J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. ٥ (٦) : ٩٧٣ - ٩٩٠, ٢٠١٤

RESPONSE OF EGYPTIAN HYBRID ONE RICE CULTIVAR TO POTASSIUM SPLIT APPLICATION UNDER DIFFERENT IRRIGATION INTERVALS.

El-Ekhtyar, A. M.
Rice Research and Training Center, Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Field Crops Research Institute ,
ARC, Giza, Egypt. Email: aelkhtyar@ yahoo. com

ABSTRACT

Two filed experiments were conducted during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons at the Experimental Farm of Rice Research and TraIning Center, Sakha, KafrEl-Sheikh, Egypt. The experiment aimed to study the effect of irrigation intervals and potassium splitting on Egyptian hybrid one (EHR١) rice cultivar. The study was performed in strip plot design with four replications. The irrigation intervals; irrigation every ٣, ٦ and ٩ days were placed in the horizontal plots, while the potassium splitting treatments, namely; $K_{١}$: All basal (B), $K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT), $K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI) and $K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ booting stage (BT) were distributed in the vertical plots. Some growth characteristics, chlorophyll content, leaf area index and dry matter production $m^{-٢}$ were assessed at heading stage. Yield and yield attributes were measured at harvest .Some water relations were also estimated during current study.

The resulted indicated that irrigation intervals had significant effect on all studied traits in both seasons of study. The prolonging irrigation intervals up to ٩ days significantly reduced rice growth, yield attributing characteristics, rice grain and straw yields as well as harvest index. The irrigation intervals every ٣ and ٦ days were placed at the same level of significant in both seasons of study regarding the rice growth, yield contributes, grain yield and harvest index. The irrigation interval every ٣days treatment consumed the highest amount of total applied water (١٣٤٩٢,٩ and ١٣٦٠٩,٨$m^{/٣}$ $ha^{-١}$) with the lowest values of water use efficiency (٠,٨١١ and ٠,٨١٤ kg/$m^{٣}$) during first and second seasons, respectively. The irrigation interval of ٩ days gave the highest values of yield reduction (١٨,٠١ and ١٩.٢٢٪), water save (٢٠,٢٣ and ٢١,٥٠ %) and medium value of water use efficiency (٠,٨٣٣ and ٠,٨٣٨ $kgm^{-٣}$) in ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons, respectively. The irrigation interval of ٦ days had the highest values of water use efficiency (٠,٨٩٤ and ٠,٨٩٩ $kgm^{-٣}$) with mild yield reduction of (١,٦٥ and ١,٨١٪) and its water save was amounted to be ١٠,٧٦ and ١١,٠٣٪ in the first and second seasons, respectively.

The potassium split treatments significantly improved rice growth, yield contributes, rice grain and straw yields as well as harvest index comparing to one dose application as basal. The potassium splitting into four equal doses, ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ BT significantly surpassed the rest of treatments whereas; it gave the highest values of all measured traits, while potassium application as one dose gave the lowest values of all measured traits under current study in both seasons. The potassium split into four equal doses gave the highest values of water use efficiency (٠.٨٩٧ and ٠,٩٠٥$kgm^{-٣}$) in both seasons of study. Generally, both of potassium splitting into three equal doses, ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI) and potassium splits into equal four doses were placed in the same group regarding superiority effect on dry matter $gm^{-٢}$, number of paniclesm$^{-٢}$, total number of grainspanicle$^{-١}$, number of filled grainspanicle$^{-١}$, ١٠٠٠-grain weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index as well as water productivity.

The interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium treatments had significant effect on chlorophyll content, leaf area index, dry matter production m$^{-٢}$(only in ٢٠١٢ season), plant height cm, and number of paniclesm$^{-٢}$, number of total grains panicle$^{-١}$, number of filled grains panicle$^{-١}$, ١٠٠٠-grain weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index in both seasons of study. Generally, the results of interaction proved that irrigation interval of ٦ days could be recommended for rice cultivation with potassium splitting in four equal doses to save water and high water use efficiency.

It could be concluded that the potassium splits in to four equal doses including PI and booting stage could be recommended under ٦ days irrigation interval to ensure high grain yield and water productivities. Potassium splitting applications at critical different rice growth stages confirms an adequate amount of potassium supply at the correct time mitigating the adverse effect of water stress and produced considerably yield.

Keywords, Rice, hybrid rice, irrigation, potassium splits, water use efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Water scarcity under climate change and overpopulation in Egypt threats agriculture production, especially rice, since rice crop is big consumer crop water. Because of continued population growth and economic developments, the demand for fresh water to meet industrial and domestic needs is also competitor for agriculture production in Egypt affecting food security. Therefore, it is expected that, in near future, less water will be available for rice growing. Thereby, developing new technology for water save in paddy fields without yield reduction is a main mission for rice scientists in Egypt ensuring food sustainability. Furthermore, water efficient irrigation regimes for rice have been tested, advanced, applied and distributed in different regions in Egypt.

The reduced water inputs and increased water productively of rice grown just under saturated soil conditions were compared with traditional flooding rice (Tabbal et al*.,* ٢٠٠٢). Zayed et al. (٢٠٠٧) found that prolonging irrigation interval from three to nine days significantly decreased chlorophyll content, leaf area index, yield attributing traits and rice grain yield. The irrigation intervals of ٣ and ٦ day were at apar in rice grain yield and most of grain yield components. The irrigation intervals of ٦ days gave the highest values of water use efficiency, while the ٩ days irrigation interval gave the lowest one. Majied (٢٠١٢) and El-Habet, Howida (٢٠١٤) stated that watering at various irrigation intervals induce variation in rice growth, yield attributing traits and grain yield, as well as water use efficiency and water save. El Refaee et al. (٢٠٠٨ and ٢٠١٢) found that rice growth and grain yield and its components were significantly affected by irrigation intervals. They pointed out that irrigation every ٣ days while, saturation treatments induced ٣-٥٪ reduction in grain yield and gave higher water productivity.

Balanced, integrated and efficient use of fertilizers, among other inputs, has a potential to increase crop productivity provided the problems related to soil sustainability, fertilizer use efficiency and declining crop response ratio are addressed appropriately. Development of practices to improve the efficiency of nutrients requires an understanding of the fate of the applied nutrient and their effect on crop production. Greater opportunities exist for increased crop production by increasing rate, timing and improving management of potassium fertilizer. Among the major plant nutrients, potassium is the most abundant plant nutrient in soils. Continuous and an adequate potassium nutrition supply of rice plants at certain growth stage under abiotic stress involving water stress is being an effective in talking water stress harmful resulted in contentment rice grain yield.

Zayed (٢٠٠٢) found that potassium application for rice crop under water stress significantly alleviated the stress of salt and water withholding. However, potassium significantly improved rice growth, grain yield components and grain yield .thereby, increasing the efficiency of potassium mode of action under stresses could be achieved by potassium splitting. Velaysyauthan *et al.(*١٩٩٢), Poonam et al.(١٩٩٣) Ghoshi *et al.*(١٩٩٥), Devasenapathy (١٩٩٧), Thakur et al.(١٩٩٩), Meena et al. (٢٠٠٣) ,Natarajan et al. (٢٠٠٤), Ramteke et al. (٢٠٠٤) and Zayed et al. (٢٠٠٦) reported that rice crop preformed better when splitting application of potassium was followed over one doses as basal application of potassium was flowed over one doses as ٥٠٪basal+٢٥٪ at tillering stage+٢٥٪ at panicle initiation (PI) or ١/٣ babal+١/٣ Tillering stage (T)+١/٣ panicle initiation (PI) were the most effective splits ,whereas they significantly increased rice growth, all yield attributing traits and grain yield ,as well as nutrient contents leaf, such as ٧٠٪ basal+٣٠٪ panicle dressing significantly increased seed setting, number of filled grains ,١٠٠٠-grain weight, N and K uptake at heading and grain yield of rice crop. Pillal and Aiasuya (١٩٩٧) claimed that the maintenance of K^+ concentration in the three leaves at the levels higher than ٢,٧٦ at the mid tillering stage was too much essential for achieving maximum grain yield. Ravichandran and Sriramachandraekharan (٢٠١١) and Uddin et al.(٢٠١٢) stated that potassium splitting application was found to be effective in improving rice growth, yield and yield attributes than those obtained by one dose application as basal. They also found that potassium splits at panicle initiation or booting stage was efficient in improving chlorophyll content, leaf characteristics, other growth traits, yield and yield components. Zayed *et al.*(٢٠٠٧) claimed that triple potassium as ١/٣B+١/٣MT+١/٣PI could be recommend under water stress regarding rice growth, yield component, yield and water use efficiency.

The present study aimed to tested rice water productivity as affected by irrigation and potassium splitting treatments for hybrid rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm of Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC), Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt during summer seasons of ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ to study the effect of irrigation intervals and potassium splitting on Egyptian Hybrid one rice cultivar. Before land preparation, soil samples were taken into ٠-٣٠ cm depth of soil surface from the experimental sites during the two seasons of study. The soil samples were completely mixed, dried and grounded, then, physically and chemically proprieties were analyzed according to Black *et al*. (١٩٦٥). The physical and chemical proprieties of experimental sites were presented in Table ١.

Table ١: Physical and chemical analysis of the experimental sites during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons

Characters	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
Physical analysis:		
Sand (%)	١٧.٠	١٦.٥
Silt (%)	٢٨,٠	٣٠,٠
Clay (%)	٥٥.٠	٥٣.٥
Soil texture	Clayey	Clayey
Chemical analysis:		
E.C. (ds/m)	٢,١٥	٢.٠٠
Organic matter (%)	١,٩١	١,٩٣
pH	٨,٠٣	٧,٩٨
Available N (ppm)	٢٩	٣٠
P (ppm)	١٣	١٤
K (ppm)	٣٥٢	٣٥٦
Fe (ppm)	٤.٠٥	٥.١٠
Zn (ppm)	١.٠٩	١,٠٠

Egyptian hybrid one rice cultivar was used in this study .The experiment were laid out in strip plot design with four replications. The horizontal plots were devoted to three irrigation intervals; irrigation every ٣, ٦ and ٩ days. Meanwhile, potassium splitting treatments; $K_{١}$: All basal (B), $K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT), $K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI) and $K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT) were distributed in the vertical plots. The plot size was ١٢ $m^{٢}$ (٣x٤m)

The experimental sites were well tillage. Pre-germinated seeds were broadcasted in the nursery on ٢ and ٥ of May in ٢٠١٢ and٢٠١٣ seasons, respectively. Seeds at the rate of ٢٤ kg /ha were soaked in excess of water for ٢٤ hours and further incubated for another ٣٦ hours to enhance germination. Two seedlings ٢٥ days old, were transplanting at ٢٠x٢٠ cm distance between hills and rows. The weeds were controlled chemically using Saturn ٥٠٪ at the rate of ٥ liters /ha at four days after transplanting. The nitrogen in the form of urea (٤٦,٥% N) at the rate of ١٦٥ kg/ha was applied as recommended in two doses; ٢/٣ basal application+ ١/٣ at panicle initiation. The recommended phosphorous in the form of calcium super phosphate (١٥,٥٪ $P_{٢}O_{٥}$) at the rate of ٣٧kg/ha was applied before land preparation. The potassium in the form of potassium sulphate at the rate of ٥٠kg$K_{٢}$O $ha^{-١}$was applied as it indicated above. Zinc fertilizer at the rate of ٢٤ kg $ZnSO_{٤}$ $ha^{-١}$ was mixed with sand and manually broadcasted before transplanting. Then, the irrigation treatments were applied as aforementioned ١٠ days after transplanting. Each Irrigation treatment was tightly separated by ditches with ٢ m wide and ١ m depth to isolate each other.

At heading stage, plant samples of five hills from each plot were randomly collected to estimate chlorophyll content (SPAD value), leaf are index (LAI) and dry matter production g $m^{-٢}$ according to Yoshida et al. (١٩٧٦).Leaf area index is the ratio between the leaf area ($cm^{٢}$) of the plant divided by ground area occupied by the plant ($cm^{٢}$) and chlorophyll content was estimated by chlorophyll meter (Model Li٣٠٠٠L).

At harvest, panicles of five random hills from each plot were counted, then converted to number of panicles $m^{-٢}$ and plant height (cm) was measured. Ten main panicles from each plot were randomly packed to determine number of total grains panicle-١, number of filled grains panicle -١ and ١٠٠٠-grain weight.

Area of ١٠ m٢ from central rows in each plot were harvested, dried, threshed, then grain and straw yields were determined at ١٤ % moisture content and converted into t ha-١.

The volume of irrigation water applied in each plot was measured by a calibrated water meter with water pump. The amount water for land preparation of permanent field was recorded as well as water required for irrigation treatments of all experiments. Water use efficiency was calculated as the weight of grains per unit of irrigation water received during crop growth (kg grains m-٣ water input) according to Michael (١٩٧٨).

All data collected were subjected to standard statistical analysis following the proceeding described by Gomez and Gomez (١٩٨٤) using the computer program (MSTAT). The treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test Duncan (١٩٥٥). * and ** symbol used in all Tables indicate the significant at ٥٪ and ١٪ levels of probability, respectively, while, NS means not significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Irrigation intervals effect:

Data presented in Table ٢ revealed that chlorophyll content, leaf area index (LAI) and dry matter production (g m-٢) significantly decreased, when rice irrigated every ٩ days. Meanwhile, the irrigation interval of ٦ days gave the highest values of the previous-mentioned traits without any significant differences with those obtained by irrigation every ٣ days in the both seasons of study. Data in the same table indicated that irrigation every ٣ days gave the highest chlorophyll content followed by irrigation every ٦ days. Meanwhile, irrigation every ٩ days gave the least values of chlorophyll content. Couple irrigation intervals of ٣ and ٦ days was at the same level of significant regarding leaf area index and dry matter production in both seasons of study. The decrease in the previously mentioned characters might be due to high osmotic pressure outside plant cell, water imbalance inside the plant resulted in decline in cell division and elongation as well as degradation in chlorophyll consequently reduction in photosynthesis and dry matter production. Similar data had been reported by Yang *et al.* (٢٠٠٢), El- Ekhtyar (٢٠٠٤), Zayed *et al.* (٢٠٠٧) and Majid (٢٠١٢)

Results of variance analysis show that the measured properties, plant height, number of panicles m-٢, total grains number panicle-١ and number of filled grains panicle-١ had a significant difference in irrigation intervals (Table ٦). Prolonging irrigation interval up to ٩ days significantly inhibited the yield attributes giving the lowest values of those traits. The irrigation intervals every ٣ days exhibited the highest values of the number of paniclesm-٢, total number of grains panicle-١ and filled grains number panicle-١ followed by irrigation intervals every ٦ days without significant differences with those produced by irrigation interval every ٣ days regarding the plant height in both seasons and number of panicles m-٢ in the first season as well as number of filled grainspanicle$^{-١}$ in second season (Table ٦). Since water stress significantly restricted rice growth and might other metabolism processes of rice plants as well as increasing catabolism against anabolism that resulted poor yield attributes. Similar results under had been reported by El-Ekhtyar (٢٠٠٤) and Ali et al. (٢٠١٢) as well as El-Habet, Howida (٢٠١٤).

Data inserted in Table ١١ showed that the irrigation intervals had significant effect on ١٠٠٠- grain weight, grain and straw yields as well as harvest index in both seasons of study. The irrigation intervals every ٣ days gave the highest values of ١٠٠٠-grain weight, grain and straw yields as well as harvest index in both study seasons. Interestingly, the irrigation treatments of ٣ and ٦-days interval were statically placed at the same group regarding the١٠٠٠-gain weight, grain and straw yields in both season of study as well as harvest index in ٢٠١٢ season. Thereby, the irrigation interval of ٦ days could be recommended under current water shortage and HER١ cultivar as tolerant variety for water stress keeping high yield with save water. The minimum values of ١٠٠٠- grain weight, grain and straw yields as well as harvest index were produced when rice plants were subjected to irrigation interval of ٩ days in both seasons of study (Table١١). Water stress significantly restricted yield components particularly, plant population unit area$^{-١}$ and panicle characteristics, whereas the water stress might be affected panicle peduncle elongation, low assimilates translocation due to more exertion of ABA which blocks this translocation, affecting current photosynthesis resulted in low grain filling rate leading to light panicle, low filled grains and high sterility% and ultimately low yield. As previously mentioned, stress restricts rice growth during the early growth stages and poor vegetative growth might have resulted in fewer assimilates, lower carbohydrate formation, dry matter production and poor population leading to poor yield attributes. A second possibility is that the stresses might block stored assimilates to grains resulting in poorly-filled grains panicle-١ and high sterility% by releasing more abscisic acid (ABA). Yet another possibility is stress-induced interference with photosynthesis resulting in poor grain filling and subsequent high sterility, light panicles and poorly-filled grains panicle$^{-١}$ because of shorter active grain filling periods. Drought is an abiotic stress, and it affects plants at various levels of their organization. Under prolonged drought, many plants will dehydrate and die. Water stress in plants reduces the plant-cell's water potential and turgor, which elevate solute concentrations in the cytosol and extracellular matrices. As a result, cell enlargement decreases leading to growth inhibition and reproductive failure (Ali et al., ١٩٩٩), which is followed by accumulation of abscisic acid (ABA) and compatible osmolytes like proline, which cause wilting. Drought not only affects plant-water relations through the reduction of water content, turgor and total water, but it also affects stomatal closure, limits gaseous exchange, reduces transpiration and arrests carbon assimilation (photosynthesis) rates (Razak et al., ٢٠١٣). Negative effects on mineral nutrition (uptake and transport of nutrients) and metabolism leads to a decrease in the leaf area and alteration in assimilate partitioning among the organs that could by potassium application to ensure an adequate potassium content of plant Ali *et al.,* ١٩٩٩ and Razak et al., ٢٠١٣). Similar results were claimed by El-EKhtyar (٢٠٠٤) and Majid (٢٠١٢**).**

Table ٢: Some growth patterns of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar as affected by irrigation intervals and potassium split application during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Treatments	Chlorophyll content (SPAD value)		Leaf area index (LAI)		Dry matter (gm⁻²)	
	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
Irrigation intervals (I):						
٣ days	٤٣,٩٠a	٤٢,٨١a	٧,٠٨a	٦,٨٣a	١٥٦٢a	١٥٧٩a
٦ days	٤٢,١٧b	٤١,١٧b	٦,٨٥a	٦,٦٦a	١٤٤١a	١٤٥٦a
٩ days	٣٦,٦٠c	٣٦,٨٥c	٥,٩١b	٥,٥٦b	١١٥٩b	١٢٠٥b
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**
Potassium split application (k):						
$K_{١}$	٣٦,٦٩d	٣٥,٨٣d	٥,٦١d	٥,٤٥c	١١٤٨b	١١٩٦b
$K_{٢}$	٣٨,٧٠c	٣٩,١١c	٦,١١c	٥,٨٩b	١٢٤٢b	١٣٢٥b
$K_{٣}$	٤٣,٢٠b	٤٢,٣٠b	٧,٢٦b	٦,٩٥a	١٥٥٤a	١٥٢٨a
$K_{٤}$	٤٤,٩٠a	٤٣,٨٧a	٧,٤٧a	٧,١٢a	١٦٠٥a	١٦٠٤a
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**
I x K Interaction:	**	**	**	**	*	Ns

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
***,** and N.S.: Significant at ٠,٠٥ and ٠,٠١ levels and not significant, respectively.**
$K_{١}$: All basal (B).$K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).$K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K: ٤¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ٣: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on chlorophyll content (SPAD value) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
$K_{١}$	٣٩,٢٧ef	٣٨,٣٧f	٣٢,٤٣h	٣٨,٤٦de	٣٧,١١e	٣١,٩٣f
$K_{٢}$	٤٢,٣٦d	٣٩,٨٩e	٣٣,٨٥g	٤٠,٨٤cd	٣٩,٤٧de	٣٧,٠١e
$K_{٣}$	٤٦,١٢b	٤٤,٦٥c	٣٨,٨٩ef	٤٥,٣٧ab	٤٣,٢٥bc	٣٨,٢٧de
$K_{٤}$	٤٧,٨٤a	٤٥,٧٩bc	٤١,٢٨d	٤٦,٥٥a	٤٤,٨٦ab	٤٠,١٩d

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
$K_{١}$: All basal (B).$K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).$K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
$K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ٤:Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on leaf area index (LAI) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
$K_{١}$	٦,٢٥e	٥,٨١f	٤,٧٨h	٦,٠٦e	٥,٧٤f	٤,٥٤h
$K_{٢}$	٦,٤٦d	٦,٩٢de	٥,٤٦g	٦,٣٢cd	٦,٢٥cd	٥,١١g
$K_{٣}$	٧,٧٨a	٧,٥٣b	٦,٤٨d	٧,٤٣ab	٧,٢٨b	٦,١٣de
$K_{٤}$	٧,٨٤a	٧,٦٥ab	٦,٩٢c	٧,٥٢a	٧,٣٧ab	٦,٤٦c

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
$K_{١}$: All basal (B).$K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).$K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
$K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ٥:Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on dry matter ($g/m^{٢}$) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)		
	٢٠١٢		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
$K_{١}$	١٢٩٨ef	١٢٤٨f	٨٩٩g
$K_{٢}$	١٤٥٧d	١٢٩٧ef	٩٧٣g
$K_{٣}$	١٧١٤ab	١٥٨٤c	١٣٦٥def
$K_{٤}$	١٧٧٩a	١٦٣٦bc	١٣٩٩de

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
$K_{١}$: All basal (B).$K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).$K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
$K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Potassium split effect:

Potassium splitting significantly improved affected chlorophyll content, leaf area index and dry matter production measured at heading that is hold true at both seasons (Table٢).

Data in Table ٢ clarify those four equal splits of potassium as B+ MT+PI+ BT gave the highest values of growth parameters in both seasons of study followed by triple splits. The potassium application in one dose gave the lowest values of growth traits comparing to split treatments. Furthermore, yield attributing characteristics, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of HER١ were markedly affected by potassium split application in both seasons of study (Tables ٦, ١١). Potassium splitting into four equal doses gave the maximum means of panicle characteristics; field grainspanicle$^{-١}$, ١٠٠٠-grain weight and yield properties such as grain yield, straw yield and harvest index that are hold true in both seasons. At the same time, couple potassium treatments of triple and tetra ones were placed at the same level of significant in both seasons regarding the panicle characteristics, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index in both seasons of study (Tables ٦ &١١). The panicle numbers m$^{-٢}$ recorded its maximum mean, when potassium was applied into three equal doses in both seasons of study (Table٦). The minimum values of yield attributes was recorded, when rice plants were received the applied potassium in one dose as basal application. Plant height of rice plants reached it maximum mean when rice plants were received its potassium requirement into four equal doses (Table ٦). Interestingly, potassium application in one dose, as basal, significantly failed to exert any improvement in rice growth or enhancing its water stress withstanding. The splitting, including dressing at panicle initiation and the beginning of booting stage showed its superiority in enhancing rice tolerance to water stress, subsequently improved rice growth and grain yield. Also, the potassium application at the mid tillering or tillering stage with panicle initiation as well as booting stage encourage rice plant to grow healthy under such stress. The tetra potassium splitting as following; ١/٤ basal+١/٤maximum tilling (MT) +١/٤ panicle initiation (PI) +١/٤ booting stage (BT) significantly improved all studied traits. The tetra potassium splitting was found to be efficient to reduce the spikelet sterility, which gave the lowest values of unfilled grain panicle$^{-١}$ in terms of sterility. The one dose application of potassium, as triple or tetra equal doses might encourage early and fast rice growth, which was more convenient under either water stresses. Also, the triple and /or tetra splits of potassium might increase rice tolerance of water stress, epically at sensitive growth stage such as panicle initiation and mid booting stage enhancing photosynthesis rate, kept the normal osmotic of plant cell and its turgid pressure, increased stored carbohydrate at per-heading and boosted more reproductive tillers formation. Moreover, triple and tetra potassium split application might be significantly increased nitrogen, potassium and chlorophyll leaf contents at heading, resulted in delaying leaf senescence occurred under water and salt stresses during grain filling. Furthermore, tetra and triple application of potassium might increase potassium leaf content results in more translocation of stored carbohydrates in stem leaf sheaths and other storage organs to grains, leading to high sink capacity. subsequently, more potassium leaf content of flag leaf might be enhancing the efficiency of current photosynthesis during active filling period resulted in improving panicle characteristics; leading to high grain yield. In addition, tetra and triple potassium application in the study greatly might increase potassium leaf content and nitrogen resulted in more Riblouse ١،٥ di-phosphate carboxylase oxygenase, which delay aging and increase photosynthesis rate resulted in more carbohydrates to grains, leading to high sink capacity and, ultimately, higher grain yield. High potassium leaf content might be enable rice plants to be water stress tolerance by organizing stomata conductance well as (Zayed, ٢٠٠٢). Similar data have been reported by El-Habet,Howida(٢٠١٤) and Zayed *et al.*(٢٠٠٧).

Table ٦:Some yield components of Egyptian Hybrid Rice١ cultivar as influenced by irrigation intervals and potassium split application during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Treatments	Plant height cm		Number of panicles/m٢		Total number of grains /panicle		Number of filled grains/panicle	
	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
Irrigation intervals (I):								
٣ days	١٠٤,٦a	١٠٧,٤a	٥٦١a	٥٧٣a	١٦٠,٥a	١٦٦,٢a	١٥١,٧a	١٥٥,٠a
٦ days	١٠٥,٢a	١٠٥,٥a	٥٥٣a	٥٦٢b	١٥٤,٦b	١٦٢,١b	١٤٥,٦b	١٥١,٧a
٩ days	١٠١,١b	١٠١,٦b	٤٣٤b	٤٤٦c	١٣٤,١c	١٣٩,٧c	١٢٠,٨c	١٢٥,٢b
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Potassium split application (k):								
K١	١٠١,٣c	١٠١,٦b	٤٤٥c	٤٥٤d	١٣٦,٩b	١٤٤,٤b	١٢٥,٤b	١٣٠,٤b
K٢	١٠٣,٦b	١٠٤,٤c	٤٩٩b	٥٠١c	١٤٢,٤b	١٤٨,٧b	١٣٠,٩b	١٣٦,٧b
K٣	١٠٤,٩b	١٠٥,٦b	٥٦٤a	٥٨٣a	١٥٧,١a	١٦٣,٠a	١٤٨,٣a	١٥٢,٧a
K٤	١٠٧,١a	١٠٧,٦a	٥٥٥a	٥٦٩b	١٦٢,٥a	١٦٧,٨a	١٥٣,٠a	١٥٦,٣a
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
I x K Interaction:	**	**	**	*	**	**	**	**

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
***,** and N.S.: Significant at ٠,٠٥ and ٠,٠١ levels and not significant, respectively.**
K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ٧: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on plant height (cm) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	١٠٤,١ef	١٠٢,٨fg	٩٧,٠٢i	١٠٥,٣c	١٠٢,٧d	٩٦,٨٤e
K٢	١٠٥,٩cd	١٠٤,٣e	١٠٠,٦h	١٠٦,٧bc	١٠٥,١c	١٠١,٤d
K٣	١٠٦,٧bc	١٠٦,١cd	١٠٢,٠gh	١٠٨,٥ab	١٠٦,٠c	١٠٢,٣d
K٤	١٠٨,٩a	١٠٧,٧ab	١٠٤,٤de	١٠٩,٠a	١٠٨,١ab	١٠٥,٨c

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ٨: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on number of Panicles /m٢ of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	٥٠١d	٤٨٩de	٣٤٤g	٥٠٤d	٤٩٩d	٣٥٨f
K٢	٥٣٤c	٥٣١c	٤٣٢f	٥٤٢c	٥٣٩c	٤٢٣e
K٣	٦١٢a	٦٠٥ab	٤٧٦e	٦٢٧a	٦١٢ab	٥٠٩d
K٤	٥٦٩ab	٥٨٧b	٤٨٣de	٦١٨a	٥٩٦b	٤٩٢d

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ٩:Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on number of total grains panicle-١ of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣days	٦ days	٩ days	٣days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	١٤٩,٥cd	١٤٢,٤d	١١٨,٨e	١٥٤,٤c	١٥١,٧c	١٢٧,٢d
K٢	١٥٦,٠bc	١٤٦,٨cd	١٢٤,٤e	١٥٨,٨bc	١٥٥,٤c	١٣١,٩d
K٣	١٦٥,٧a	١٦١,٥ab	١٤٤,٢d	١٧٣,٦a	١٦٨,٥ab	١٤٦,٩c
K٤	١٧٠,٩a	١٦٧,٩a	١٤٨,٩cd	١٧٧,٩a	١٧٢,٩a	١٥٢,٦b

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B). K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).
K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ١٠: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on number of filled grains/panicle of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
$K_{١}$	١٣٨,٨d	١٣٣,٩d	١٠٣,٥e	١٤٢,٨cd	١٣٨,٣cd	١١٠,٢e
$K_{٢}$	١٤٦,٨c	١٣٦,٥d	١٠٩,٦e	١٥٠,٧bc	١٤١,٧cd	١١٧,٦e
$K_{٣}$	١٥٨,١ab	١٥٤,٣b	١٣٢,٤d	١٦٦,٩a	١٥٧,٦ab	١٣٢,٩d
$K_{٤}$	١٦٣,٢a	١٥٧,٨ab	١٣٧,٩d	١٥٩,٦ab	١٦٩,٣a	١٤٠,١cd

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
$K_{١}$: All basal (B). $K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT). $K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
$K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ١١: ١٠٠٠-grain weight, grain and straw yields and harvest index of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar as affected by irrigation intervals and potassium split application during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Treatments	١٠٠٠- grain weight		Grain yield (t/ha)		Straw yield (t/ha)		Harvest index	
	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
Irrigation intervals (I):								
٣ days	٢٦,١٨a	٢٦,١٩a	١٠,٩٤a	١١,٠٨a	١٣,٨٢a	١٤,٠٨a	٤٤,١٩a	٤٤,٠٣a
٦ days	٢٥,٧٧a	٢٥,٨٣a	١٠,٧٦a	١٠,٨٨a	١٣,٦٧a	١٣,٩٩a	٤٤,٠٣a	٤٣,٧١b
٩ days	٢٤,٦٢b	٢٤,٣٨b	٨,٩٧b	٨,٩٥b	١٣,١٥b	١٣,٦٣b	٤٠,٠١b	٣٩,٥٨c
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Potassium split application (k):								
$K_{١}$	٢٤,٩٧b	٢٤,٨٠b	٩,٤٢c	٩,٦٠b	١٣,١٩b	١٣,٦٥b	٤١,٥٣b	٤١,٥١c
$K_{٢}$	٢٥,١٦b	٢٥,٠٩b	٩,٧٨b	٩,٩٢b	١٣,٢٦b	١٣,٦٦b	٤٢,٣٣b	٤١,٩٣b
$K_{٣}$	٢٥,٩٤a	٢٥,٨٩a	١٠,٦٤a	١٠,٧٩a	١٣,٨٤a	١٤,٠٦a	٤٣,٣٦a	٤٣,٢٤a
$K_{٤}$	٢٦,٢٠a	٢٦,٨٥a	١٠,٨٣a	١٠,٩٥a	١٣,٩٠a	١٤,٣٢a	٤٣,٧٤a	٤٣,٤٤a
F. Test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
I x N Interaction:	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
***,** and N.S.: Significant at ٠,٠٥ and ٠,٠١ levels and not significant, respectively.**
$K_{١}$: All basal (B).$K_{٢}$: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).$K_{٣}$: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
$K_{٤}$: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Interaction effects:

Regarding the interaction effect, data analysis variance stated that the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split treatments had significant effect on chlorophyll content, leaf area index and dry matter production $m^{-٢}$, of Egyptian hybrid one rice cultivar in both seasons of study (Tables٣, ٤ and ٥). The best combination was potassium splitting in equal four doses of B+MT+PI+BT with irrigation interval of ٦day without any significant differences with those of three splits under ٣ and ٦ irrigation intervals. On the other hand, the combination of ٩ days irrigation interval with one potassium dose applied as basal gave the lowest values of studied growth traits(Tables٣,٤and ٥).

The interaction between irrigation interval and potassium split treatments showed significant effect on plant height, panicle numberpanicle^{-1},total grains number panicle^{-1} filled grains panicle^{-1},١٠٠٠-grain weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index in both season of study(Tables٧،٨،٩,١٠,١٢,١٣،١٤ and ١٥). The best combination was irrigation interval of ٣days with potassium splitting in four equal doses without significant differences with those recorded by the same treatment under ٦ days irrigation in all studied traits for yield components and yield as well as harvest index in both seasons of study. Also, the potassium splitting in three equal doses with ٦ irrigation interval gave the highest values of harvest index without significant differences with those produced by irrigation interval of ٦ day and triple potassium splits without significant differences with those of tetra splits under ٦ irrigation interval of ٦ day in the second season, while in the first season the combination of tetra potassium splitting with ٦ irrigation interval produced the highest value of harvest index without significant differences with those produced by tetra K splitting with the irrigation intervals of ٣ days. Generally, the results of interaction proved that irrigation interval of ٦ day could be recommended for rice cultivation with K splitting in four equal doses without yield reduction and high water use efficiency. The application of periodical water stress and potassium fertilization has been reported to induce tolerance of rice to osmotic stress (Razak et al*.,* ٢٠١٣). The maintenance of high plant water status and plant functions at low plant water potential, and the recovery of plant function after water stress are the major physiological **processes** that contribute to the maintenance of high yield under cyclic drought period conditions. In water stressed plants, increased abscisic acid (ABA) levels are known to stimulate the release of potassium from guard cells, giving rise to stomatal closure (Assmann, and Shimazaki,١٩٩٩). Numerous studies have shown that the application of K fertilizer mitigates the adverse effects of drought on plant growth (Andersen *et al.*, ١٩٩٢ and Sarkarung *et al.,*١٩٩٧). Potassium increases the plant's drought resistance through its functions in stomatal regulation, osmoregulation, energy status, charge balance, protein synthesis, and homeostasis.

In plants coping with drought stress, the accumulation of K^+ may be more important than the production of organic solutes during the initial adjustment phase, because osmotic adjustment through ion uptake like K^+ is more energy efficient (Chen *et al.,* ١٩٩٧ and Quampah *et al.,* ٢٠١١). Li (٢٠١٤) has reported that lower water loss in plants well supplied with K^+ is due to a reduction in transpiration which not only depends on the osmotic potential of mesophyll cells, but also is controlled to a large extent by stomata conductance. Similar result were reported by Zayed *et al*.(٢٠٠٧) and El-Habet, Howida (٢٠١٤).

Table ١٢: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on ١٠٠٠-grain weight (g) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	٢٥,٧٨d	٢٥,٢٩e	٢٣,٨٣g	٢٥,٦٢e	٢٥,٢١f	٢٣,٥٧i
K٢	٢٥,٩٣cd	٢٥,٣٨e	٢٤,١٨f	٢٥,٨٧d	٢٥,٤٦ef	٢٣,٩٣h
K٣	٢٦,٤٦a	٢٦,١٥bc	٢٥,٢١e	٢٦,٥٦ab	٢٦,٢٩c	٢٤,٦٩g
K٤	٢٦,٥٤a	٢٦,٢٧ab	٢٥,٢٦e	٢٦,٧٢a	٢٦,٣٤bc	٢٥,٣٢f

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B). K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ١٣: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on grain yield (t/ha) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	**١٠,٣٨b**	**٩,٩٥c**	**٧,٩٤f**	**١٠,٦٢b**	**١٠,٠٧c**	**٨,١٠f**
K٢	**١٠,٦٤b**	**١٠,٣٨b**	**٨,٣٢e**	**١٠,٧٣b**	**١٠,٥٦b**	**٨,٤٧e**
K٣	**١١,٣٥a**	**١١,٣٢a**	**٩,٢٣d**	**١١,٤٦a**	**١١,٤٠a**	**٩,٣٨d**
K٤	**١١,٤١a**	**١١,٣٩a**	**٩,٦٨c**	**١١,٥٢a**	**١١,٤٨a**	**٩,٨٥c**

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ١٤:Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on straw yield (t/ha) of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	١٣,٣١b	١٣,٠٤b	١٣,٢٢b	١٣,٦٦c	١٣,٥٣c	١٣,٧٧c
K٢	١٣,٣٨b	١٣,٢٦b	١٣,١٥b	١٣,٧٢c	١٣,٦٨c	١٣,٥٩c
K٣	١٤,٢٦a	١٤,١٧a	١٣,٠٩b	١٤,٣٧ab	١٤,٢٦b	١٣,٥٤c
K٤	١٤,٣٣a	١٤,٢١a	١٣,١٦b	١٤,٥٨a	١٤,٤٩ab	١٣,٦٢c

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Table ١٥: Effect of the interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on harvest index of Egyptian Hybrid Rice ١ cultivar during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals (I)					
	٢٠١٢			٢٠١٣		
	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days
K١	٤٣,٨٢ab	٤٣,٢٤b	٣٧,٥٢f	٤٣,٧٤bc	٤٢,٦٧d	٣٧,٠٤h
K٢	٤٤,٣١a	٣٤,٩٣ab	٣٨,٧٥e	٤٣,٨٨abc	٤٣,٥٢c	٣٨,٣٩g
K٣	٤٤,٢٩a	٤٤,٤٤a	٤١,٣٦d	٤٤,٣٧a	٤٤,٤٣a	٤٠,٩٣f
K٤	٤٤,٣٤a	٤٤,٤٩a	٤٢,٣٩c	٤٤,١٤ab	٤٤,٢١ab	٤١,٩٧e

Means: followed by the same litter (s) are not significantly different, according to DMRT.
K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT).K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI).
K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

Water relations:

Data listed in Tables١٧ and ١٨ refer that irrigation intervals had marked variation in total applied water, water save and water use efficiency in both seasons. The irrigation every ٣ days had the highest values of total applied water, while the prolonged irrigation interval of ٩ days recorded the minimum values of total applied water. At the same time, the irrigation interval of ٩ days gave the maximum amount of water save with medium value of water use efficiency. On the other side, the ٣ days irrigation interval gave the least water use efficiency that are hold true in both seasons. The intermittent irrigation interval of ٦ days clearly mediated the two irrigation intervals in amount of water save and it recorded the highest values of water use efficiency. Interestingly, the highest mean of water use efficiency was obviously recorded by the intermittent irrigation interval of ٦ day with slightly insignificant yield reduction. The prolonging irrigation interval of ٩ day gave the highest value of yield reduction. Therefore, the intermittent irrigation interval could be recommended (Tables١٦, ١٧ and ١٨). The potassium spilt application treatments greatly varied in their effect on water use efficiency in both seasons(Table١٨).The potassium split application into equal four doses of ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT) possessed the highest values of water use efficiency in both study of seasons(Table١٨). On the other hand, the lowest values of water use efficiency were produced by one dose potassium application. Similar data had been reported by Zayed *et al.* (٢٠٠٧), Majid(٢٠١٢) and El-Habet, Howida (٢٠١٤) as well as El-Rfaee *et al.*(٢٠١٢).

From going discussion, the potassium split application into four or three doses had affinity to alleviate the harmful of water stress resulted from prolonging irrigation interval ensuring considerable rice grain yield under such condition and indicating water save.

Table ١٦:Water consumed of EHR١ as affected by different irrigation treatments during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Before treatments:	Amount of water consumed (m٣/ha.)	
	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
Land preparation of the nursery	١٨٠,٤	١٩٥,٦
Raising seedling (٢٥ days)	٢٨٩,٩	٢٩٤,٨
Preparation of permanent field	١٨٨٠,٥	١٧٥٠,٦
١٠ days before irrigation treatments	١٧٢٣,٧	١٦٩٣,٢
Total	٤٠٧٤,٥	٣٩٣٤,٢
Through irrigation treatments		
٣ days	٩٤١٨,٤	٩٦٧٥,٦
٦ days	٧٩٦٦,٣	٨١٧٤,٤
٩ days	٦٦٨٨,٧	٦٧٤٨,٩
Irrigation intervals	Total water used (m٣/ha.)	
	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
٣ days	١٣٤٩٢,٩	١٣٦٠٩,٨
٦ days	١٢٠٤٠,٨	١٢١٠٨,٦
٩ days	١٠٧٦٣,٢	١٠٦٨٣,١

Table ١٧: Some water relations of Egyptian Hybrid ١ rice cultivar as affected by irrigation treatments during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Irrigation treatments	Total water (m٣/ha.)		Grain yield (t/ha.)		Yield reduction (%)		Water saved (%)		Water use efficiency (WUE kg/m٣)	
	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣	٢٠١٢	٢٠١٣
٣ days	١٣٤٩٢,٩	١٣٦٠٩,٨	١٠,٩٤	١١,٠٨	-	-	-	-	٠,٨١١	٠,٨١٤
٦ days	١٢٠٤٠,٨	١٢١٠٨,٦	١٠,٧٦	١٠,٨٨	١,٦٥	١,٨١	١٠,٧٦	١١,٠٣	٠,٨٩٤	٠,٨٩٩
٩ days	١٠٧٦٣,٢	١٠٦٨٣,١	٨,٩٧	٨,٩٥	١٨,٠١	١٩,٢٢	٢٠,٢٣	٢١,٥٠	٠,٨٣٣	٠,٨٣٨

Table ١٨:The effect of interaction between irrigation intervals and potassium split application on water use efficiency during ٢٠١٢ and ٢٠١٣ seasons.

Potassium split application (k):	Irrigation intervals							
	٢٠١٢				٢٠١٣			
	٣days	٦days	٩days	Mean	٣ days	٦ days	٩ days	mean
K١	٠,٧٧٠	٠,٨٢٦	٠,٧٣٨	٠,٧٧٨	٠,٧٨٠	٠,٨٣٢	٠,٧٥٨	٠,٧٩٠
K٢	٠,٧٨٩	٠,٨٦٢	٠,٧٧٣	٠,٨٠٨	٠,٧٨٨	٠,٨٧٢	٠,٧٩٣	٠,٨١٨
K٣	٠,٨٤١	٠,٩٤٠	٠,٨٥٨	٠.٨٨٠	٠,٨٤٢	٠,٩٤١	٠,٨٧٨	٠,٨٨٧
K٤	٠,٨٤٦	٠,٩٤٦	٠,٨٩٩	٠,٨٩٧	٠,٨٤٦	٠,٩٤٨	٠,٩٢٢	٠,٩٠٥
mea	٠,٨١١	٠,٨٩٤	٠,٨٣٣		٠,٨١٤	٠,٨٩٩	٠,٨٣٨	

K١: All basal (B).K٢: ½ B + ½ mid tillering (MT). K٣: ⅓ B + ⅓ MT + ⅓ panicle initiation (PI). K٤: ¼ B + ¼ MT + ¼ PI + ¼ boating (BT).

REFERENCE

Ali, M.; C.R. Jensen; V.O. Mogensen; M.N.Andersen and I.E. Henson(١٩٩٩). Root signaling and osmotic adjustment during intermittent soil drying sustaingrain yield of field grown wheat. Field Crops Res., ٦٢:٣٥–٥٢.

Andersen, M.N.; C.R. Jensen and R.Losch (١٩٩٢). The interaction effects of potassium and drought in field-grown barley. I. Yield, water-use efficiency and growth. Soil Plant Sci; ٤٢:٣٤–٤٤ .

Assmann, S.M and K. Shimazaki (١٩٩٩) .The multisensory guard cell, stomatal responses to blue light and abscisic acid. Plant physiol ;١١٩:٨٠٩-٨١٥.

Black, C. A.; D. D. Evan; L. E. Ensminger; J. L. White and F.E.Clark(١٩٦٥).Methods of soil analysis(chemical and microbiology properties,part٢).Amer. Soc. of Agron.

Chen, J.X.;J.L.Xuan;C.L. Du and J.C.Xie (١٩٩٧).Effect of potassium nutrition of rice on rhizosphere redox status. Plant Soil,١٨٨:١٣١–١٣٧.

Devasenenapathy,P. (١٩٩٧). Split application of potassium in rice. Madras Agricultural J; ٨٤(٥):٢٦٥-٢٦٦.

Duncan, B.D (١٩٥٥).Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, ١١:١-٤٢.

El-EKhtyar, A.M. (٢٠٠٤). Behavior of some rice cultivars as affected by drought treatments under drill seeded rice. Ph.D. Thesis, Agron. Dept., Fac. of Agric. Mansoura Univ.

El-Habet Howida, B.I.(٢٠١٤). Irrigation regime and potassium levels effects on yield of some rice genotypes, water use efficiency(WUE) and economic returns. J plant Production, Mansoura Univ., ٥(٣):٣٨٣-٣٩٩.

El-Refaee, I.S.;A.M.EL-EKhtyar and A.A. El-Gohary(٢٠٠٨). Improving rice productivity under irrigation intervals and nitrogen fertilizer. Proceedings(The Second Field Crop Conference), FCRI, ARC, Giza, Egypt.١٤-١٦ Oct;٣٣٣-٣٤٧.

El-Refaee, I.S.;R.N.Gorgy and T.F.Mettwally(٢٠١٢).Response of some rice cultivars to plant spacing for improving grain yield and water productivity under different irrigation intervals.Alex.J.Agric.Res.,٥٧(١):١-١٤.

Farooq, M.; A. Wahid.;D.J.Lee.; O.Ito and K.H.M.Siddique(٢٠٠٩). Advances in drought resistance of rice. Crit. Rev. plant Sci; ٢٨: ١٩٩-٢١٧.

Ghoshi, S.K.;S.Pal and A.K. Mukhopad (١٩٩٥). Split application of potassium to maximize its efficiency on high yielding of rice.Ind.Agriculturist,٣٩(٤):٢٥٩-٢٦٤.

Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez(١٩٨٤).Statistical procedures for agricultural research. ٢ th ed., John wiley and Sons, USA.

Li, F. (٢٠١٤).Potassium and water interaction. International Workshop on Soil Potassium and K Fertilizer Management. The International Potash Institute.Availableonline: http://www.ipipotash.org/udocs/Potassium_and_Water_Interaction.pdf (accessed on ٢١ January ٢٠١٤).

Majid, A. (٢٠١٢). The Effect of water saving irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer on rice production in paddy fields of Iran. International Journal of Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics, ٢(١):٥٦-٥٩.

Meena, S.; S. S. Singh and Y. S. Shivary (٢٠٠٣). Response of hybrid rice (Oryza sativa L.) to nitrogen and potassium application in sandy clay – loam soils. Indian J. of Agric. Sci., ٧٣(١):٨-١١.

Michael, A.M. (١٩٧٨). Irrigation theory and practices. Vikas Publishing House PUTLTD New Delhi, Bombay.

Natarjan, S.; K. Arivazhagan and U. Surendran (٢٠٠٤). Effect of split application of different potassic fertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake by rice Cv PY٥. J. of Eco-biology,١٦ (٣): ١٧٥ – ١٨٠.

Pillal, R.N.and P.Anasuya (١٩٩٧). Effect of graded levels of applied potassium on dry matter production and potassium concentration in leaves of rice.J.of potassium Res., ١٣(٢):١٣٧-١٤٢.

Poonam, A.; I.P.Sharma and V.C. Srivastava(١٩٩٣). Rate and time of potassium application on transplanted rice.J.of Res.Birsa. Agric. Univ;٥(٢): ١٧١-١٧٢.

Quampah, A.; R.M. Wang; I.H.Shamsi; G.Jilani; Q.Zhang; S. Hua and H. Xu (٢٠١١). Improving water productivity by potassium application in various rice genotypes. Int. J. Agri. Biol., ١٣(١): ٩-١٧.

Ramteke, J. R.; M. S. Power; S. L. Yadav and V. B. Gedam (٢٠٠٤). Effect of time application of phosphorous and potassium on the yield and nutrient uptake of rice hybrids. J. of Maharashtra Agric. Univ; ٢٩ (٢) :٢٤٢ – ٢٤٣.

Ravichandran,M. and M. V. Sriramachandrasekharan(٢٠١١). Optimizing timing of potassium application in productivity enhancement of crops, Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., ٢٤ (١) :٧٥-٨٠.

Razak, A.A.; M.R. Ismail; M.F. Karim; P.E.M .Wahab; S.N. Abdullah and H. Kausar (٢٠١٣).Changes in leaf gas exchange, biochemical properties, growth and yield of chilli grown under soilless culture subjected to deficit fertigation. Aust. J. Crop Sci; ٧: ١٥٨٢–١٥٨٩.

Sarkarung, S.; G. Pantuwan;S. Pushpavesa; P.Tupanan and P. Germplasm(١٩٩٧) .Development for Rain-fed Lowland Ecosystems: Breeding Strategies for Rice in Drought-Prone Environments; Proc. Int. Workshop: Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand,pp. ٤٣–٤٩.

Tabbal, DF.; B.A.M.Bourman;S.I.Bhuiyan; E.B.Sibayan and M.A.Sattar(٢٠٠٢). On farm strategies for reducing water input in irrigated rice: Case studies in the Philippines. Agric. Water Management, ٥٦(٢):٩٣-١١٢.

Thakur,D.S.; S.R. Patal and N. Ageshwarlal (١٩٩٩) .Effect of split application of potassium with FYM on rice (Oryza sativa L.).Indian J. of Agron., ٤٤(٢):٣٠١-٣٠٣.

Uddin, M. S.; M. J. Abedin mian and M. A. Saleque(٢٠١٢). Response of rice (Oryza sativa L.) to spilt application of potassium in old Brahmaputra flood plain soil. Bangladesh J. Agric. Res., ٣٧(١): ١٧٩-١٨٤.

Velayautham, A.; K. Velayutham and R. Balasubramanin (١٩٩٢).Effect of split application of potassium to lowland rice on NPK uptake and yield .Orissa J. of Agric. Res., ٥(٣): ١٦٢-١٦٥.

Yoshida, S.; D.A Forno.; J.H. Cock; and K.A. Gomez (١٩٧٦). Laboratory manual for physiology studies of rice .IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines.

Zayed, B.A. (٢٠٠٢). Performance of some cultivars as affected by irrigation and potassium fertilizer treatments under saline soil conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Agronomy Dept., Faculty of Agric., Mansoura University.

Zayed,B.A.;A.A.El-Gouhary;A.M.El-Ekhtyar and S.E.M.Sedeek(٢٠٠٦) Response of Giza١٧٧ and SK٢٠٣٤H hybrid rice to potassium splitting.١st crop Conference,٢٢-٢٤, August,pp٤٠٦-٤١٤, Egypt.

Zayed,B.A.; S.M.Shehata; W.M.Elkhoby and E.E.E.Kafaga (٢٠٠٧). Rice and water productivity as affected by irrigation intervals and potassium splitting under newly reclaimed saline soil. J. Agric. Res. Kafer El-Sheikh Univ.,٣٣(٤):٨٠٧-٨٢٣.

استجابة صنف الأرز هجين مصري واحد لاضافة البوتاسيوم علي دفعات تحت فترات ري مختلفة

احمد محمد محمد الأختيار.

مركز البحوث و التدريب في الأرز ، سخا ، كفرالشيخ، معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية، مركز البحوث الزراعية، جيزة، مصر.

اقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بالمزرعة البحثية لمركز البحوث والتدريب في الارز سخا- كفر الشيخ- مصر خلال موسمي ٢٠١٣،٢٠١٢م لدارسة تاثير فترات الري المختلفة و معاملات اضافة البوتاسيوم علي دفعات علي صنف الارز هجين مصري واحد. أجريت التجارب في تصميم الشرائح االمتعامدة في أربع مكرارات حيث وزعت معاملات الري وهي الري كل ٣، ٦و ٩ ايام في الشرائح الافقية بينما وزعت معاملات البوتاسيوم وهي كل المعدل الموصي بة دفعة واحدة علي الشراقي ،٢/١ علي الشراقي+٢/١ عند التفريع المتوسط ،٣/١ علي الشراقي+٣/١ عند التفريع المتوسط+٣/١ عند بداية تكوين السنبلة ،٤/١ علي الشراقي+٤/١ عند التفريع المتوسط+ ٤/١ عند بداية تكوين السنبلة+ ٤/١ عند بداية طور الحنبلة في الشرائح الراسية.

عند الطرد تم دراسة بعض صفات النمو وهي محتوي الكلورفيل ، دليل مساحة الورقة و المادة الجافة. عند الحصاد تم تقدير محصول الحبوب و مكوناتة وهي طول النبات، عدد السنابل م-٢ ،عدد الحبوب الكلية السنبلة-١ ،عدد الحبوب الممتلئة السنبلة -١، وزن الألف حبة ،محصول الحبوب، محصول القش و دليل الحصاد. تم تقدير القياسات المائية وهي كمية المياة الكلية المضافة م٣هكتار-١ والنسبة المئوية للمياة الموفرة لكل معاملة ري وكفاءة استخدام المياة كجم م-٣ و تم تقديرالنسبة المئوية لنقص المحصول الناتج عن تطويل فترات الري .

وقد اوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها ان معاملات الري اثرت معنويا علي كل الصفات المدروسة ووجد ان معاملة الري كل ٩ ايام قللت كل صفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناتة ودليل الحصاد مسجلة اقل القيم لكل هذة الصفات. وكانت معاملتا الري كل ٣ و ٦ ايام علي نفس المستوي من المعنوية في تاثيرهما علي صفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناتة ودليل الحصاد محققة اعلي القيم. حصلت معاملة الري كل ٣ ايام علي اعلي كمية من مياة الري هي٩,١٣٤٩٢ ،١٣٦٠٩،٨م٣هكتار-١ واقل قيمة لكفاءة استخدام المياة وهي٠،٨١١ , ٠،٨١٤ كجم م-٣ خلال الموسم الاول والثاني علي التوالي .و اعطت معاملة الري كل ٩ ايام اعلي قيمة للنقص في المحصول وهي١٨،٠١ ، ١٩،٢٢% واعلي قيمة لتوفير المياة وهي ٢٠،٢٣ ، ٢١،٥٠ وقيمة متوسطة لكفائة استخدام المياة ٠،٨٣٣ , ٠،٨٣٨ كجم م-٣ في كلا الموسمين . وحصلت معاملة الري كل ٦ ايام علي اعلي قيمة لكفائة استخدام المياة ٠,٨٩٤ ، ٠,٨٩٩ كجم م-٣ وبلغ النقص في المحصول ١،٦٥ ، ١،٨١ % وكمية مياة متوفرة بلغت ١٠،٧٦ ، ١١،٠٣% في الموسم الاول والثاني علي التوالي.

اظهرت معاملات اضافة البوتاسيوم علي دفعات تاثيرا معنويا و حسنت كل صفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناتة ودليل الحصاد وكفاءة استخدام المياة مقارنة بمعاملة اضافة البوتاسيوم دفعة واحدة علي الشراقي. تفوقت معاملة اضافة البوتاسيوم علي٤دفعات ٤/١ علي الشراقي +٤/١ عند التفريع المتوسط +٤/١عند بداية تكوين السنبلة +٤/١عند طور الحنبلة معنويا علي باقي معاملات البوتاسيوم حيث اعطت اعلي القيم لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة وكذللك اعلي قيمة لكفاءة استخدام المياة وهي ٠،٨٩٧ ، ٠،٩٠٥ كجم م-٣ في كلا الموسمين بينما اعطت معاملة اضافة البوتاسيوم دفعة واحدة علي الشراقي اقل القيم في كل الصفات. كل من معاملة اضافة البوتاسيوم علي ٣ دفعات و٤دفعات كانت علي مستوي واحد من المعنوية في تاثيرهما علي المادة الجافة،عدد السنابل م-٢و العدد الكلي للحبوب سنبلة-١ وعدد الحبوب الممتلئة سنبلة-١، وزن الألف حبة ، محصول الحبوب و القش ، دليل الحصاد وايضا كفاءة استخدام المياة.

اثر التفاعل بين معاملات الري و البوتاسيوم معنويا علي محتوي الكلوروفيل ،دليل مساحة الأوراق ، المادة الجافةم-٢(موسم ٢٠١٢) ،طول النبات ، عدد السنابل م-٢، العدد الكلي لحبوب السنبلة، عدد الحبوب الممتلئة بالسنبلة،وزن الالف حبة ، محصول الحبوب و القش وكذلك دليل الحصاد في موسمي الدارسة. ومن نتائج التفاعل وجد ان افضل المعاملات هي الري كل ٦ ايام مع اضافة البوتاسيوم علي اربعة دفعات من حيث المحصول و كفاءة استخدام المياة.

يمكن التوصية باضافة البوتاسيوم علي اربعة دفعات متساوية خصوصا عند المراحل الحساسة لنقص مياة الري وهي فترة التفريع المتوسط و بداية تكوين السنبلة و بداية طور الحنبلة و ذلك تحت ظروف الري كل ٦ ايام للحصول علي اعلي محصول حبوب و اعلي كفاءة استخدام مياة مع توفير قدر من المياة المستخدمة في حقول الأرز.