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#### Abstract

Two field experiments were conducted at Rice Research and Training Center ( RRTC ) Farm, Kafr-El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2009 and 2010. The main objectives of this study are; identifying both the optimum seeding rates and hill spacing to increase the yield of hybrid rice seed for the hybrid IR69625A/Giza181R (2046H), this study included, three seeding rates for cytoplasmic male sterile line ( $6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$, $8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ and $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ ) and three hill spacing ( $15 \times 10,15 \times 15$ and $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The treatments were arranged in split plot design with three replications, where seeding rates distributed as main plots while hill spacing were allocated in the sub plots. Data were recorded on different traits relating to yield and its components

The results showed that, the seed rates had highest significant on plant height , panicle weight, panicle length, panicle exsertion, no. of spikelets/ panicle seed set, 1000 grain weight and grain yield. The optimum treatment to produce high quantity of hybrid rice seed from the hybrid combination of IR69625A/Giza181R (SK.2046H) was 8 kg seeds/fed, for the seeding rate.

Moreover, hill spacing treatments had highest significant on all studied characters, except no. of panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ character. The best hill spacing for cytoplamic male sterile was $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$.

The interaction between seed rates and hill spacing was significant for all studied characters.

It could be concluded that the optimum treatments to produce high quantity of hybrid seed from the hybrid combination IR69625A/Giza 181R (SK.2046H) was 8kg seeds/fed for the seeding rate and ( $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) for hill spacing, because this treatment was recorded the highest values of panicle exsertion ( $81.61 \%$ ),seed set ( $46.06 \%$ ) and grain yield ( $1.32 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ ).


## INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important food crops for more than half of the world population. Moreover, its a very important cereal crop in Egypt for both consumption and export. The total cultivated area by rice is about 1.700 million feddan which produced about 6.800 .000 million tons of paddy rice (RRTC, 2013) Cultural practices of hybrid rice are differed from those of inbred rice because of its genetic variability, which was true for both hybrid seed production and commercial production level. Among these practices, establishing an optimum plant density, sowing date and seed rate applied for R and Cytoplasmic Male Sterile lines either for commercial use or maintenance which is the most important factor to achieve higher grain yield from different rice cultivars (Kurmi and Sarmah, 1993). Usually, the grain
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yield of individual rice plant is decreased when the plant population is increased. However, the total grain yield per unit area may increase because the decrease in grain yield per plant is offset by the increase in plant number. El-Degway et al. (2010) reported that grain yield of IR69625A was highly affected by the seeding rate and the best combination for hybrid rice seed production obtained by using 20 or 24 kg seed/ha from IR69625A.

Seeding rates depends mainly on the variety characters and the seedling age proper seeding rate is the key factor in raising healthy and vigorous seedlings (Longping, 2003) .

Hill spacing plays an important role in hybrid rice seed production the optimum density, i.e. hill spacing has to be well designated to attain high yield, the low tillering capacity particularly in short duration varieties gave low number of panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, while high tillering capacity caused competition and more shading consequently low yield of paddy rice (Viraktamath et al. 1998). The optimum package for hybrid rice seed production and Cytoplasmic Male Sterile multiplication investigated by Zaman et al. (2002) they reported that, with regarded to plant spacing transplanted rice plants $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in plots for Cytoplasmic Male Sterile multiplication as well as in plots for seed production was the optimum. Gorgy (2007) indicated that $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ spacing gave the highest Leaf Area Index (LAI) , panicle weight, no. of field grains /panicle, no. panicles/hill and grain yield for hybrid SK 2047H and Giza 178 (inbred variety).
Therefore, the present study aimed to:

* Determine the suitable seeding rate of female parent (CMS).
* Fined out the suitable hill spacing which affect on density between hills, consequently gave high yield .


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at Rice Research and Training Center ( RRTC ) Farm, Kafr-El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2009 and 2010 to identify the optimum seeding rate and hill spacing to increase the hybrid rice seed production for the hybrid combination IR69625A/G181R (2046H).This study included, three seeding rates from CMS line IR69625A 6 kg , 8 kg and $10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed broadcasting in specific unit area for each fedan as recommended for rice seed bed. Three plant densities for planting of IR 69625A line (female, parent) were used. The distances between rows were 10,15 and 20 cm a part, while the distances within rows were fixed ( 15 cm ).seedling of 25 days old of female line was transplanted with 5 seedlings hill ${ }^{-1}$.

The treatments were arranged in split plot design with three replications, where seeding rates distributed as main plots and hill spacing were allocated in sub plots, all cultural practices was done as recommended Size plot is for CMS and for $R$ line $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

The nursery seed bed was well ploughed and dry leveled Phosphorous fertilizer in the form of single super phosphate ( $15 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) was added at the rate of $240 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( $100 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed) before tillering. Nitrogen in the form of urea ( 46
$\% \mathrm{~N})$ at the rate of $144 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{h}(60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fed})$ was added in two portions, first at the rate of ( $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{fed}$ ) at basal dressing and the rest ( $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} /$ fed) at panicle initiation. Zinc sulphate $\left(22 \% \mathrm{ZnSo}_{4}\right)$ at the rate of $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed})$ was added after pudding and before planting.

The pre-germinated seed was uniformly broadcast in the nursery on three dates for Giza181 R line on $18^{\text {th }}, 23^{\text {rd }}, 28^{\text {th }}$ May during 2009 and 2010 seasons to provide adequate pollen load to female sterile line IR69625A, which was sown on one date at $31^{\text {th }}$ May during 2009 and 2010seasons, respectively to get complete synchronization of flowering based on the growth duration in previous season for IR69625A line ( $98 \pm 3$ days and $110 \pm 3$ days for Giza181). Plot area was adjusted to $10 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, the soil was clay.

At harvest ,panicle of ten guarded hills for each plot were conducted to determine the number of panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ and also plant height (cm) was measured. Ten main panicles from each subplot were packed to determine, number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, panicle length ( cm ), panicle weight ( g ), panicle exsertion (\%), number of grain/panicle, setting percentage (\%),1000-grain weight ( g ), grain yield ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ ) and harvest index (\%).

All data collected were subjected to stander statistical analysis following following the proceeding described by Gomez and Gomez (1084) using the computer program (Genstat). The treatment means were compared using Duncans multiple range test (Duncan,1995).Indicate the significant at $5 \%$ level of probability, respectively .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 1-Plant height (cm).

Results in Table 1 showed that, plant height significantly affected by seeding rates in the two seasons of 2009 and 2010. The highest values of plant height 109.21 and 106.88 cm , in both season, respectively, which recorded when Cytoplasmic Male Sterile Line was planted with seed rate of 8 kg seeds/fed in both seasons, while lowest values of plant height were recorded 107.15 and 105.26 cm in the two seasons, respectively, which obtained at 10 kg seeds/fed. This may be due to the dense rice plants became crowded when used high seeding rate ,consequently affect on the light intensity goes to the plants, These results are in harmony with those reported by Kurmi and Sarmah (1993).

Data in Table 1, indicate that, plant height increased by increasing plant density in both seasons, The highest values of plant height were recorded ( 110.54 and 108.18 cm ) in both 2009 and 2010 seasons, respectively, when CMS line plants were transplanted with low hill spacing of $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons. In the other side, the lowest values 106.95 and 104.76 cm were obtained for the two seasons 2009 and 2010, respectively, when CMS line plants were transplanted in wide spacing of $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, this may be due to more rice plants became, crowded and the stems elongated to ensure the light sufficiency. These results were similar to those obtained by El-Shayieb (2003).
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Table 1: Effect of seed rates and hill spacing, on plant height (cm), no. of fertile panicle $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and panicle length (cm) during 2009 and 2010 seasons.

| Characters | Plant height (cm) |  | No. of fertile <br> panicles $\mathbf{m}^{2}$ |  | Panicle length (cm) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Seed rates(A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6kg | 108.78 | 106.58 | 679.89 | 24.10 | 24.10 | 23.13 |
| 8kg | 109.21 | 106.88 | 577.11 | 24.40 | 24.40 | 23.46 |
| 10kg | 107.15 | 105.26 | 575.44 | 23.87 | 23.87 | 22.77 |
| F- test | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.83 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Hill spacing(B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10×15 | 107.65 | 105.77 | 703.67 | 697.03 | 23.91 | 22.99 |
| 15×15 | 110.54 | 108.18 | 607.36 | 597.73 | 24.38 | 23.29 |
| 15×20 | 106.95 | 104.76 | 539.22 | 532.76 | 24.09 | 23.04 |
| F- test | $\star$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.75 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| A×B | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

*Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability.

## The interaction effect:

Results in (Table 2) revealed that, there was a significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing on plant height, where the highest values were 112.36 and 109.59 cm for plant height with using $8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ under $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in both seasons. While the lowest values were 105.77 and 103.68 cm with using $10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed under $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in 20092010 season.

Table 2. Plant height (cm) as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons.

| Seed rates ( R) | Plant height (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ season |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 108.74 | 110.12 | 107.47 | 106.71 | 107.58 | 105.45 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 107.66 | 112.36 | 107.61 | 105.89 | 109.59 | 105.15 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 106.53 | 109.15 | 105.77 | 104.72 | 107.39 | 103.68 |  |  |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.765 |  |  |  |  | 0.574 |  |  |

## 2-Number of fertile panicle $/ \mathbf{m}^{2}$

Seeding rate induced a significant effect on number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in both seasons, (Table 1). The highest values number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} 679.89$ and $688.76 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ were recorded when used seeding rate of 6 kg seeds/fed were in both seasons respectively. While the seeding rate of 10 kg seeds/fed gave the lowest values ( 575.44 and $567.30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) in both seasons. These data agreed with Arian et al., (1990). Which they found that increasing seeding rate increased number of panicles /hill.

Regarding for the effect of hill spacing on number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, it's obvious that with decreasing hill spacing the number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$
were increased significantly in both seasons (Table 1). The highest values were recorded 703.67 and $697.03 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ under hill spacing ( $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). While, the lowest values of 539.22 and $532.76 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ were recorded at hill spacing of $(15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$ in the two seasons. The increase in number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ might be a attributed to the increase of number of tiller $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with narrow hill spacing than wide hill spacing, these results are in agreement with those reported by El- Shayieb (2003) and Gab-Allah (2004).

## The interaction effect:

Data in Table 3 revealed that there was a significant interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing on number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in both seasons 2009 and 2010, the number of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ recorded the maximum values 808.33 and 802.10 at the seeding rate of 6 kg seeds $/ f e d$ under hill spacing of $(15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm})$ in seasons of 2009 and 2010. While under seeding rate of $10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed and hill spacing ( $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) it gave lowest values of no. of panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} 450.67$ and 441.30 in two seasons, respectively.
Table 3. No. of fertile panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 season.

| Seed rates ( R) | No. of fertile panicles/m ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 season |  |  | 2010 season |  |  |
|  | 15x10 | 15x15 | 15x20 | 15x10 | 15x15 | 15x20 |
| 6 Kg | 808.33 | 615.33 | 670.00 | 802.10 | 597.90 | 666.30 |
| 8 Kg | 636.33 | 598.00 | 497.00 | 629.70 | 594.00 | 490.70 |
| 10Kg | 666.33 | 609.33 | 450.67 | 659.30 | 601.30 | 441.30 |
| LSD at 0.05 | 1.304 |  |  | 0.816 |  |  |

## 3- Panicle length (cm)

Data in Table 1 revealed that, the highest panicle length were 24.40 and 23.46 cm in the two seasons were recorded under seed rate/fed 8 kg as seeding rate, while the lowest values were obtained ; ( 23.87 and 22.73 cm ) when using 10 kg seeds/fed as seeding rate in the first and second seasons, respectively, These results similar to those reported by Shinde et al. (2005) which they found that, increasing the seed rate, increased the panicle length Reddy et al. (1986) found also the same results. Obulamma et al. (2002) they reported that, one seedling/hill recorded the highest grain yield, crop growth rate, and assimilation, rate while three seedlings/hill gave the highest dry matter production.

Panicle length significantly affected by hill spacing in both seasons .The panicle length was significantly increase as hill spacing had been increased in both season (Table 1). The hill spacing of ( $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) recorded the highest values ( 24.38 and 23.29 cm ) for the panicle length in the two seasons respectively, But, the lowest values was recorded at hill spacing of ( $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were 23.91 and 22.99 cm for the panicle length in both seasons under this study. Normally increasing hill spacing increased panicle length markedly, each increment of hill spacing significantly increased panicle
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length. Abd El-Hamed (2002) found that panicle length was decreased with closer spacing.
The interaction effect:
Data presented in Table 4 showed that there was significant interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in the two seasons, the highest values at the rate of 8 kg seeds/fed and hill space of $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ which recorded 24.63 and 23.61 cm for panicle length in the two seasons. While the minimum values of panicle length were 23.48 and 22.45 cm obtained at rate of 10 kg seeds/fed and hill spacing $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons (Table4).

Table 4. Panicle length (cm) as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons.

| Seed rates ( R) | Panicle length (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 23.99 | 24.33 | 24.00 | 23.13 | 23.34 | 22.93 |  |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 24.25 | 24.63 | 24.31 | 23.40 | 23.61 | 23.37 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 23.48 | 24.18 | 23.96 | 22.45 | 22.93 | 22.82 |  |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.010 |  |  |  | 0.009 |  |  |

## 4-Panicle weight (g)

Data presented in Table 5 showed that the effect of seeding rates on panicle weight was significant in both growing seasons. The seeding rate of 8 kg seeds/fed produced the highest values of panicle weight were 2.08 and 2.03 g as compared with 6 kg and 10 kg seeds/fed in the two seasons. While the lowest values of panicle weight ( 1.95 and 1.92 gm ) were recorded at seeding rate of $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ in both seasons, respectively. These results may be due to the increasing in no. of filled grains /panicle, 1000-grain weight which produce heavy panicle weight. These results are similar to those obtained by Shinde et al. ( 2005 ).

Hill spacing significantly affected panicle weight in the two seasons (Table 5). Hill spacing of $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ gave the highest panicle weight of 2.16 and 2.11 g in the first and second season. While, lowest values were recorded 1.89 and 1.79 g at hill spacing of $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons. These results similar to those obtained by El- Gohary (1998).

## The interaction effect:

Results in Table 6 indicated that, there was a significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing on panicle weight in both seasons. The panicle weight recorded the maximum values 2.21 and 2.15 g at seed rate of 8 kg seeds/fed $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in the two seasons 2009 and 2010,while, the minimum values of panicle weight were recorded 1.70 and 1.63 g at the seed rate of 6 kg seeds/fed under hill spacing $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons.

Table 5.Effect of seed rates and hill spacing on panicle weight (g), panicle exsertion \% and number of grains/panicle during 2009 and 2010 seasons.

| Characters | Panicle weight (g) |  | panicle exsertion\% |  | No. of grains /panicle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Seed rate(A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6kg | 2.03 | 1.98 | 78.73 | 77.64 | 124.16 | 121.08 |
| 8kg | 2.08 | 2.03 | 79.43 | 78.42 | 132.74 | 128.86 |
| 10kg | 1.95 | 1.92 | 73.74 | 72.72 | 126.23 | 123.99 |
| F-test | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.57 |
| Hill spacing(B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 \times 15$ | 1.89 | 1.79 | 77.23 | 76.15 | 120.98 | 117.99 |
| $15 \times 15$ | 2.16 | 2.11 | 78.86 | 77.84 | 136.39 | 133.03 |
| $15 \times 20$ | 2.02 | 1.99 | 75.82 | 74.80 | 126.36 | 122.92 |
| F-test | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.52 |
| A×B | * | * | * | * | * | * |

* Significant at 5\% level of probability

Table 6. Panicle weight (g) as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 season.

| Seed rates ( R) | Panicle weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 season |  |  | 2010 season |  |  |
|  | 15x10 | 15×15 | 15x20 | 15×10 | $15 \times 15$ | 15x20 |
| 6 Kg | 2.00 | 2.16 | 1.94 | 1.83 | 2.12 | 1.99 |
| 8 Kg | 1.96 | 2.21 | 2.07 | 1.92 | 2.15 | 2.01 |
| 10Kg | 1.70 | 2.11 | 2.5 | 1.63 | 2.07 | 1.99 |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.010 |  |  | 0.009 |  |  |

## 5- panicle exsertion\%

Seeding rate had a significant effect on panicle exsertion percentage in 2009 and 2010 seasons shown in (Table 5), The results showed that, the highest values 79.43 and 78.42 \% were recorded when used seeding rate 8 kg seeds/fed of female IR69625A during the two growing seasons 2009 and 2010,respectively, while the lowest values 73.74 and $72.72 \%$ were recorded by using seeding rate of 10 kg seeds/fed in both seasons, respectively. These data indicated that, panicle emergence was related by quantity of seeds, those results are in accordance with those obtained by AlShenawey ( 2009 ).

The effect of hill spacing on panicle exsertion percent was significant in the two seasons (Table 5). The highest values ( 78.86 and $77.84 \%$ ) were recorded at hill spacing of $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the two seasons. But, the lowest values 75.82 and 74.80 were recorded at hill space of $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons respectively. These results similar to the results obtained by Hegazy (1996).

The interaction effect:
Results in Table 7 indicated that, there was a significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing on panicle excretion in both season.
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The panicle exertion recorded maximum values 81.61 and $80.57 \%$ at seeding rate 8 kg seeds/fed and hill spacing of $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons 2009 and 2010 respectively, but the lowest values 71.80 and $70.76 \%$ were recorded at seeding rate 10 kg seeds/fed and hill spacing $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the two seasons.

Table 7. Panicle exsertion\% as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 season.

| Seed rate ( R) | Panicle exsertion\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 season |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ season |  |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 77.80 | 80.92 | 77.45 | 76.57 | 79.90 | 76.46 |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 78.49 | 81.61 | 78.20 | 77.51 | 80.57 | 77.18 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 75.40 | 74.04 | 71.80 | 74.36 | 73.05 | 70.76 |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.685 |  |  |  |  | 0.670 |

## 6- Number of grains/panicle

Data in Table 5 sowed that the effect of seeding rate on number of grains/panicle. Data showed that, the number of grains per panicle was significantly affected by seeding rates in both seasons, the highest values 132.74 and 128.86 were recorded by using 8 kg seeds/fed in 2009 and 2010 seasons, however the seeding rate of 6 kg seeds/fed gave the lowest values 124.16 and 121.08 in of 2009 and 2010 seasons respectively. These data may be due to the density in the nursery will affected on seedling health consequently no. of spikelet's/panicle these data indicated that, panicle emergence was related by quantity of seeds, those results are in accordance with those obtained by Al-Shenawey ( 2009 ).

Hill spacing had a significant effect on number of grains/panicle in both seasons. Results showed that, hill spacing was significantly affect the number of grains/panicle in the two seasons. The highest number of grains/panicle 136.39 and 133.03 were recorded with wide hill spacing $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 2009 and 2010 seasons. While, the lowest values 120.98 and 117.99 were produced under narrow hill spacing $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the two seasons, respectively. These results were always similar in both seasons of experimentations this might be due to decrease the plant density at permanent field will increase the panicle length and No. of grains/panicle. These results are in confined with the findings of Gab-Allah (2004).

## The interaction effect:

Results indicated that, there were a significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing on number of grains/panicle in both seasons. (Table 8). Showed that, the maximum values 142.01 and 138.79 were recorded at seedling rate 8 kg seeds/fed and $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing. While, the lowest values ( 119.90 and 116.83) were recorded when using seeding rate 6 kg seeds/fed and $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons, respectively.

Table 8. No. of grains/panicle as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 season.

| Seed rate ( R) | No. of grains/panicle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 season |  |  | 2010 season |  |  |
|  | 15x10 | 15×15 | 15x20 | $15 \times 10$ | 15×15 | 15x20 |
| 6 Kg | 119.90 | 129.53 | 123.06 | 116.83 | 126.37 | 120.04 |
| 8 Kg | 122.92 | 142.01 | 133.31 | 119.33 | 138.79 | 128.13 |
| 10Kg | 120.12 | 137.64 | 122.72 | 117.81 | 134.25 | 119.92 |
| LSDat 0.05 | 0.408 |  |  | 0.892 |  |  |

## 7-seed set \%

Results in Table 9 also illustrate that, the percentage of seed setting significantly affected by seeding rates in both seasons. The seed setting percentage recorded maximum values 38.75 and $38.65 \%$ by using seeding rate of 6 kg seeds/fed in the two seasons. Seeding rate of 8 kg seeds/fed gave the lowest values 34.31 and 34.29 \% in, 2009 and 2010 seasons, respectively.

Table 9. Effect of seed rates, hill spacing on seed set\% , 1000- grain weight(g),grain yield t/fed and harvest index \% during 20092010 seasons.

| Characters | Seed set \% |  | 1000- grain weight <br> (g) |  | Grain yield t/fed |  | Harvest index \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatments | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Seed rates(A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 kg | 36.95 | 34.54 | 24.10 | 23.16 | 1.068 | 0.987 | 10.53 | 9.96 |
| 8kg | 39.65 | 37.15 | 24.44 | 23.27 | 1.173 | 1.111 | 10.10 | 9.43 |
| 10kg | 31.45 | 30.24 | 23.45 | 21.93 | 0.935 | 0.901 | 10.85 | 10.20 |
| F-test | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.081 | 0.038 | 0.13 | 0.57 |
| Hill spacing(B) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 \times 15$ | 35.63 | 33.39 | 23.23 | 22.18 | 1.087 | 0.964 | 10.71 | 10.07 |
| $15 \times 15$ | 40.59 | 38.36 | 24.56 | 23.81 | 1.188 | 1.102 | 10.81 | 10.18 |
| $15 \times 20$ | 31.82 | 28.96 | 24.21 | 22.36 | 0.901 | 0.897 | 9.97 | 9.33 |
| F-test | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.24 | 025 | 0.11 | 0.43 | 0.066 | 0.036 | 0.11 | 0.43 |
| A×B | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

* Significant at 5\% level of probability.

The medium hill spacing caused a significant increase in percentage of seed setting (Table 10).the medium hill spacing $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ gave the highest values of 37.05 and 37.09 \% in 2009 and 2010, seasons respectively. While the lowest values 34.32 and $34.25 \%$ was recorded with narrow hill spacing $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the two seasons of 2009 and 2010, respectively. Each increment in hill spacing resulted in significant increase in seed setting percentage. This fact is true in both seasons.

These results might be attributed to more and dense plants per unit area, under narrow hill spacing and number of spikelets/panicle become less than
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wider hill spacing ( $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). These results are in harmony with those obtained El-Gohary (1998).

## The interaction effect:

Results in Table 10 showed that, there were a significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing on seed setting in both seasons. Seed setting recorded the highest values 46.06 and $43.36 \%$ in the two seasons when used seeding rate 8 kg seeds/fed with $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing. While the lowest values 28.07 and $25.04 \%$ were recorded by using seeding rate 10 kg seeds/fed with $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in both seasons. These data were always the same in both seasons of experimentation and that might be attributed to availability of more pollen and relatively shorter distance the pollen have to traveled and disseminated of pollen.

Table 10 . Seed set \% as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010

| Seed rates ( R) | Seed set \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ season | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 36.39 | 41.24 | 33.21 | 33.73 | 39.63 | 30.25 |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 38.70 | 46.06 | 34.19 | 36.46 | 43.36 | 31.63 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 31.80 | 34.46 | 28.07 | 29.98 | 32.09 | 25.04 |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.462 |  |  |  |  | 0.416 |

## 8-1000 grain weight (g)

Mean values of 1000-grain weight were found to be highly significantly affected by seeding rates as shown in (Table 9). The highest values 24.44 and 234.27 g were recorded at seeding rate of 8 kg seeds $/ \mathrm{fed}$, while, the lowest values of 1000 -grain weight 23.45 and 21.93 g were recorded at seeding rate of 10 kg seeds/ fed. These data showed that 1000-grain weight was highly affected by the seeding rate. these results are in accordance with those of Al-Shenawey (2009).

The results in Table 9 revealed that,1000-grain weight was significantly affected by hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons, respectively, where as medium hill spacing ( $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ part) gave the heavier 1000 -grain weight ( 24.56 and 23.21 g ) followed by wide hill spacing ( $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ apart). While narrow spacing ( $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ part ) recorded the lowest values of 1000grain weight in the two seasons ( 23.23 and 22.18 g ), these results were confirmed by Gab-Allah (2004).

## The interaction effect:

There was a significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons. The results in (Table 11) . Show that, the highest values were 24.83 and 24.84 g recorded by using seeding rate 8 kg seeds/fed with $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in the two seasons, while, the lowest values 21.86 and 20.93 g were recorded at 10 kg seeds / fed with seeding rate and $15 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in the two seasons respectively.

Table 11 .1000- grain weight (g)as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 season.

| Seed rate ( R) | Grain yield t/fed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ season |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 1.034 | 1.291 | 0.881 | 0.971 | 1.158 | 0.833 |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 1.090 | 1.319 | 1.111 | 1.041 | 1.261 | 1.030 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 1.137 | 0.954 | 0.713 | 0.881 | 0.886 | 0.827 |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.109 |  |  |  |  | 0.062 |

## 9- Grain weight t/fed

The average grain yield (t/fed) was significantly affected by seeding rate in, 2009 and 2010 seasons ( Table 9) the seeding rate $8 \mathrm{~kg} /$ fed gave the maximum value 1.173 and $1.111 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ grain yield in the two seasons, while the minimum 0.935 and $0.901 \mathrm{t} /$ fed grain yield was produced by using $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed.In}$ the two seasons, respectively. These results may be due to the fact seeding rate produced higher number of panicles $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$, maximum panicle length, panicle excretion and seed seating percentage, these results agreed with those obtained by Dong et al. (1999).

Data in Table 9 showed that, the average of grain yield (t/fed) was significantly affected by hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons. The medium hill spacing $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ apart gave the maximum grain yield 1.188 and 1.102 t /fed in the first and second seasons, respectively. While the minimum grain yield 0.901 and $0.897 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ was produced by using wide spacing $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ apart in both seasons, respectively. These data were confirmed by the data obtained by Budhar et al. (1989)

Table 12 .Grain yield t/fed as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 season.

| Seed rates ( R) | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 - ~ g r a i n ~ w e i g h t ~ ( g ) ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (H) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ season |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 24.05 | 24.65 | 23.60 | 22.71 | 23.68 | 23.08 |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 23.77 | 24.83 | 24.74 | 22.92 | 24.84 | 22.05 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 21.86 | 24.20 | 24.30 | 20.93 | 22.92 | 21.96 |
| LSD at 0.05 | 0.182 |  |  |  |  |  |

## The interaction effect

Data in Table 12 showed that, there was significant interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing on grain yield. Recorded the maximum values at the seeding rate of 8 kg seeds/fed and the hill spacing $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ was 1.319 and $1.261 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{fed}$ while, the lowest values was 0.713 and $0.827 \mathrm{t} / f e \mathrm{f}$. Recorded at the seeding rate of 10 kg seeds/fed and $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons, respectively.
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## 10-Harvest index \%

Harvest index was significantly affected by seeding rates in both seasons. Seeding rate of $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{fed}$ seeds/fed gave the highest values of harvest index 10.85 and $10.20 \%$ in the first and second seasons, respectively. While the seeding rate of 8 kg seeds/fed gave the lowest values of harvest index ( 10.10 and $9.43 \%$ ) in both seasons, (Table 9).

From the obtained data Table 9 hill spacing induced a significant effect on harvest index in both seasons. The highest harvest index values ( 10.81 and $10.18 \%$ ) was recorded from medium hill spacing $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ showed in both season respectively. While, the wide hill spacing $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ gave the lowest values 9.97 and $9.33 \%$ in the two seasons respectively, the results might be attributed to the gradually decrease in total biomass from $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ to medium space $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. The medium space of $15 \times 15$ gave less tillers $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and conseavently less values of harvest index, which were obtained by El-Shayieb (2003).

## The interaction effect:

Data in Table 13 indicate that, the interaction between seeding rate and hill spacing was significant on harvest index in the two seasons 2009 and 2010.Values of harvest index recorded the highest values was 11.72 and 11.07 when used seed rate of 10 kg seeds/fed and hill space of $15 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ was recorded, while the lowest values were recorded 9.84 and $9.17 \%$ at seed rate of 8 kg seeds/fed and plant spacing $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ in both seasons

Table 13.Harvest index \% as affected by the interaction between seeding rates and hill spacing in 2009 and 2010 seasons.

| Seed rate (R) | harvest index \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hill spacing (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ season |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0 1 0}$ season |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \times 2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 K g}$ | 11.05 | 10.58 | 9.95 | 10.48 | 10.01 | 9.39 |
| $\mathbf{8 K g}$ | 10.33 | 10.14 | 9.84 | 9.66 | 9.46 | 9.17 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 K g}$ | 10.73 | 11.72 | 10.11 | 10.08 | 11.07 | 9.44 |
| LSD at $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | 0.264 |  |  |  |  |  |
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تأثير معدلات التقاوي ومسافات الثتّل على إنتاج تقاوي الأرز الهجين في مصر أحمد مصطفى هجرس* ، محمود إبراهيم أبو يوسف** ، عزالايلاين إبراهيم زعزع* و عبد اللسلام بسيونى اللسحلى *قّبم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر - القاهرة ** قسم بحوث الأرز- معهذ بحوث المحاصيل الحقليةـ مركز البحوث الزراعية ـ مصر





 الرئيسية لمعدلات التقاوي بينما خصصت القطع المنشقة لُّسافات الثشتل وسجلت البيانات مع مختلف

الصفات التي لها علاقة باللمحصول ومكوناته .
وكان من أهم النتائج المنحصل عليها :

- وجود فروق معنوية بين معدلات النقاوي لصفات طول النبـات (سم) ،وطول الداليـة (سم)،وزن (الداليـة (جم)،ومعدل خروج ج الداليـة ،وعدد الحبوب/ داليه ، ونسبة العقد ، ووزن لـ الـا (جم) ، ومحصول الحبوب طن/للفدان ـ وكانت أفضـل معامله لمعدلات النقاوي لأعلى إنتاجيـه

- وجود فروق معنوية بين مسافات الثنلل للصفات المدروسة ما عدا صفة عدد الداليات /مr وأفضل مسافة شتل هي $10 \times 10$ سم للسلالة العقيمة.
- كان التفاعل الثنائي بين معدلات التقاوي ومسافات الثنتل معنويا على كل الصفات المدروسة. - من خلال النتائج ألسابقة نجد انه للحصول على أعلىى إنتاجيه من تقاوي الأرز الهجين أي أر
 $10 \times 1010$ سم حيث أن هذه المعاملـة سـلت أعلىى قيم لصفات معدل خروج الداليـة بمعدل
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