	IS	N	S	N	IS	N	IS
LSD 0.05	Rates	0.1	67	0.	.03	0.0	012	0.0	18	0.0	059	0.0	036
	Interaction	N	S	-	NS	N	IS	N	S	N	IS	N	IS

Regarding to the agricultural use efficiency of the evaluated three sources of K fertilizers which may be given the terminology of relative changes "RC", data in Table (4) according to N, P and K contents and their relative changes RC (%) it may observed that, all RC values were positive and increased with the increase rate of added K with no clear trend in the two growing seasons with different sources K sources for N, P and K. With all K fertilization treatments in the two growing seasons, higher RC (%) values of macronutrients contents were found with P followed by those of K. This trend may be resulted from the initial low content of P and high content of available K in the growing medium. The same table shows that, at the same rate of added K, the high content of the determined macro-nutrients (N, P and K) and their relative changes RC (%) were found with plants fertilized by K-humate followed by those found in the plants fertilized by Ksilicate. These findings may be explained based on the found changes in soil properties and its content of available N, P and K as a result of K additions (El-Koumy et al., 2017). Also, the superior effect K-humate on N, P and K (%) compared with other sources may be attributed to the presence of these nutrients as essential components of Khumate. Also, both K-humate and Ksilicate improved water status in both plant and soil (Cacco et al., 2000, Jones et al., 2007 and Sayed, 2016). These results are in agreements with those obtained by Kumar et al. (2013), Delfine et al. (2005) and Nassar and Abdel-Rahman (2015).

Peanut seeds content of micronutrient

Micro-nutrients (Fe, Mn and Zn) content (mg/kg) in the seeds of peanut plants fertilized by different rates of three

K fertilizers (sulphate, humate silicate) under sandy loam soil conditions as listed in Table (5) show that, in the two growing seasons and with the three tested K fertilizer sources, increasing rate of added K were associated with an increase in the seeds of peanut contents (mg/kg) of Fe, Mn and Zn. So, all RC values (%) of the determined micronutrients in peanut seeds were positive in the two growing seasons and become more positive at higher application rate of added K. These findings mainly resulted from the improved conditions of growing medium increase in micro-nutrients availability followed by K fertilization. Such conclusions were mentioned earlier by Awaad et al. (2010) and Abdel-All et al. (2017).

At the same rate of added K, data in Table (5) show a wide variation between content determined the of the the micronutrients, where highest content was found with Fe followed by those recorded with Mn. This trend is in harmony with the used soil content of available micronutrients (Table, 1). Also, at the same rate of added K, the found contents of Fe, Mn and Zn in seed of peanut plants in the second growing season were slightly higher than those found in the first season which may be due to improve in soil properties in the second season compared with that found in the first one. On the other hand, RC (%) values were in the arrangement of Fe followed by those of Zn content with different treatments of K fertilization. In the two growing seasons and at the same rate of added K, data in Table (5) showed that high content of Fe, Mn and Zn in peanut seeds were observed in the plants fertilized by K-humate, while lowest values were associated with K-sulphate treatments. So, RC (%) values of Fe, Mn and Zn content of peanut seeds varied

from K source to another, where according to theses values the tested K sources takes the order K-humate > K-silicate > K-sulphate. This order was found in the two growing seasons with

the determined three nutrients. In this aspect Hashish *et al.* (2015) and El-Koumy *et al.* (2017) obtained similar results.

Table (5): Effect of K fertilization on Fe, Mn and Zn content (mg kg⁻¹) and their relative changes "RC" (%) in seeds of peanut plants grown on sandy saline soil.

	Potassium 2015 2016												
	ssium ments			20	015					20	016		
	Added	Fe	9	M	ln	Z	'n	F	9	N	ln	Z	'n
K source	K₂O (kg fed. ⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)										
ate	0	86.25	0.00	47	0.00	36	0.00	87.12	0.00	48	0.00	42	0.00
Potassium sulphate	20	89.30	3.54	51	8.51	39	8.33	89.25	2.44	59	22.92	46	9.52
s wn	40	91.34	5.90	55	17.02	42	16.67	91.08	4.55	61	27.08	47	11.90
tassi	60	91.36	5.92	58	23.40	44	22.22	91.11	4.58	64	33.33	49	16.67
Poi	Mean	89.56	5.12	52.75	16.31	40.25	15.74	89.64	3.86	58.00	27.78	46.00	12.70
ate	0	86.25	0.00	47	0.00	36	0.00	87.12	0.00	48	0.00	42	0.00
Potassium humate	20	91.36	5.92	53	12.77	39	8.33	91.56	5.10	62	29.17	47	11.90
ium	40	92.38	7.11	58	23.40	42	16.67	92.69	6.39	64	33.33	49	16.67
tass	60	92.40	7.13	60	27.66	45	25.00	92.72	6.43	66	37.50	52	23.81
Ро	Mean	90.60	6.72	54.5	21.28	42	16.67	91.02	5.97	60.00	33.33	47.50	17.46
te	0	86.25	0.00	47	0.00	36	0.00	87.12	0.00	48	0.00	42	0.00
silica	20	92.35	7.07	54	14.89	40	11.11	92.67	6.37	63	31.25	48	14.29
Potassium silicate	40	92.39	7.12	59	25.53	45	25.00	92.71	6.42	66	37.50	50	19.05
tass	60	92.42	7.15	61	29.79	49	36.11	92.76	6.47	68	41.67	53	26.19
Ро	Mean	90.85	7.11	55.25	23.40	42.5	24.07	91.32	6.42	61.25	36.81	48.25	19.84
	Sources	0.7	'3	N	S	N	S	0.5	55	N	S	N	S
LSD 0.05	Rates	0.8	33	5.	86	6.	05	0.8	35	0.	75	0.9	25
	Interaction	NS	S	N	S	N	S	N:	S	N	S	N	S

Peanut content of protein and oil

Protein and oil content (%) of peanut major seeds considered the parameters which may be used to evaluate seeds quality. Data in Table (6) manifest a significant increase in seeds content of both protein and oil (%) with increase rate of added K. these findings were found in the two growing seasons with the three tested K fertilizers. Also, oil and protein content (kg/fed) were increased with the increase rate of added K. There are slight increases in both content and yield of oil and protein in the second growing season compared with those found in the first one. These increase resulted from seed high yield and high content of macro- and micro-nutrients (Tables, 2 to 5). In addition, data in Table (6) show that, all RC values of protein and oil yields affected by rates of added K, were positive and become more positive at higher application rates. Similar increases of protein of wheat plants as a result of K treatment were found by Nassar and Abdel-Rahman (2015). These results are in agreements with those obtained by Anuradha and Sharma (1995), Shahid et al. (1999) and Awaad et al. (2010).

Table (6): Oil and protein content (%) of peanut plants under sandy saline soil conditions and their relative changes "RC" (%) affected by K fertilization.

Potassium	treatments			20	16					20′	17		
	Added		Oil			Protein			Oil			Protein	
K source	K₂O (kg fed. ⁻¹)	(%)	(Kg fed ⁻¹)	RC (%)									
Control	0	40	412.00	0.00	19.94	205.38	0.00	41	434.60	0.00	20.31	215.29	0.00
	20	42	483.00	5.00	20.56	236.44	3.11	43	507.40	4.88	21.06	248.51	3.69
Potassium	40	43	507.40	7.50	20.87	246.27	4.66	45	553.50	9.76	21.50	264.45	5.86
sulphate	60	44	536.80	10.00	21.69	264.62	8.78	46	575.00	12.20	22.00	275.00	8.32
	Mean	42.25	484.80	5.63	20.77	238.18	4.14	43.75	517.63	6.71	21.22	250.81	4.47
	0	40	412.00	0.00	19.94	205.38	0.00	41	434.60	0.00	20.31	215.29	0.00
Potassium	20	43	507.40	7.50	20.81	245.56	4.36	44	536.80	7.32	21.00	256.20	3.40
humate	40	46	579.60	15.00	21.62	272.41	8.43	47	611.00	14.63	21.37	277.81	5.22
	60	49	637.00	22.50	21.81	283.53	9.38	49	651.70	19.51	22.19	295.13	9.26
	Mean	44.5	534.00	11.25	21.05	251.72	5.54	45.25	558.53	10.37	21.22	261.11	4.47
	0	40	412.00	0.00	19.94	205.38	0.00	41	434.60	0.00	20.31	215.29	0.00
	20	42	491.40	5.00	20.75	242.78	4.06	44	528.00	7.32	20.94	251.28	3.10
Potassium silicate	40	46	584.20	15.00	21.87	277.75	9.68	48	628.80	17.07	21.50	281.65	5.86
	60	48	628.80	20.00	21.81	285.71	9.38	49	661.50	19.51	22.25	300.38	9.55
	Mean	44	529.10	10.00	21.09	252.90	5.78	45.5	563.23	10.98	21.25	262.15	4.63
	Sources	NS	-	-									
LSD	Rates	2.92	-	-	0.68	-	-	2.85	-	-	0.77	-	-
	Interaction	NS	-	-									

In addition, data in Table (6) elucidate that, at the same rate of added K fertilization in the two growing season, the highest contents (%) and yields (kg/fed) of oil and protein were found in the plants fertilized by K-humate followed by those found in plants fertilized by K-sulphate. As mentioned previously, this trend resulted from the improved nutrition status of plants fertilized by K-humate compared with other two sources.

There are no significant difference between the effect of K fertilization sources on the contents of both oil and protein of peanut seeds (Table, 6). These findings means that, K fertilization reduced the harmful effect of soil salinity (Tattini et al., 1995 and Jacoby 1999) and increased quality of peanut yield (Awaad et al., 2010).

Effect of K fertilization on soil properties a. Soil pH

Data in Table (7) denote a slight decrease of sandy loam saline soil pH affected by the K fertilization, where this decrease effect was increased with raising rate of added K. These findings were observed with the three sources of K fertilization in the two growing seasons. The decrease in soil pH as a result of K fertilization was reported earlier by Abdel-All et al. (2017) and El-Koumy et al. (2017). Based on the mean soil pH affected by the treatments of each K source, these sources take the order: K-sulphate > K-silicate > K-hmate. This order means that, K-humate has a high decrease effect of soil pH compared with those found with other two sources. This order also may be explained based on Ksources reactions and transformation in the soil.

b. Soil EC (dSm⁻¹) Values of EC (dSm⁻¹) of sandy loam

saline soils fertilized by K in three sources at different application rates, presented in Table (7), show that different K applications were associated with diminish in soil EC especially at high rates of added K. The decline effect of K fertilization on soil EC was observed in the two growing seasons. At the same treatment of K fertilization, soil EC in the first growing season was higher than that found in the second one. These findings were found with the tested three fertilizers. For example EC values of K-sulphate saline soil treated by decreased from 9.12 to 8.31 and from 7.900 to 6.30 dSm⁻¹ with the increase rate from 0.0 to 60 kg K2O/ fed in the first and second season, respectively. Such this high decrease may be enhanced as a result from other farming practices during two seasons. In this respect Abdel-All et al. (2017) and El-Koumy et al. (2017) obtained similar results.

Regarding of to the effect fertilization sources on soil EC, data in Table (7) show that, at the same rate of added K and based on the found decrease of soil EC as a result of K fertilization, the tested sources of K fertilization take the order K-silicate (7.57 dSm^{-1}) > K- humate (7.54 dSm^{-1}) > Ksulphate (7.49dSm⁻¹) based on the mean values of EC in the two growing seasons. This arrangement may be ascribed to the added K sources reactions with other soil compounds. Abdel-All et al. (2017) and El-Koumy et al. (2017) obtained similar relations between K fertilization and Soil EC.

c. Soil content of available macronutrients.

Sandy loam saline soil content (mg/kg) of available macro-nutrients affected by K fertilization, represented in Table (7), demonstrate that, increasing rate of added K promoted the soil content of available N, P and K. these findings

Table (7): Effect of the studied potassium fertilizers on soil pH, EC and its content of available macro- and micro-nutrients.

Potassium	Added K ₂ O (Kg	pH (1:2.5)	ECe (dSm ⁻¹)	mad	Availab cronutr (mgkg ⁻	ients	mic	Availabl ronutri (mgkg ⁻¹	ents
sources	fed. ⁻¹)		,	N	Р	K	Fe	Mn	Zn
				Seaso	n 2016				
	0	8.08	9.12	34	5.77	190	6.39	3.14	0.58
Data a sissas	20	8.04	8.18	38	5.89	199	6.44	3.26	0.59
Potassium sulphate	40	8.00	7.97	44	5.97	209	6.52	3.34	0.61
	60	7.97	7.83	47	6.23	214	6.58	3.37	0.62
	Mean	8.02	8.28	40.75	5.97	203.00	6.48	3.28	0.60
	0	8.08	9.12	34	5.77	190	6.39	3.14	0.58
Datassium	20	8.02	8.10	42	5.88	206	6.68	3.17	0.56
Potassium humate	40	7.97	7.88	47	6.04	215	6.72	3.24	0.59
	60	7.94	7.74	50	6.29	218	6.75	3.32	0.59
	Mean	8.00	8.21	43.25	6.00	207.25	6.64	3.22	0.58
	0	8.08	9.12	34	5.77	190	6.39	3.14	0.58
Data a strong	20	8.06	8.38	45	5.87	210	6.82	3.20	0.58
Potassium silicate	40	8.02	8.14	49	6.18	219	6.86	3.35	0.60
	60	8.01	7.18	54	6.28	223	6.92	3.37	0.62
	Mean	8.04	8.38	45.50	6.03	210.50	6.75	3.27	0.59
	_		Seaso	ns 2017					
	0	8.07	7.94	39	5.83	196	6.45	3.18	0.59
	20	8.02	6.46	43	5.93	203	6.53	3.34	0.61
	40	7.99	6.39	46	5.97	211	6.56	3.38	0.61
Potassium	60	7.96	6.32	49	5.99	216	6.60	3.41	0.62
sulphate	Mean	8.01	6.78	44.25	5.93	206.5	6.54	3.33	0.61
	0	8.07	7.94	39	5.83	196	6.45	3.18	0.59
Deteccion	20	8.01	6.41	45	5.97	209	6.70	3.36	0.58
Potassium humate	40	7.95	6.37	51	6.04	219	6.75	3.39	0.60
	60	7.93	6.30	63	6.08	223	6.78	3.43	0.61
	Mean	7.99	6.76	49.50	5.98	211.75	6.67	3.34	0.59
	0	8.07	7.94	39	5.83	196	6.45	3.18	0.59
Potassium	20	8.03	6.48	48	5.99	213	6.84	3.39	0.59
silicate	40	8.00	6.42	52	6.05	222	6.89	3.43	0.61
	60	7.98	6.36	57	6.09	226	6.95	3.46	0.62
	Mean	8.02	6.80	49.00	5.99	214.25	6.78	3.37	0.60

were found with the tested three fertilizers in the two growing seasons. There are no wide variations in the soil content of available N, P and K in the two growing seasons. The highest content of the determined available macronutrient under study affected by fertilization was found with K followed by those found with N. In addition, the highest contents of available K were associated with application of K-humate and the lowest values were found in the experimental units fertilized by K-sulphate. These findings were similar at all application rates of K in the two growing seasons. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Awaad et al. (2010) and El-Koumy et al. (2017).

d. Soil content of available micronutrients

Contents (mg/kg) of available Fe, Mn and Zn in the sandy loam saline soil fertilized by three sources of K fertilizers at different rates were determine and the obtained data in Table (7) show that, increasing rate of added K of the three sources (K-humate, K-silicate and Ksulphate) increased soil contents of available Fe, Mn and Zn. Similar results were obtained in the two rowing seasons. With all treatments of K fertilization, the highest content was found with Fe while Zn recorded the lowest one. In both seasons and according to the soil contents of studied available micronutrients, the tested K sources followed the order: K-silicate > K-humate > K-sulphate. Abdel-All et al. (2017) and El-Koumy et al. (2017) reported similar enhancing effect of K- fertilization on soil content of available Fe, Mn and Zn determined different soil conditions of Egypt.

CONCLUSION

Based on the obtained data in this study it may be concluded that, soil

applications of K fertilizers with the different sources is very important to improve sandy soil productivity of peanut plants as a result of improve in the soil chemical properties and its content of some available macro- and micro-nutrients especially in the salt affected soil. This means that K- fertilization increased plant tolerance to salinity stress.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-All, A.E., A. K. Abdelhalim and A. El-Namas (2017). Assessment of deficit irrigation and foliar application of salicylic acid and potassium silicate on performance of drip-irrigated onion crop in sandy soils. Menoufia J. Soil Sci. 2: 29-40.
- Anuradha, K. and P.S. Sharma (1995). Effect of moisture stress and applied potassium on yield and biochemical parameters of soybean in Virtisols. J. Oil seeds Res. 12: 275-278.
- AOAC (1980). Standard Official Methods of Analysis. 13th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC., pp: 41-44.
- Aramrak, S., J. Chanchareonsook, C. Suwannarat and E. Sarobol (2007). Assessment of multielement extractants for prediction of available potassium in Thai soils. Kasetsart J. Natural Sci. 41: 461–466.
- Awaad, M. S., A. R. Ahmed and A. A.M. Mohamedin (2010). Effect of different applied of potassium sources on quantity and quality of groundnut crop grown under newly reclaimed sandy soil conditions. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. and Dev. 24: 37-48.
- Bar-Tal, A., S. Fergenbaun and D. L. Sparks, (1991). Potassium-salinity interaction in irrigated corn. Irrig. Sci. 12:27–35.
- Belay, A., A. Claassens and F. Wehner (2002). Effect of direct nitrogen and potassium and residual phosphorus

- fertilizers on soil chemical properties, microbial components and maize yield under long-term crop rotation. Biol. Fertil. Soils 35:420–427.
- Cacco, G., E. Attina, A. Gelsomino and M. Sidari (2000). Effect of nitrate and humic substances of different molecular size on kinetic parameters of nitrate uptake in wheat seedlings. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 163: 313-320.
- Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters. Division of Agricultural Sciences, University of California, Riverside.
- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kiekens, G. Velgh and R. Camerlynck (1982). Chemical Analysis of Plants and Soils. State Univ. Ghent Belgium.
- Delfine, S., E. Tognetti, A. Desiderio and D. Alvino (2005). Effect of foliar application of N and humic acids on growth and yield of durum wheat. Agron Sustain Dev. 25:183-191
- Dong, H., X. Kong, W. Li, W. Tang and D. Zhang (2010). Effects of plant density and nitrogen and potassium fertilization on cotton yield and uptake of major nutrients in two fields with varying fertility. Field Crops Res. 119: 106-113.
- El-Koumy, B. Y., Fatma S. El-Shafei, M. M. Shehata and S. S. Ekalawey (2017). Effect of farmyard manure and different sources of P and K on some macronutrients in soil and plant. Menoufia J. Soil Sci. 2:187-200.
- Fageria, N.K., V.C. Baligar and C. Jones (1997). Growth and mineral nutrition of field crops 2nd Ed. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York 1001 k. p. 494.
- Gomez, K.A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Grant, C.A. and L.D. Bailey (1993). Fertility management in canola production. Can. J. Plant Sci., 73: 651–670.

- Hashish, K.H., F.E.M. El-Quesni and A. M. Mazher (2015). Influence of Potassium humate on growth and chemical constituents of Jatropha curcus L. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res. 8: 279-283.
- Inthichack, P., Y. Nishimura and Y. Fukumoto (2012). Effect of potassium sources and rates on plant growth, mineral absorption, and the incidence of tip burn in cabbage, celery, and lettuce. Hort. Environ. Biotechnol. 53: 135-142.
- Jacoby, B (1999). Mechanisms Involved in Salt Tolerance of Plants. In: M. Pessarakli (Ed.) Handbook of Plant and Crop Stress, pp. 97–124. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Jones, C.A., J.S. Jacobsen and A. Mugaas (2007). Effect of low-rate commercial humic acids on phosphorus availability, micronutrient uptake, and spring wheat yield. Comm. Soil Plant Anal. 38: 921-933.
- Khan, A. A., M. Sajid, A. Rab, S. Alam and A. Bari (2014). Effect of potassium sources on the growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato cultivars. Sarhad J. Agriculture. 30:442-450.
- Klute, A. (1986). Method of Soil Analysis,
 Part 1, Physical and Mineralogical
 Methods, Second edition, American
 Society of Agronomy, Inc and Soil
 Science Society of America, Inc.,
 Publisher, Madison, Wisconsin USA,
 2nd Edition.
- Kumar, D., A. P. Singh, P. Raha, A. Rakshit, C.M. Singh and P. Kishor (2013). Potassium Humate: A Potential Soil Conditioner and Plant Growth Promoter. International J. Agriculture, Env. and Biotech. 6:441-446.
- Magen, H. (2004). Potassium in Fertigation Systems. International Potash Institute (IPI), 5th Fertigation Training Coarse Boading, AUH, June.
- Nassar, K. E. and L. A. Abdel-Rahman (2015). Impact of compost and methods of potassium humate

- application on wheat. Menoufia J.Agr. Res. 40:1377-1385.
- Page, A.I., R.A. Miller and D.R. Keene (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part
 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nd Ed., Amer. Soc. of Agron., Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Sayed, D. A. (2016). Response of potato plants to potassium and micronutrient fertilization. Menoufia J. Soil Sci. 1:117 129.
- Shahid, U., S.K. Bansal, P. Imas and H. Magen (1999). Effect of foliar fertilization of potassium on yield, quality and nutrient uptake of groundnut. J. Plant Nutrition. 22: 1785-1795.
- Tattini, M., R. Gucci, M.A. Coradeschi, C. Ponzio and J.D. Everarard (1995). Growth, gas exchange and ion content in *Olea europaea* plants during salinity and subsequent relief. Physiol. Plantarum 95: 203-210.
- Tisdale, S.L., W.L. Nelson, J.D. Beaton and J.L. Havlin (2002). Soil and Fertilizer Potassium. pp. 230-265, In: Soil Fertility and Fertilizers (5th Ed.), Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi.
- Tokunaga, Y. (1991). Potassium silicate: A slow-release potassium fertilizer. Fertilizer research. 30: 55–59.

إستجابة الفول السوداني للتسميد البوتاسي تحت ظروف الأراضي الرملية الملحية

 $^{(2)}$ أحمد محمد البعلاوى $^{(1)}$ ، محسن صبرى محروس

(1) قسم علوم الأراضى - كلية الزراعة جامعة المنوفية - مصر

(²⁾ معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة- مركز البحوث الزراعية- مصر

الملخص العربي

أجريت تجربتين حقليتين خلال الموسم الصيفى لعامى 2016 و 2017 فى قرية جلبانة; شرق قناة السويس, محافظة شمال سيناء, مصر, لدراسة إستجابة نباتات الفول السودانى (صنف جيزة 6) للتسميد البوتاسى بثلاث مصادر مختلفة من التسميد البوتاسى هى كبريتات البوتاسيوم و هيومات البوتاسيوم و سيليكات البوتاسيوم وذلك بمعدلات مختلفة لكل منهم ((K_2O) كول فدان). وتأثير هذه المعاملات على المحصول ومكوناته ومحتوى الحبوب من العناصر الغذائية وجودتها. كذلك تم دراسة تأثير هذه المعاملات على رقم الحموضة للتربة والتوصيل الكهربي لها والمحتوى الميسرمن عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك وصممت التجربة بنظام القطع المنشقة في ثلاث مكررات.

أظهرت النتائج أن زيادة معدلات التسميد البوتاسي أدت إلى زيادة معنوية في محصول حبوب الفول السوداني وكانت أعلى القيم عند التسميد بهيومات البوتاسيوم بينما كانت أقلها مع التسميد بكبريتات البوتاسيوم. كذلك أدت زيادة معدلات التسميد البوتاسي للمصادر المختلفة إلى زيادة محتوى الحبوب من عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك, وكانت الفروق بين المصادر المختلفة للبوتاسيوم غير معنوية. أدت الزيادة في مستويات التسميد البوتاسي من المصادر المختلفة إلى خفض كل من رقم الحموضة والتوصيل الكهربي للتربة وحدث أعلى إنخفاض في هذه القيم مع استخدام هيومات البوتاسيوم تلتها عند استخدام كبريتات البوتاسيوم. أظهرت النتائج أيضا أن محتوى التربة من عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك في الصورة الميسرة قد زاد مع زيادة معدلات التسميد البوتاسي للمصادر المختلفة وكانت أعلى القيم لهذه العناصر عند استخدام سيليكات البوتاسيوم تلتها عند إستخدام هيومات البوتاسيوم. وتوصى النتائج المتحصل عليها من هذه الدراسة إلى ضرورة إستخدام التسميد البوتاسي في الأراضي الرملية الملحية لتحسين خواصها وزيادة محتواها من العناصر الغذائية الميسرة و كذلك زيادة كفاءتها الإنتاجية.

أسماء السادة المحكمين

أد/ منال فتحى طنطاوى مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة أد/ محمد حمادة شلبى كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية

Table (3): Relative changes (RC, %) and agronomic efficiency (AE) of pods and seeds of peanut plants grown on sandy saline soil affected by K fertilization.

	ssium ments			20	16					20)17		
к	Added	100 pod (g)		l yield g fed. ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)		d yield ı fed. ⁻¹)	100 pod (g)		l yield fed. ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)		/ield (Mg ed ⁻¹)
source	K₂O	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)
	0	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Potassium sulphate	20	5.58	5.03	4.00	5.33	11.65	6.00	5.04	5.42	4.50	5.13	11.32	6.00
otassium sulphate	40	7.30	5.66	2.25	9.33	14.56	3.75	6.30	6.63	2.75	7.69	16.04	4.25
Pot su	60	11.59	6.92	1.83	14.67	18.45	3.17	10.92	9.64	2.67	14.10	17.92	3.17
	Mean	8.15	5.87	2.69	9.78	14.89	4.31	7.42	7.23	3.31	8.97	15.09	4.47
	0	-	ı	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	•
ium	20	6.44	6.92	5.50	6.67	14.56	7.50	6.30	5.42	4.50	6.41	15.09	8.00
Potassium humate	40	11.16	9.43	3.75	14.67	22.33	5.75	9.66	7.83	3.25	12.82	22.64	6.00
Pot	60	12.88	11.95	3.17	18.67	26.21	4.50	12.18	12.05	3.33	17.95	25.47	4.50
	Mean	10.16	9.43	4.14	13.33	21.04	5.92	9.38	8.43	3.69	12.39	21.07	6.17
	0	-	•		-	-	-	-	•		1	-	
e H	20	5.15	5.66	4.50	8.00	13.59	7.00	6.30	4.82	4.00	7.69	13.21	7.00
otassiur silicate	40	11.16	8.18	3.25	16.00	23.30	6.00	10.92	9.64	4.00	14.10	23.58	6.25
Potassium silicate	60	11.59	9.43	2.50	17.33	27.18	4.67	12.18	11.45	3.17	15.38	27.36	4.83
	Mean	9.30	7.76	3.42	13.78	21.36	5.89	9.80	8.63	3.72	12.39	21.38	6.03
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	20	5.72	5.87	4.67	6.67	13.27	6.83	5.88	5.22	4.33	6.41	13.21	7.00
Mean	40	9.87	7.76	3.08	13.33	20.06	5.17	8.96	8.03	3.33	11.54	20.75	5.50
2	60	12.02	9.43	2.50	16.89	23.95	4.11	11.76	11.04	3.06	15.81	23.58	4.17
	Mean	9.20	7.69	3.42	12.30	19.09	5.37	8.87	8.10	3.57	11.25	19.18	5.56

Table (1): Some physical and chemical properties of the studied soil.

Physical	l propert	ties										
Course sand (%)	Fine sand (%)	Silt (%)	Cla	·	Texture	O.N (%		CaCO ₃ (%)				
2.84	77.22	8.16	11.7	'8	Sandy loam	0.5	4	10.37				
Chemic	Chemical properties											
pН	ECe	Solub	ole cation	ns (me	eq/l)	Soluble	anion	s (meq/l)				
(1:2.5)	(dS/m)	Ca++	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	K ⁺	HCO-3	Cl-	SO 4				
8.10	9.53	10.39	19.08	65.00	0.83	9.53	60.00	25.77				
Availal	ole macr	o- and mici	ro-nutrie	ents (n	ng/kg)							
Macro-i	Macro-nutrients Micro-nutrients											
N	P	K	Fe		Mn	Zn	l	Cu				
33	5.68	198	6.41		3.12	0.85		0.59				

Table (2): Pod and seed yield of peanut plants grown on sandy saline soils affected by K fertilizers.

Potassiui	n treatments		20	16			20	17	
K source	Added K ₂ O (kg fed ⁻¹ .)	100 pod (g)	Pod yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)	Seed yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)	100 pod (g)	Pod yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)	Seed yield (Mg fed ⁻¹)
Control	0	233.00	1.59	75.00	1.03	238.00	1.66	78.00	1.06
u e	20	246.00	1.67	79.00	1.15	250.00	1.75	82.00	1.18
ssin 1 hat	40	250.00	1.68	82.00	1.18	253.00	1.77	84.00	1.23
Potassiu m sulphate	60	260.00	1.70	86.00	1.22	264.00	1.82	89.00	1.25
F S	Mean	247.25	1.66	80.50	1.15	251.25	1.75	83.25	1.18
u te	20	248.00	1.70	80.00	1.18	253.00	1.75	83.00	1.22
Potassiu m humate	40	259.00	1.74	86.00	1.26	261.00	1.79	88.00	1.30
ota	60	263.00	1.78	89.00	1.30	267.00	1.86	92.00	1.33
H m	Mean	254.31	1.72	83.88	1.22	258.06	1.79	86.56	1.26
u te	20	245.00	1.68	81.00	1.17	253.00	1.74	84.00	1.20
ıssiu	40	259.00	1.72	87.00	1.27	264.00	1.82	89.00	1.31
Potassiu m silicate	60	260.00	1.74	88.00	1.31	267.00	1.85	90.00	1.35
T m	Mean	254.58	1.72	84.97	1.24	260.52	1.80	87.39	1.28
	Sources	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
LSD	Rates	4.22	0.062	1.74	0.033	2.34	0.026	3.15	0.028
LOD	Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	4.68	NS	NS	NS

 $Table \ (3): Relative \ changes \ (RC, \%) \ and \ agronomic \ efficiency \ (AE) \ of \ pods \ and \ seeds \ of \ peanut \ plants \ grown \ on \ sandy \ saline \ soil \ affected \ by \ K \ fertilization.$

	ssium ments			2016 100						20	17		
K	Added	100 pod (g)		l yield g fed. ⁻¹)	100 seed (g)		d yield g fed. ⁻¹)	100 pod (g)	Pod yield (Mg fed. ⁻¹)		100 seed (g)		rield (Mg ed ⁻¹)
source	K ₂ O	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)	RC (%)	RC (%)	AE (kg/kg)
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
um ite	20	5.58	5.03	4.00	5.33	11.65	6.00	5.04	5.42	4.50	5.13	11.32	6.00
Potassium sulphate	40	7.30	5.66	2.25	9.33	14.56	3.75	6.30	6.63	2.75	7.69	16.04	4.25
Pot	60	11.59	6.92	1.83	14.67	18.45	3.17	10.92	9.64	2.67	14.10	17.92	3.17
	Mean	8.15	5.87	2.69	9.78	14.89	4.31	7.42	7.23	3.31	8.97	15.09	4.47
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
um te	20	6.44	6.92	5.50	6.67	14.56	7.50	6.30	5.42	4.50	6.41	15.09	8.00
Potassium humate	40	11.16	9.43	3.75	14.67	22.33	5.75	9.66	7.83	3.25	12.82	22.64	6.00
Pot h	60	12.88	11.95	3.17	18.67	26.21	4.50	12.18	12.05	3.33	17.95	25.47	4.50
	Mean	10.16	9.43	4.14	13.33	21.04	5.92	9.38	8.43	3.69	12.39	21.07	6.17
	0	-	ı	-	•	-		•	-	•	-	-	-
e III	20	5.15	5.66	4.50	8.00	13.59	7.00	6.30	4.82	4.00	7.69	13.21	7.00
Potassium silicate	40	11.16	8.18	3.25	16.00	23.30	6.00	10.92	9.64	4.00	14.10	23.58	6.25
Pot si	60	11.59	9.43	2.50	17.33	27.18	4.67	12.18	11.45	3.17	15.38	27.36	4.83
	Mean	9.30	7.76	3.42	13.78	21.36	5.89	9.80	8.63	3.72	12.39	21.38	6.03
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
	20	5.72	5.87	4.67	6.67	13.27	6.83	5.88	5.22	4.33	6.41	13.21	7.00
Mean	40	9.87	7.76	3.08	13.33	20.06	5.17	8.96	8.03	3.33	11.54	20.75	5.50
	60	12.02	9.43	2.50	16.89	23.95	4.11	11.76	11.04	3.06	15.81	23.58	4.17
	Mean	9.20	7.69	3.42	12.30	19.09	5.37	8.87	8.10	3.57	11.25	19.18	5.56

Table (5): Effect of K fertilization on Fe, Mn and Zn content (mg kg⁻¹) and their relative changes "RC" (%) in seeds of peanut plants grown on sandy saline soil.

	assium tments			20	015					20	016		
		F	e	M	In	Z	'n	F	e	M	I n	Z	'n
K source	Added K ₂ O (kg fed. ⁻	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(mg kg ⁻¹)	RC (%)
	0	86.25	0.00	47	0.00	36	0.00	87.12	0.00	48	0.00	42	0.00
um te	20	89.30	3.54	51	8.51	39	8.33	89.25	2.44	59	22.92	46	9.52
Potassium sulphate	40	91.34	5.90	55	17.02	42	16.67	91.08	4.55	61	27.08	47	11.90
Pot su	60	91.36	5.92	58	23.40	44	22.22	91.11	4.58	64	33.33	49	16.67
	Mean	89.56	5.12	52.75	16.31	40.25	15.74	89.64	3.86	58.00	27.78	46.00	12.70
ate	0	86.25	0.00	47	0.00	36	0.00	87.12	0.00	48	0.00	42	0.00
numa	20	91.36	5.92	53	12.77	39	8.33	91.56	5.10	62	29.17	47	11.90
um k	40	92.38	7.11	58	23.40	42	16.67	92.69	6.39	64	33.33	49	16.67
Potassium humate	60	92.40	7.13	60	27.66	45	25.00	92.72	6.43	66	37.50	52	23.81
Pot	Mean	90.60	6.72	54.5	21.28	42	16.67	91.02	5.97	60.00	33.33	47.50	17.46
iu ite	0	86.25	0.00	47	0.00	36	0.00	87.12	0.00	48	0.00	42	0.00
Potassiu n silicate	20	92.35	7.07	54	14.89	40	11.11	92.67	6.37	63	31.25	48	14.29
Po m	40	92.39	7.12	59	25.53	45	25.00	92.71	6.42	66	37.50	50	19.05

	60	92.42	7.15	61	29.79	49	36.11	92.76	6.47	68	41.67	53	26.19
	Mean	90.85	7.11	55.25	23.40	42.5	24.07	91.32	6.42	61.25	36.81	48.25	19.84
	Sources	0.73		NS		NS		0.55		NS		NS	
LSD 0.05	Rates	0.83		5.86		6.05		0.85		0.75		0.925	
3.02	Interaction	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	

Table (6): Oil and protein content (%) of peanut plants under sandy saline soil conditions and their relative changes "RC" (%) affected by K fertilization.

	and then		ve char			70) u iic	cica		CI UIIZU				
Potassium	treatments			20:	16					201	017 Protein		
	Added K ₂ O (kg fed. ⁻¹)	Oil			Protein			Oil			Protein		
K source		(%)	(Kg fed ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(%)	(Kg fed ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(%)	(Kg fed ⁻¹)	RC (%)	(%)	(Kg fed ⁻¹)	RC (%)
Control	0	40	412.0 0	0.00	19.9 4	205.3 8	0.0	41	434.6 0	0.00	20.3	215.2 9	0.0
	20	42	483.0 0	5.00	20.5	236.4 4	3.1 1	43	507.4 0	4.88	21.0 6	248.5 1	3.6 9
Potassiu	40	43	507.4 0	7.50	20.8 7	246.2 7	4.6 6	45	553.5 0	9.76	21.5 0	264.4 5	5.8 6
m sulphate	60	44	536.8 0	10.0 0	21.6 9	264.6 2	8.7 8	46	575.0 0	12.2 0	22.0 0	275.0 0	8.3 2
	Mean	42.2 5	484.8 0	5.63	20.7 7	238.1 8	4.1 4	43.7 5	517.6 3	6.71	21.2 2	250.8 1	4.4 7
	0	40	412.0 0	0.00	19.9 4	205.3 8	0.0	41	434.6 0	0.00	20.3	215.2 9	0.0
Potassiu	20	43	507.4 0	7.50	20.8	245.5 6	4.3 6	44	536.8 0	7.32	21.0 0	256.2 0	3.4
m humate	40	46	579.6 0	15.0 0	21.6 2	272.4 1	8.4 3	47	611.0 0	14.6 3	21.3 7	277.8 1	5.2 2
	60	49	637.0 0	22.5 0	21.8 1	283.5 3	9.3 8	49	651.7 0	19.5 1	22.1 9	295.1 3	9.2 6
	Mean	44.5	534.0 0	11.2 5	21.0 5	251.7 2	5.5 4	45.2 5	558.5 3	10.3 7	21.2 2	261.1 1	4.4 7
	0	40	412.0 0	0.00	19.9 4	205.3 8	0.0	41	434.6 0	0.00	20.3	215.2 9	0.0
Potassiu m silicate	20	42	491.4 0	5.00	20.7 5	242.7 8	4.0 6	44	528.0 0	7.32	20.9 4	251.2 8	3.1 0
	40	46	584.2 0	15.0 0	21.8 7	277.7 5	9.6 8	48	628.8 0	17.0 7	21.5 0	(Kg fed ⁻¹) 215.2 9 248.5 1 264.4 5 275.0 0 250.8 1 215.2 9 256.2 0 277.8 1 295.1 3 261.1 1 215.2 9 251.2	5.8 6

	60		48	628.8 0	20.0	21.8	285.7 1	9.3 8	49	661.5 0	19.5 1	22.2 5	300.3 8	9.5 5
	Mea	n	44	529.1 0	10.0 0	21.0 9	252.9 0	5.7 8	45.5	563.2 3	10.9 8	21.2 5	262.1 5	4.6 3
	Sourc	es	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-	NS	-	-
	Rate	s	2.92	-	-	0.68	-	-	2.85	-	-	0.77	-	
Potassi sourc			dded K ₂ O	pH (1:2		ECe (dSm ⁻¹	¹)		vailab onutr]	Available micronutrien		ts

LSD

Interactio	NG	_	_									
n	149	_	_	140	_	_	140	_	-	140	_	_

LSD 23

					(mgkg ⁻	¹)	(mgkg ⁻¹)			
				N	P	K	Fe	Mn	Zn	
				Season	2016					
	0	8.08	9.12	34	5.77	190	6.39	3.14	0.58	
	20	8.04	8.18	38	5.89	199	6.44	3.26	0.59	
Potassium sulphate	40	8.00	7.97	44	5.97	209	6.52	3.34	0.61	
Sulphate	60	7.97	7.83	47	6.23	214	6.58	3.37	0.62	
	Mean	8.02	8.28	40.75	5.97	203.00	6.48	3.14 3.26 3.34 3.37 3.28 3.14 3.17 3.24 3.32 3.22 3.14 3.20 3.35 3.37 3.27 3.18 3.34 3.38 3.41 3.39 3.43 3.43 3.43 3.46	0.60	
	0	8.08	9.12	34	5.77	190	6.39	3.14	0.58	
D 4	20	8.02	8.10	42	5.88	206	6.68	3.17	0.56	
Potassium humate	40	7.97	7.88	47	6.04	215	6.72	3.24	0.59	
numate	60	7.94	7.74	50	6.29	218	6.75	3.32	0.59	
	Mean	8.00	8.21	43.25	6.00	207.25	6.64	3.22	0.58	
	0	8.08	9.12	34	5.77	190	6.39	3.14	0.58	
D 4	20	8.06	8.38	45	5.87	210	6.82	3.20	0.58	
Potassium silicate	40	8.02	8.14	49	6.18	219	6.86	3.35	0.60	
Sincate	60	8.01	7.18	54	6.28	223	6.92	3.37	0.62	
	Mean	8.04	8.38	45.50	6.03	210.50	6.75	3.27	0.59	
			Season	s 2017						
	0	8.07	7.94	39	5.83	196	6.45	3.18	0.59	
	20	8.02	6.46	43	5.93	203	6.53	3.34	0.61	
	40	7.99	6.39	46	5.97	211	6.56	3.38	0.61	
Potassium	60	7.96	6.32	49	5.99	216	6.60	3.41	0.62	
sulphate	Mean	8.01	6.78	44.25	5.93	206.5	6.54	3.33	0.61	
	0	8.07	7.94	39	5.83	196	6.45	3.18	0.59	
D .	20	8.01	6.41	45	5.97	209	6.70	3.36	0.58	
Potassium humate	40	7.95	6.37	51	6.04	219	6.75	3.39	0.60	
numate	60	7.93	6.30	63	6.08	223	6.78	3.34 3.37 3.38 3.14 3.17 3.24 3.32 3.32 3.34 3.35 3.37 3.37 3.37 3.37 3.37 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.318 3.33 3.34 3.33 3.34 3.34 3.39 3.34 3.39 3.34 3.39 3.34 3.34 3.39 3.31 3.31 3.31 3.31 3.31 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.33 3.34 3.34 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.38 3.39 3.38 3.39 3.39 3.39 3.39 3.39 3.39 3.39 3.34 3.38 3.39	0.61	
	Mean	7.99	6.76	49.50	5.98	211.75	6.67	3.34	0.59	
	0	8.07	7.94	39	5.83	196	6.45	3.18	0.59	
Potassium	20	8.03	6.48	48	5.99	213	6.84	3.39	0.59	
silicate	40	8.00	6.42	52	6.05	222	6.89	3.43	0.61	
	60	7.98	04 8.18 38 5.89 19 00 7.97 44 5.97 20 07 7.83 47 6.23 21 02 8.28 40.75 5.97 203 08 9.12 34 5.77 19 02 8.10 42 5.88 20 07 7.88 47 6.04 21 04 7.74 50 6.29 21 06 8.21 43.25 6.00 207 08 9.12 34 5.77 19 06 8.38 45 5.87 21 06 8.38 45 5.87 21 01 7.18 54 6.28 22 01 7.18 54 6.28 22 02 6.46 43 5.93 20 03 6.39 46 5.97 21 04 6.32 49 5.99 21 05 6.37 44.25 5.93 206 <td>226</td> <td>6.95</td> <td>3.46</td> <td>0.62</td>	226	6.95	3.46	0.62			
	Mean	8.02	6.80	49.00	5.99	214.25	6.78	3.37	0.60	

Table (7): Effect of the studied potassium fertilizers on soil pH, EC and its content of available macro- and micro-nutrients.