RESPONSE OF BARLEY PLANTS TO MAGNESIUM FERTILIZATION UNDER SANDY SOILS CONDITION

M. M. Hamad⁽¹⁾, Manal F. Tantawy⁽¹⁾ and W. M. Nada⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt. ⁽²⁾ Dept. of Soil Sci., Fac. of Agric., Minufiya Univ., Shibin El-Kom, Egypt.

(Received: Jan. 24, 2015)

ABSTRACT: Magnesium is an important element in plant nutrition. So this study was carried out as pot experiment in greenhouse at Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University, Shibin El-Kom, Egypt with barley plants (Hordeum vulgare L.) variety Giza 123 grown on the loamy sand soils to assess the plants response to magnesium fertilizers applications during winter growth season 2013/ 2014. Three surface soil samples (0 - 30 cm) represented three loamy sand soils of Egypt varied widely in their physical and chemical properties and also in their contents of available macronutrients were chosen. Soil samples were collected from Wheat Farm, Village 6, El-Nubariya City - Beheira Governorate (soil 1, characterized with low EC and available Mg); West Abd El-Haleem Mahmoud Village - Beheira Governorate (soil 2, characterized with low nutrients) and Ahmed Oraby Society, South line 4 -Cairo Governorate (soil 3, characterized with high EC and $CaCO_3$). These soils were analyzed for some physical and chemical properties and also for their contents of available nutrients and different forms of Mg. Magnesium fertilizers were added in three sources, i.e., magnesium oxide " MgO" (48.2 % Mg), magnesium nitrate " Mg(NO3)2 " (9.6 % Mg and 18.9 % N) and magnesium sulphate " MgSO₄ . $7H_2O$ " (20.0 % Mg and 14.0 % S) at application rates of 0, 10, 20 and 30 mg Mg / kg soil. The experiment was carried out in complete randomized block design with three replicates.

The obtained data showed that, increasing added rates of Mg fertilizers were associated by a significant increases of plant height (cm) and both fresh and dry matter yields (g pot¹) of barley plants, where the highest values of these three parameters and its relative changes "RC" as a percent of control values were found in the plants fertilized with Mg (NO₃)₂ followed by MgSO₄ treatments for all soils. At the same treatments of Mg , the highest values of the fresh and dry weight were found in the plants grown on soil 2, while the lowest values were found in the plants grown on soil 1. Agronomical efficiency "AE" (mg / mg) of the used three Mg fertilizers at different application rates were varied from Mq source to another and also from soil to another. The highest values of AE associated with the treatments of Mg $(NO_3)_2$, especially at low application rates. In the three soils, N, P, K and Mg concentration (%), uptake (mg pot¹) and relative changes (%) increased with the increase of added Mg. The high content of these nutrients and their RI associated with the treatments of Mg (NO₃)₂ followed by MgSO₄ treatments. Except N content, the highest values of P, K and Mg concentration and uptake were found in the plants grown on soil 2 and the lowest values were found in the plants grown on soil 1. The obtained data concluded that, sandy soils of Egypt must be fertilized with Mg, especially in NO₃ or SO₄ forms to improve its productivity.

Key words: Loamy sand soil, Magnesium, Growth parameters, Nutrients uptake and Barley plants.

INTRODUCTION

Food scarcity and continuous loss of agricultural lands are issues of global concern. The government of Egypt adopted policies aimed at self-sufficiency in food production, e. g. extension of cultivated areas and increasing the production of the existing agricultural land. The principal purpose was and still is to overcome Egypt's overwhelmingly unfavorable population to agricultural land ratio. The cultivation of newly reclaimed sandy and calcareous soils has become an unavoidable necessity for increasing our agricultural production to

meet the over-growing demand for food. These soils are poor in their nutrients content including magnesium. Sandy soils in Egypt represents more than 70 % of total area. Most of these soils may be reclaimed with low costs compared with other desert soils. Also, these soils are more suitable to many economical cultivations such as wheat, barley and corn. In addition, such soils are located within or near to the Valley of Nile River (FAO, 2006).

Moreover, magnesium is related to the group secondary essential macronutrients and involved in many metabolic processes in the plants. Whereas, it's only mineral constituent of the chlorophyll molecule and located at its center. So, chlorophyll formation accounts for about 15 - 20 % of the total Mg content of plants (Basak, 2006 and Cakmak and Yazici, 2010). However, chlorotic or necrotic spots spread over the leaves indicate its deficiency of Mg. In this concern, Mg deficiency in most Egyptian soils was reported by many investigator such as (Abou Aziz et al., 2000 ; El-Metwallv et al., 2010 and Abou El-Nour and Shaaban, 2012). On the other hand, Mg ionic form (Mg⁺⁺) adhered to the colloidal particles in the soil and its available to be taken up by the plant roots. According to El-Fouly et al. (2010), available Mg decreases in the period 1998 - 2006 reached about 80 % from the available Mg in 1998. So, intensive agriculture used high rates of potassium and ammonium fertilizers and neglecting of Mg-fertilization led to Mgunavailability which became a limiting growth factor.

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world, being used for many purposes such as molting, brewing industry, animal feeding, bread making as it is or by mixing with wheat flour in some places, some food and beverages.

Thereafter, this pot experiment was carried out to study the response of barley plants grown on loamy sand soils which varied widely in their properties to Mg fertilization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pot experiment was conducted during winter growth season 2013 / 2014 in the greenhouse at Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Minufiya University, Shibin El-Kom, Egypt with barley plants (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) variety Giza 123 grown on loamy sand soils to study the effect of different soil applications (sources and rates) of magnesium fertilization on plant growth parameters and its mineral composition.

Surface soil samples (0-30 cm depth) were collected separately from three different locations of Egypt which varied widely in their physical and chemical properties and also in their contents of available macronutrients. These locations are:- Wheat Farm, Village 6, El-Nubariya City - Beheira Governorate (soil 1, characterized with low EC and available Mg); West Abd El-Haleem Mahmoud Village - Beheira Governorate (soil 2, characterized with low nutrients) and Ahmed Oraby Society, South line 4 - Cairo Governorate (soil 3, characterized with high EC and CaCO₃). These samples were air - dried, ground, good mixed, sieved through a 2 mm sieve and analyzed for some physical and chemical properties and also the contents of available some macronutrients according to the methods described by Cottenie et al. (1982); Page et al. (1982) and Klute (1986). The obtained data were recorded in Tables (1 to 3). Magnesium fertilizers were added in three sources, i.e., magnesium oxide " MgO" (48.2 % Mg); magnesium nitrate Mg(NO₃)₂ " (9.6 % Mg and 18.9 % N) and magnesium sulphate " MgSO₄ . $7H_2O$ " (20.0 % Mg and 14.0 % S) at application rates of 0, 10, 20 and 30 mg Mg / kg soil.

The experimental units were 108 plastic pots, including 3 sources of Mg fertilizers x 4 rates of each form x 3 loamy sand soils x 3 replicates. Plastic pots of 20 cm depth and 25 cm diameter were used in this study. The experiment was carried out in completely randomize block design (CRBD) with three replicates. Fifteen seeds of barley plants were sown at 1st of December, 2013 in pots contained 7.0 kg loamy sand soil (36 pots of each soil). After 12 days of sowing, plants Response of barley plants to magnesium fertilization under sandy soils condition

Table 1 ,2 ,3

were thinned to 10 plants. The moisture content was always kept at field capacity of each soil during the growth period. Before sowing, every pot received 1.4 g super mono-phosphate (15.5 % P_2O_5). After thinning directly, every pot received 1.05 g urea (46% N) and 0.45 g potassium sulphate (48 % K₂O) as basic fertilization. Magnesium treatments for each soil were added after 20 days of sowing at rates of 0, 10, 20 and 30 mg Mg / kg soil as follows:-

- Control plants received no magnesium fertilizers.
- 0.07 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 50 kg fed.⁻¹ MgSO₄. 7H₂O (20 % Mg and 14 % S).
- 0.14 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 100 kg fed.⁻¹ MgSO₄ . 7H₂O (20 % Mg and 14 % S).
- 0.21 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 150 kg fed.⁻¹ MgSO₄ · 7H₂O (20 % Mg and 14 % S).
- 0.07 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 104 kg fed.⁻¹ Mg (NO₃)₂ (9.6 % Mg and 18.9 % N).
- 0.14 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 208 kg fed.⁻¹ Mg (NO₃)₂ (9.6 % Mg and 18.9 % N).
- 0.21 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 312 kg fed.⁻¹ Mg (NO₃)₂ (9.6 % Mg and 18.9 % N).
- 0.07 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 20.7 kg fed.⁻¹ Mg O (48.2 % Mg).
- 0.14 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 41.4 kg fed.⁻¹ Mg O (48.2 % Mg).
- 0.21 g Mg pot⁻¹ equal 62.1 kg fed.⁻¹ Mg O (48.2 % Mg).

Plant harvested at 21th of February, 2014 separately of each pot. Plant samples were measured for some growth parameters, i.e., plant height (cm) and shoot fresh (g pot⁻¹). All plant samples were washed with distilled water, air-dried and divided into two parts. The first part was dried at 105 °C to determined the dry matter (shoot dry yield as g pot⁻¹). Then the relative change of these growth parameters (plant height, fresh weight and dry weight) and agronomical efficiency (mg / mg Mg) for Mg fertilization treatments were calculated. On the other hand, the second part was oven-dried at 70 °C, weighted, ground and digested for chemical determinations according to Chapman and Pratt (1978). Nitrogen, P, K and Mg concentrations were determined in the digested plants according to the methods described by Cottenie *et al.* (1982). Then the nutrient uptake was accordingly calculated. The obtained data were exposed to proper statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using Minitab computer program and least significant difference (L.S.D.) were calculated at level of 5 % (Barbara and Brain, 1994).

The relative change (RC) of barley plants (plant height, fresh and dry weight) was calculated as follows:-

RC = { (treated plants) - (untreated plants) / (untreated plants) }x 100.

The agronomical efficiency (AE) was calculated according to Sisworo *et al.* (1990) as follows :-

 $AE = \{ (dry matter yield of treated plants as mg / pot) - (dry matter yield of untreated plants as mg / pot) \}/ added Mg as mg / pot.$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Growth Parameters :

Data presented in Table (4) show plant height (cm) and both fresh and dry weights (g pot⁻¹) of barley shoots in relation with Mg sources and rates. It was clearly that increasing the rates of Mg fertilizers increased significantly growth parameters, i.e. plant height, fresh and dry weights of barley plants. The rate of increases were varied between different Mg sources and rates. These increases show the enhanced effect of Mg on plant growth may be due to its important role on some biochemical processes and enzymes activity within plant tissues. Also Mg played a major role of chlorophyll formation by plant leaves. Positive effect of Mg on dry biomass accumulation and plant height can be attributed to its role in photosynthesis, as a carrier of phosphorus, improvement of nutrient uptake, sugar synthesis and starch translocation (Marschner, 2003 and Cakmak and Yazici, 2010). These data are in harmony with those obtained by Abou El-Nour and Shaaban (2012); EI-Fouly et al. (2010) and El-Metwally et al. (2010).

RACHANCA AT NORIA	V highte to manhaeilin	1 TARTINIZSTIAN UNMAR	candy colle condition
			sandy soils condition

	Soil (A)		S	Soil 1				Soil 2				Soil 3	
Mg treat	ments												
Sources (B)	Rates (C) (mg/kg)	Pla heig (cn	ht w	Fresh veight g/pot)	Dry weight (g/pot)	Pla heig (cm	ht	Fresh weight (g/pot)	Dry weight (g/pot)	he	ant ight :m)	Fresh weight (g/pot)	Dry weight (g/pot)
	0	16.	8	4.11	2.08	12.	8	6.27	3.30	1	7.1	6.16	2.95
MgO	10	22.	7	4.65	2.18	17.	9	6.38	3.65	19	9.0	7.04	3.51
ž	20	23.	5	4.95	2.34	18.	8	7.13	3.80	19	9.9	7.27	3.66
	30	25.	9	5.84	2.40	20.	1	7.61	3.93	2	0.8	8.10	3.75
Mea	an	22.	2	4.89	2.25	17.	4	6.85	3.67	19	9.2	7.14	3.47
)2	0	16.	8	4.11	2.08	12.	8	6.27	3.30	1	7.1	6.16	2.95
10 ³	10	24.		5.15	2.55	19.		7.63	3.80		0.2	7.36	3.83
Mg(NO ₃) ₂	20	26.	9	5.98	2.86	20.	3	8.69	4.17	2	3.5	7.76	3.98
2	30	27.	5	6.16	3.22	22.	1	9.44	4.57	24	4.7	8.63	4.39
Mea			24.0 5.35		2.68	18.	6	8.01	3.96	3.96 21.		7.48	3.79
	0	0 16.8 4.11 2.08 12.8 6.27 3.30		3.30	0 17.1		6.16	2.95					
MgSO4	10	22.	2	I.75 2.24 17.5 6.83 3.73 20.0 7.1		7.11	3.67						
Mg	20	24.	8	5.31	2.66	.66 19.6 7.27 4.12 20.9 7.61		7.61	3.90				
	30	26.			7.85	4.13							
Mea	an	22.	6	4.98	2.52	17.6 7.23 3.85				19	9.9	7.18	3.66
					Gen	eral r	near	ſ					
Growth			А				В					С	
paramete		1 5	Soil 2	Soil 3	MgO		lg) ₃) ₂	MgSO	4 O		10	20	30
Plant heig	ght 22.9	3 1	7.87	20.17	19.60	21	.32	20.03	15.56	5	20.38	3 22.02	23.33
Fresh weight	Fresh 5.07 7.36 7.26 6		6.29	6.9	94	6.46	5.51		6.32	6.88	7.54		
-	weight		3.13	3.4	47	3.34	2.77		3.24	3.49	3.74		
			L.S.	D. at	t 0.0	5							
	Growth A B C		A	В		AC	E	BC		AB	С		
Plant heig	ght 0.0	44	0.044	0.051	1 0.0	76	(0.088	0.	088	3	0.1	52
Fresh weight		46	0.046				(0.091	0.	091	l	0.1	57
Dry weig		34	0.034	0.039	9 0.0	58	(0.067	0.	067	7	0.1	16

 Table (4): Plant height (cm), fresh and dry weight (g/pot) of barley plants grown in different soils as affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers.

Data in Tables (4 and 5) showed that, all growth parameters under study, i.e., plant height (cm), fresh and dry weights increased significantly with the increase of added Mg fertilizers. These data also show that, in different soils under study, barley plants appeared high response to Mg fertilizers where the rate of this response varied from soil to another depending on soil physical and chemical properties and its content of different Mg forms, especially the content of available Mg. So, with different Mg treatments, the obtained values of relative changes "RC" of plant height take the order : soil 2 >soil 1 >soil 3, while these values with the fresh and dry weights were arranged as follows: soil 1 > soil 3 > soil 2and soil 3 > soil 1 > soil 2, respectively.

In addition, the values of plant height, fresh and dry shoots of barley plants as presented in Tables (4 and 5) varied widely with the used sources of Mg fertilizers, where the highest values of these three parameters were found in the plants fertilized by Mg $(NO_3)_2$ followed by MgSO₄. This variation was attributed to added N and S as a main composition of Mg $(NO_3)_2$ and MgSO₄, where N and S as macronutrients play a major role on plant growth and many biochemical processes activity within different plant tissues (Marschner, 2003 and Basak, 2006).

 Table (5): Relative changes (RC, %) of plant height, fresh and dry weight of barley plants grown in different soils as affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers.

	Soil (A)		Soil 1			Soil 2			Soil 3	
Mg treatments Sources (C)				-	Relative	changes	(RC, %)			
	Rates (C) (mg/kg)	Plant height	Fresh weight	Dry weight	Plant height	Fresh weight	Dry weight	Plant height	Fresh weight	Dry weight
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0 0	10	35.12	13.14	4.81	39.84	1.72	10.61	11.11	14.29	18.98
Ŵ	20	39.88	20.44	12.50	46.88	13.72	15.15	16.37	18.02	24.07
	30	54.17	42.09	15.38	57.03	21.37	19.09	21.64	31.49	27.12
Mean		43.06	25.22	10.90	47.92	12.27	14.95	16.37	21.27	23.39
2	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IO ₃)	10	47.62	25.30	22.60	50.00	21.69	15.15	18.13	19.48	29.83
1g(N	20	60.12	45.50	37.50	58.59	38.60	26.36	37.43	25.97	34.92
2	30	63.69	49.88	54.81	72.66	50.56	38.48	44.44	40.10	48.81
Mea	an	57.14	40.23	38.30	60.42	36.95	26.66	33.33	28.52	37.85
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
[↑] OSbW 20		32.14	15.57	7.69	36.72	8.93	13.03	16.96	15.42	24.41
Mg(20	47.62	29.20	27.88	53.13	15.95	24.85	22.22	23.54	32.20
	30	58.93	39.90	48.56	60.16	36.20	28.18	26.90	27.44	40.00
Mea	an	46.23	28.22	28.04	50.00	20.36	22.02	22.03	22.13	32.20

The calculated values of relative changes (RC,%) of the studied growth parameters in relation to the studied treatments were recorded in Table (5). These values showed that, the values of RC were positive and increased with the increase of added Mg in the three sources for all growth parameters under study in the three soils. The highest RC values for each growth parameters were found with the plants fertilized by Mg $(NO_3)_2$ and the lowest values were found in the plants fertilized by MgO for all growth parameters. The positive values of RC concluded that, loamy sand soils must be fertilized with Mg especially in the form of NO_3 or SO_4 . These findings are in agreement with those obtained by Basak (2006) and El-Fouly et al. (2010).

Data presented in Table (6) showed the calculated values of agronomical efficiency (AE) of different Mg sources (mg / mg Mg) under different three soils. The highest AE values were found with the low rate of added Mg mostly, however these values were decreased with the increase of added Mg. These findings means that , low rates of added Mg have a high efficiency compared with that of high application rates. Also, these findings were found in the three soils and three sources of Mg fertilizers. Also, data showed that, at the same rate of added Mg in the three soils the high values of AE were found in the plants fertilized with Mg $(NO_3)_2$ followed by fertilized with MgSO₄. This trend was in harmony with the enhanced effect of NO₃ and SO₄ on plant growth. In addition, the values of AE varied widely from soil to another where the highest values of AE were found with the plants grown on soil 3 which characterized with high CEC and content of available Mg, while the lowest values were found in the plants grown on soil 1. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Badran *et al.* (2004) ; Basak (2006) and Abou El-Nour and Shaaban (2012).

Nitrogen (N) Content:

Data presented in Table (7) showed the concentration and uptake of N by shoots of barley plants as affected by both sources and rates of Mg. Both N concentration and uptake increased with the increase of added Mg in the three sources for all soils. These increases may be due to the enhanced effect of Mg on plant growth and activity rate of many biological processes and enzymes. The high N content was found in the plants fertilized with Mg $(NO_3)_2$, but the lowest one was found in the plants fertilized with MgO. Also, the plants grown on soil 3 which characterized by adequate content of N was higher in the concentration and uptake of N than that of the plants grown on soil 1 which characterized by deficiency of N. This trend was observed with the three sources of Mg and different application rates. These findings means that, Mg application have a clear effect on N concentration and uptake, where this effect depending on Mg source and its application rate and also on soil properties. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Bohri et al. (2000) ; Marschner (2003) and Abou El-Nour and Shaaban (2012).

Added		Soil 1			Soil 2			Soil 3	
rates (mg / kg)	MgO	Mg (NO ₃) ₂	MgSO ₄	MgO	Mg (NO ₃) ₂	MgSO ₄	MgO	Mg (NO ₃) ₂	MgSO ₄
10	1.43	6.71	2.29	5.00	7.14	6.14	8.00	12.57	10.29
20	1.86	5.57	4.14	3.57	6.21	5.86	5.07	7.36	6.79
30	1.52	5.43	4.81	3.00	6.05	4.43	3.81	6.86	5.62
Mean	1.60	5.90	3.75	3.86	6.47	5.48	5.63	8.93	7.57

Table (6) : Agronomical efficiency (AE, mg mg⁻¹) of barley plants grown in different soils as effected by Mg fertilizers .

	tertili									_		_	_		
	Soil (A))	S	oil 1				Sc	oil 2					Soil 3	
Sources (B)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		RC (%)												
0	0	0.1			- 10.38					21	- .67				- 24.35
MgO	20	0.2	2 5	.15	30.38	0.	.24	9.	12	38	3.18	0.2	5	9.15	40.99
Меа													-		61.79 42.38
					-					00	-				-
Mg(NO ₃) ₂		0.2										7 0.23 8.07 8 0.25 9.15 5 0.28 10.50 3 0.25 8.55 0.22 6.49 8 0.26 9.96 7 0.29 11.54 76 0.31 13.61 7 0.27 10.40 0 0.25 9.18 7 0.27 10.53 3 0.29 11.98 0 0.26 9.55		53.47	
Mg(h			-		88.35 0.28 11.68 76.97 0.29 11.54		77.81 109.71								
Mea								5 10.16 71.97 0.27 10.40		80.33					
INCO						00.55									
04					- 18.99					30	-).00				- 41.45
MgSO ₄															62.25 84.59
Mea															62.76
					Gei	nera	al me	ean							
			А				B	3						С	
N conte	content		3 MgQ	D			MgS	D 4	0		10	20	30		
Conc	nc. 0.22 0.24 0.26		6 0.23				0.24	4	0.20 0.).23	3 0.26	0.27		
Uptak	Jptake 5.67 9.33 9.50) 7.20	5	9.04	4	8.19	9	5.6	8 7	7.54	9.08	10.36		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L.S	S.D	at 0.	05									
N conte		А	В	С		В			AC			BC		AB	
	Conc. 0.005 0.005 0.005)08)82			009 091			0.009 0.091		0.016 0.158			
Uptake 0.046 0.046 0.053 0.082				0.0	091		U	1.091		0.1	00				

Table (7): Nitrogen concentration (%), uptake (mg/pot) and its relative change (RC, %) of barley plants grown in different soils as affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers.

The calculated values of RC of N uptake as recorded in Table (7) showed that, RC values of N uptake by shoots of barley plants were positive for all Mg treatments in different soils, where these values were increased with the increase of added Mg. This means that, the high rates of added Mg have a high efficiency on N uptake by plants compared with low application rates. These findings were observed with the three Mg sources in the three soils. Also, the treatments of Mg $(NO_3)_2$ have a high RC values of N uptake compared with those found with either of MgSO₄ or MgO at different application rates in the three soils. With most Mg treatments, the plants grown on soil 3 gave a high RC values of N uptake, while soil 1 gave a low RC values. These findings were attributed to varied in soil properties and their contents of available

Response of barley plants to magnesium fertilization under sandy soils condition

Mg. These results are in agreement with those found by Bohri *et al.* (2000) ; Marschner (2003) and Abou El-Nour and Shaaban (2012).

Phosphorus (P) Content:

Phosphorus concentration (%) and uptake (mg / pot) by shoots of barley plants in relation to Mg fertilization in the studied soils were investigated and the obtained data were recorded in Table (8). It showed that, P concentration and uptake were increased with the increase of added Mg rates for the three sources. The highest uptake of P were found in the plants fertilized with Mg $(NO_3)_2$, while the lowest values were found with MgSO₄ treatments. These findings were found in the three soils. Also, the highest P concentration (%) was found in the plants grown on soil 2, while the lowest one was found in the plants grown on soil 3. This trend is in harmony with $CaCO_3$ content in soils which played a major role in P transfers to unavailable form (Marschner, 2003). On the other hand, the high P uptake was found in the plants grown on soil 2 followed by that in the plants grown on soil 3. These findings were found with the three sources of Mg at different application rates. These results are in agreement with these obtained by Badran et al. (2004) and El-Metwally et al. (2010).

Data presented in Table (8) also showed, RC (%) values of P uptake by shoots of barley plants as affected by sources and application rates of Mg in soils. These data showed that, all values of RC were positive and increased with the increase of added Mg. The found values of RC of P uptake varied widely from source to anther and different soils. With different treatments of Mg, the plants grown on soil 3 have a highest RC value of P uptake and the lowest values were found in the plants grown on soil 1. This trend was related with the obtained dry matter of barley plants in the three soils under study. These findings are in agreement with those obtained by Bohri et al. (2000) and Abou El-Nour and Shaaban (2012).

Potassium (K) Content:

Data presented in Table (9) showed that, K concentration (%) and uptake (mg / pot) were greatly affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers in soils. Both K concentration and uptake by shoots of barley plants increased clearly with the increase of added Mg for three sources and the three soils, presumably due to the enhanced effect of added Mg on plant growth. At the same rate of added Mg and in the three soils, the highest content of K was found in the plants fertilized with Mg $(NO_3)_2$ and the lowest one was associated with the treatments of MgO. This is mainly attributed to the enhancement effect of N and S applied with Mg (NO₃)₂ and MgSO₄ on plant growth and activity rates of many biochemical processes within different plant tissues (Marschner, 2003). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ding et al. (2006) and Fageria (2009). With all Mg treatments (sources and application rates), K concentration in shoots of barley plants grown on soil 3 were higher than those found in the plants grown on the two soils. This order is in harmony with the soil properties especially their content of available nutrients.

The calculated RC (%) values of K uptake by shoots of barley plants grown on three soils as affected by different sources and application rates of Mg fertilizers were recorded in Table (9). The obtained RC values of K uptake were positive in different soils and different sources of added Mg, where the values of RC of K uptake were increased with the increase added Mg. According to the found values of RC of K uptake , the tested Mg sources may be arranged as follows : Mg $(NO_3)_2 > MgSO_4 >$ MgO. Also, with all Mg treatments, the plants grown on soil 3 gave a high values of RC of K uptake followed by the plants grown on soil 1.

Magnesium (Mg) Content:

The results of Mg concentration (%) and uptake (mg / pot) by shoots of barley plants grown under different soils in relation to the studied treatments of Mg fertilization were recorded in Table (10). These data showed that, increasing rates of added Mg for three sources under different soils were associated with clear increases of both Mg concentration and uptake. The high values of Mg content was associated with the treatments of Mg (NO₃)₂ followed by that found in the plants fertilized with MgSO₄. This trend means that, the presence of N and S in the growth media or in other words application N and S to growth media increased plant response to Mg. Also this trend means that application of N and S increased Mg fertilization efficiency. With all Mg treatments, the plants grown on soil 2

have a highest values of both Mg concentration and uptake, while the lowest values were found in the plants grown on soil 1. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Hardter *et al.* (2004); El-Metwally *et al.* (2010) and Mayland and Wilkinson (2012).

Table (8): Phosphorus concentration (%), uptake (mg/pot) and its relative change (RC, %) of barley plants grown in different soils as affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers.

	Soil (A)	S	oil 1				Soil 2				Soil 3	
Mg treat					[3011 2				3011 3	
Sources (B)	Rates (C) (mg/kg	Con		otake g/pot)	RC (%)	Conc (%)		Jptake mg/pot)	RC (%		Conc. (%)	Uptake (mg/pot)	RC (%)
	0	0.1	0 2	2.08	-	0.11		3.63	-		0.09	2.66	-
MgO	10	0.1	3 2	2.83	36.06	0.16	5	5.84	60.8	88	0.12	4.21	58.27
Σ	20	0.1		8.98	91.35	0.21		7.98	119.		0.15	5.49	106.39
	30	0.2	21 5	5.04	142.31	0.24	ŀ	9.43	159.	78	0.18	6.75	153.76
Mea	an	0.1	5 3	8.48	89.91	0.18	3	6.72	113.	50	0.14	4.78	106.14
)2	0	0.1	0 2	2.08	-	0.11		3.63	-		0.09	2.66	-
Mg(NO ₃) ₂	10	0.1	2 3	8.06	47.12	0.14	ŀ	5.32	46.5	6	0.10	3.83	43.98
lg(∖	20	0.1	5 4	.29	106.25	0.19)	7.92	118.	18	0.14	5.57	109.40
≥	30	0.1	9 6	6.12	194.23	0.21		9.60 164.46		46	0.16	7.02	163.91
Mea	an	0.1	4 3	8.89	115.87	0.16	5	6.62 109.7		73	0.12	4.77	105.76
	0	0.1		2.08	-	0.11		3.63	-		0.09	2.66	-
004	10	0.1		2.69	29.33	0.13		4.85	33.6		0.12	4.40	65.41
MgSO4	20	0.1	6 4	.26	104.81	0.18	3	7.42	104.	41	0.14	5.46	105.26
	30	0.1	8 5	5.56	167.31	0.20)	8.46	133.	06	0.17	7.02	163.91
Mea	an	0.1	4 3	8.65	100.48			6.09	90.3	86	0.13	4.89	111.53
					Ger	neral r	near	n					
Decenter			Α	1			В				-	С	
P conte	ent	Soil 1	Soil 2	Soil	3 MgC)	Mg O₃)₂		O ₄	0	10	20	30
Conc		0.14	0.17	0.13	0.16	6 0	.14	0.14	4 0	.10	0.13	0.17	0.19
Uptake	e	3.67	6.47	4.81	4.99	9 5	.09	4.8	7 2	.79	4.11	5.81	7.22
					L.S	.D. at	0.0	5					
P conte	ent	А	В	С	A	В		AC			BC	AE	BC
Conc.	(0.005	0.005	0.00	5 0.0	800		0.009		0	.009	0.0	16
Uptake	e (0.046	0.046	0.05	3 0.0)79		0.092		0	.092	0.1	59

Response of barley plants to magnesium fertilization under sandy soils condition

 Table (9): Potassium concentration (%), uptake (mg/pot) and its relative change (RC, %) of barley plants grown in different soils as affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Soil (A)		0.114			0 1 0			0		
Mg treatr	nents		Soil 1			Soil 2			So	113	
Sources (B)	Rates (C) (mg/kg)	Conc. (%)	Uptake (mg/pot)	RC (%)	Conc. (%)	Uptake (mg/pot)	RC (%)	Conc. (%)	Upta (mg/		RC (%)
	0	1.58	32.86	-	1.65	54.45	-	1.68	49.	56	-
MgO	10	1.63	35.53	8.13	1.67	60.96	11.96	1.71	60.	02	21.11
Ĕ	20	1.69	39.55	20.36	1.73	65.74	20.73	1.76	64.	42	29.98
	30	1.71	41.04	24.89	1.74	68.38	25.58	1.78	66.	75	34.69
Mea	า	1.65	37.25	17.79	1.70	62.38	19.42	1.73	60.	19	28.59
7	0	1.58	32.86	-	1.65	54.45	-	1.68	49.	56	-
Mg(NO ₃ ) ₂	10	1.68	42.84	30.37	1.70	64.60	18.64	1.73	66.	26	33.70
∕lg(N	20	1.74	49.76	51.43	1.77	73.81	35.56	1.81	72.	04	45.36
2	30	1.77	56.99	73.43	1.79	81.80	50.23	1.83	80.	34	62.11
Mear	ſ	1.69	45.61	51.74	1.73	68.67	34.81	1.76	67.05 49.56		47.06
	0	1.58	32.86	-	1.65	54.45	-	1.68	49.	56	-
\$O4	10	1.60	37.18	13.15	1.68	62.66	15.08	1.73	63.	49	28.11
MgSO ₄	20	1.71	45.49	38.44	1.75	72.10	32.42	1.79	69.	81	40.86
	30	1.73	53.46	62.69	1.76	74.45	36.73	1.81			50.83
Mear	า	1.66	42.25	38.09	1.71	65.92	28.08	1.75	64.	40	39.93
				Gene	eral mea	an					
		А			В				С		
K content	Soil 1	Soil 2	2 Soil 3	Ma		30					
Conc.	1.66	1.71	1.75	1.69			1.77				
Uptake	41.62	2 65.63	63.88	53.25	60.36	5 57.5	2 45.0	62 54.8	.80 61.41		66.33
	1			L.S.[	D. at 0.0	)5	<b>I</b>				
K content	ntent A B C AB AC BC A		ABC								
Conc.			.016								
Uptake				.734							

	oring	fertilize	13.										
	Soil (A)		So	oil 1			:	Soil 2				Soil 3	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			•	RC (%)									
	0	0.64	13	.31	-	0.70	0	23.10	-	0.60		17.70	-
0	10	0.67	14	.61	9.77	0.72	2	26.28	13.77	0.64		22.46	26.89
Mg	20	0.69	16	.15	21.34	0.77	7	29.26	26.67	0.68		24.89	40.62
	30	0.74	17	.76	33.43	0.80	o	31.44	36.10	0.71		26.63	50.45
Mea	n	0.69	15	.46	21.51	0.7	5	27.52	25.51	0.66		22.92	39.32
2	$ \begin{split} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 20 & 0.69 & 16.15 & 21.34 & 0.77 & 29.26 & 26.67 & 0.68 & 24.89 & 40 \\ & 30 & 0.74 & 17.76 & 33.43 & 0.80 & 31.44 & 36.10 & 0.71 & 26.63 & 50 \\ \hline & Meam & 0.69 & 15.46 & 21.51 & 0.75 & 27.52 & 25.51 & 0.66 & 22.92 & 39 \\ & 0.69 & 15.46 & 21.51 & 0.75 & 27.52 & 25.51 & 0.66 & 22.92 & 39 \\ & 0.60 & 0.64 & 13.31 & - & 0.70 & 23.10 & - & 0.60 & 17.70 & 200 \\ \hline & 10 & 0.74 & 18.87 & 41.77 & 0.78 & 29.64 & 28.31 & 0.69 & 26.43 & 49 \\ & 20 & 0.76 & 21.74 & 63.34 & 0.82 & 34.19 & 48.01 & 0.74 & 29.45 & 66 \\ & 30 & 0.79 & 25.44 & 91.13 & 0.85 & 38.85 & 68.18 & 0.77 & 33.80 & 90 \\ \hline & Meam & 0.73 & 19.84 & 65.41 & 0.79 & 31.45 & 48.17 & 0.70 & 26.85 & 68 \\ & 0.75 & 19.95 & 49.89 & 0.80 & 32.96 & 42.68 & 0.72 & 28.08 & 58 \\ & 0.75 & 19.95 & 49.89 & 0.80 & 32.96 & 42.68 & 0.72 & 28.08 & 58 \\ & 30 & 0.77 & 23.79 & 78.74 & 0.84 & 35.53 & 53.81 & 0.74 & 30.56 & 72 \\ \hline & Meam & 0.72 & 18.24 & 49.36 & 0.78 & 30.08 & 40.27 & 0.68 & 25.23 & 56 \\ \hline \end{array}$			-									
O ₃ )2	10	0.74	18	.87	41.77	0.78	в	29.64	28.31	0.69		26.43	49.32
۹g(N	20       0.76       21.74       63.34       0.82       34.19       48.01       0.74       29.45       6         30       0.79       25.44       91.13       0.85       38.85       68.18       0.77       33.80       9			66.38									
2	30         0.79         25.44         91.13         0.85         38.85         68.18         0.77         33.8				33.80	90.96							
Mea					26.85	68.89							
	0	0.64	13	.31	-	0.70	C	23.10	-	0.60		17.70	-
004 04	10	0.71	15	.90	19.46	0.77	7	28.72	24.33	0.67		24.59	38.93
MgS	20	0.75	19	.95	49.89			58.64					
	30	0.77	23	.79	78.74	0.84	4	35.53	53.81	0.74		30.56	72.66
Mea	n	0.72	18	.24	49.36	0.78	8	30.08	40.27	0.68		25.23	56.74
					Ger	neral r	neai	n		•			
		А					В					С	
Ma content					MgO			³ MgSC	04 0		0	20	30
Conc. 0.71 0.77 0.68 0.		0.69	0.	74	0.72	0.6	64 0	71	0.74	0.77			
		21.96	26	.04	24.5	1 18.0	03 23	.05	26.29	29.31			
		L.S	.D. at	: 0.0	5		1		•				
Mg content A B C				A	В	AC			BC		AE	3C	
				5 0.0	07	0.	.008	0.008			0.015		
				0.285	5 0.4	26	0.	.493	0	.493		0.8	353
Uptake 0.246 0.246 0.285 0.4													

# Table (10): Magnesium concentration (%), uptake (mg/pot) and its relative change (RC, %) of barley plants grown in different soils as affected by sources and rates of Mg fertilizers.

The calculated RC (%) values of Mg uptake by barley plants in relation to Mg fertilization within different sources and different application rates for the three soils were listed in Table (10). With all treatments under study, all RC values of Mg uptake were positive and increased with the increase of added Mg. The highest RC values of Mg uptake were found in the plants fertilized with Mg (NO₃)₂ followed by MgSO₄ treatments. Also, at the same treatment of Mg, the highest RC values of Mg uptake were found in the plants grown on soil 3 , while the lowest values were found in the plants grown on soil 2.

## Conclusion:

The obtained results from this study concluded that, Mg fertilizers must be added to sandy soils of Egypt, where the barley plants grown on these soils appeared to high response to Mg fertilization. Where, the response of barley plants to Mg fertilization was increases when Mg applied in nitrate and sulphate forms.

#### REFERENCES

- Abou Aziz, A. B., M. F. Mostafa, N. R. Samara and A. M. El-Tanahy (2000). Nutritional studies on banana plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25 : 433 -439.
- Abou El-Nour, E. A. A. and M. M. Shaaban (2012). Response of wheat plants to magnesium sulphate fertilization. Am. J. of Plant Nutrition and Fertilization Technology, 2 (2): 56 - 63.
- Badran, M. M., M. O. Easa, M. Abd El-Warth and M. I. Raslan (2004). Effect of N and Mg application on wheat plant grown on calcareous soil. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 29 (6): 1493 - 1502.
- Barbara, F. R. and L. J. Brain (1994). " Minitab Hand Book ". Duxbury press. An Imprint of Wad Sworth Publish. Comp. Belonont California.
- Basak, R. K. (2006). "Fertilizers" Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana-New Delhi, Nodia (U. P.), Hyderabad, Chennai, Calcutta, Cuttack.

- Bohri, A. R., M. R. Karaman, M. T. Topeas, A. Aktas and E. Savasu (2000). Effect of potassium and magnesium fertilization on yield and nutrient content of rice crop grown on artificial siltation soil. Turk. J. Agric. For., 24 : 429 - 435.
- Cakmak, I. and A. M. Yazici (2010). Magnesium : a forgotten element in crop production. Better Crops. 94 : 23 - 25.
- Chapman, H. O. and P. E. Pratt (1978) ." Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water ". California Univ., Div. Agric. Sci., Davis, California, USA.
- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kiekens, G. Velghe and R. Camerlyek (1982). " Chemical Analysis of Plants and Soils ". Laboratory of Analytical and Agro chemistry, State Univ. Ghent, Belgium.
- Ding, Y., W. Luo and G. Xu (2006). Characterization of magnesium nutrition and interaction of magnesium and potassium in rice. Ann. Appl. Biol. 149 : 111 - 123.
- El-Fouly, M. M., A. I. Rezk, O. A. Nofal and E. A. A. Abou El-Nour (2010). Depletion of magnesium in Egyptian soils, its content in crops and estimated needs. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 5 : 1060 - 1067.
- EI-Metwally, A. E. I., F. E. Abdalla, A. M. El-Saady, S. A. Safina and S. S. El-Sawy (2010). Response of wheat to magnesium and copper foliar feeding under sandy soil condition. J. Am. Sci., 6 : 818 823. (C. F. Abou El-Nour *et al.*, 2012).
- Fageria, N. K. (2009). The Use of Nutrients in Crop Plants. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, London.
- FAO (2006). Guidelines for Soil Description.
   4th Ed. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Hardter, R., M. Rex and K. Orlovius (2004). Effect of different Mg fertilizer sources on the magnesium availability in soils. Nutr Cycl Agroccosyst. 70 : 249 - 259.
- Klute, A. (1986). "Methods of Soil Analysis". American Society of Agron., Inc. Soil Sci. Soc. of Amer., Inc. Madison Wisconsin, USA, 2nd Edition.

- Marschner, H. (2003). "Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants". Academic Press, Harcount Brace Janovisch Publishers. New York. P: 201 - 248.
- Mayland, H. F. and S. R. Wilkinson (2012). Soil factors affecting magnesium availability in plant – animal systems : a review. J. American Society of Animal Sci. 67 : 3437 – 3444.
- Page, A. L., R. H. Miller and D. R. Keeney (1982) ." Methods of Soil Analysis" . Part (2) , Amer. Soc. of Agron., Madison , Wisconsin , USA.
- Sisworo, E. L., D. L. Eskew, W.H. Resjidand-Sisworo, H. Kadarusman, H. Solahuddin and G. Soepardi (1990). Studies on the availability of Azolla N and urea for rice growth using N¹⁵. Plant and Soil. 128:209.

إستجابة نباتات الشعير للتسميد بالمغنسيوم تحت ظروف الأراضي الرملية

محمد محمد حماد⁽¹⁾ ، منال فتحي طنطاوي⁽¹⁾ ، وإئل محمد ندا⁽²⁾ ⁽¹⁾ معهد بحوث الأراضي , المياه و البيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر ⁽²⁾ قسم علوم الأراضي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية – شبين الكوم – مصر

## الملخص العربى

يعتبر المغنسيوم عنصر ضروري لنمو النباتات و لهذا أجريت هذه الدراسة كتجربة أصص بالمزرعة البحثية الكلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية بشبين الكوم خلال موسم شتاء 2013 / 2014. و ذلك لدراسة إستجابة نباتات الشعير لإضافة ثلاث صور مختلفة من أسمدة المغنسيوم ( أكسيد المغنسيوم 28.2 ٪ مغ, نترات المغنسيوم 6,6 الشعير لإضافة ثلاث صور مختلفة من أسمدة المغنسيوم ( أكسيد المغنسيوم 28.2 ٪ مغ, نترات المغنسيوم 6, 30 ٪ مغ + 12 ٪ كب) بمعدلات إضافة صفر , 10 , 20 و 30 ٪ مغ + 12 ٪ كب) بمعدلات إضافة صفر , 10 , 20 و 30 محم مغ / كجم أرض. و ذلك تحت ظروف ثلاث أراضي ذات قوام طمي رملي و لكنها مختلفة في خواصها محم مغ / كجم أرض. و ذلك تحت ظروف ثلاث أراضي ذات قوام طمي رملي و لكنها مختلفة في خواصها محل الطبيعية , الكيميائية و أيضاً محتواها من بعض المغذيات الكبري. لهذا جمعت ثلاث عينات من تلك الأراضي محل الدراسة كل علي حده من الطبقة السطحية ( صفر – 30 سم ) من ثلاث مناطق مختلفة من مصر و هي : مرزعة القمح , القرية 6 مدينة النوبارية – محافظة البحيرة (أرض رقم 1 و تتميز بإنخفاض الملوحة و المغنسيوم ), غرب قرية يعد الحليم محمود – محافظة البحيرة (أرض رقم 1 و تتميز بإنخفاض الملوحة و المغنسيوم ), غرب قرية عبد الحليم محمود – محافظة البحيرة (أرض رقم 2 و تتميز بإنخفاض الملوحة و المغنيوم ), الميس إ غرب قرية عبد الحليم محمود – محافظة البحيرة وأرض رقم 2 و تتميز بإنخفاض الملوحة و المغنسيوم مزرعة الميس ), غرب قرية عبد الحليم محمود – محافظة البحيرة (أرض رقم 2 و تتميز بإنخفاض الملوحة و المغنسيوم الميس ), أحمد غرب غرب خط 4 – محافظة اللميس و قم 3 و تتميز بإرتفاع الملوحة و كربونات الكالسيوم ). أحمد عرابي – جنوب خط 4 – محافظة القامرة ( أرض رقم 3 و تتميز بإرتفاع الملوحة و كربونات الكالسيوم ). أحمد عرابي – جنوب خط 4 – محافظة القامرة ( أرض رقم 3 و تتميز بإرتفاض المغذيات) و معين الكاسيوم ). و مالي أراضي الثلاث قدر فيها بعض الخواص الطبيعية و الكيميائية و أيضاً محتواها من بعض المغذيات الكبري و و الأراضي الثلاث قدر فيها بعض الخواص الطبيعية و الكيميائية و أيضاً محتواها من بعض المغذيات الكبري و و الأراضي الثلاث مدر مو أرجين الخواص الطبيعية و الكيميائية و أيضاً محتواها من بعض المغذيات الكبري و و الأراضي الثلاث فدر فيها بعض الخواص الطبيعية و الكيميائية و أيضاً محتواها من بعض المغذيات الكبري

وأشارت النتائج المتحصل عليها إلى زيادة معنوية في كل من إرتفاع النبات (سم) و الوزنين الطازج و الجاف (جم / أصيص ) لنبات الشعير مع زيادة معدل إضافة المغنسيوم و كانت أعلى القيم المتحصل عليها لهذه القياسات و كذلك قيم التغير النسبي لها كنسبة مئوية ( ٪ ) لقيم الكنترول موجوداً في النباتات المسمدة بنترات المغنسيوم تلاها في ذلك القيم الخاصة بمعاملات كبريتات المغنسيوم و ذلك في الأراضي الثلاثة محل الدراسة.

#### Response of barley plants to magnesium fertilization under sandy soils condition

عند نفس معاملة المغنسيوم كانت أعلي القيم للوزنين الطازج و الجاف موجودة في النباتات النامية علي الأرض رقم 2 , بينما كانت أقل هذه القيم موجودة في النباتات النامية علي الأرض رقم 1. و لقد إختلفت الكفاءة المحصولية (مجم/ مجم) لأسمدة المغنسيوم الثلاثة التي تحت الدراسة و ذلك عند معدلات الإضافة المختلفة , حيث إختلفت (مجم/ مجم) لأسمدة المغنسيوم الثلاثة التي تحت الدراسة و ذلك عند معدلات الإضافة المختلفة , حيث إختلفت (مجم/ مجم) لأسمدة المغنسيوم الثلاثة التي تحت الدراسة و ذلك عند معدلات الإضافة المختلفة , حيث إختلفت (مجم/ مجم) لأسمدة المغنسيوم الثلاثة التي تحت الدراسة و ذلك عند معدلات الإضافة المختلفة , حيث إختلفت هذه القيم من سماد إلى آخر و كذلك من أرض إلى أخري. و كانت أعلي القيم المتحصل عليها للكفاءة المحصولية عند إضافة نترات المغنسيوم خاصة عند معدلات الإضافة المنخفضة. و في كل الأراضي تحت الدراسة , إزداد كل من التركيز ( ٪ ) و الممتص (مجم / أصيص ) من كل من النيتروجين, الفوسفور , البوتاسيوم و المغنسيوم و المعتص منها ( ٪ ) بزيادة المضاف من المغنسيوم. و كان أعلي محتوي من هذه المغذيات و كذلك التغير النسبي للممتص منها ( ٪ ) بزيادة المضاف من المغنسيوم و كان أعلي محتوي من هذه المغذيات و كذلك التغيرات النسبية لها مصاحباً لمعاملات نترات المغنسيوم و لمن المغريرات و كان أعلي محتوي المغنيوم و المغنسيوم و المنتوم و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغنيو و المركين و المركيز و المنص ) في كل من الفوسفور , المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و و المغنسيوم و المغاملات نترات المغنسيوم و المنص ) في كل من الفوسفور , البواسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغام و النباتات النامية علي الأرض رقم 2 , بينما أقل هذه القيم وجد في الراضي الموسفور ، و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و المغنسيوم و الميام وجر أرض أرض رقم 1 . و أخيراً بناءاً علي الأرض رقم 2 , بينما أقل هذه القيم وجد في النباتات النامية علي الأرض رقم 2 , بينما أقل هذه القيم وجد في النباتات النامية علي الأرض رقم 3 . و أخيراً بناءاً علي النتائية المحصل عليها , توصي الدراسة بضرورة قسمي الرماني قل مي صور بالمغنسيوم خاصة في صورة نترات أو كيريتات المخسيوم و ذلك لزيادة و حاصية في مورة ن

	. come physical properties of the det							
			Partic	les size di	stribution (	%)		Saturation
Soil No.	Location	Governorate	Coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	Clay	Texture grade	percent (SP)
1	Wheat Farm, Village 6, El-Nubariya City	Beheira	7.9	64.1	17.2	10.8	Loamy sand	26
2	West Abd El- Haleem Mahmoud Village	Beheira	5.7	74.3	11.6	8.4	Loamy sand	22
3	Ahmed Oraby Society, South line 4	Cairo	8.2	62.8	18.3	10.7	Loamy sand	26

Table (1): Some physical properties of the used soils.

#### Table (2) : Some chemical properties of the used soils.

	рH				S	ioluble ic	ons** ( me	eq I ⁻¹ )					
Soil No.	1:2.5(soil :water	EC [*] dSm ⁻¹		Ca	tions		Anions				OM (g kg ⁻¹ )	CaCO₃ (g kg⁻¹)	CEC (cmol _e kg ⁻¹ )
	susp.)		Na⁺	K⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Cl	CO ₃ ⁼	HCO ₃ ⁻	SO4=	(3.3)		(
1	7.75	0.83	5.05	0.60	2.56	0.134	3.84		1.10	3.40	6.2	18.0	4.87
2	7.70	2.08	7.65	1.40	11.16	0.638	14.54		1.51	4.80	2.2	30.4	6.12
3	7.70	12.73	90.52	5.64	29.06	2.102	77.26		2.06	48.00	9.4	89.6	7.64

EC* in soil paste extract, Soluble ions** in the soil paste extract, SO4⁼ was calculated by difference.

Table (3) : The content ( mg kg	of some available macronutrients and different Mg forms and its percentage of total Mg of the	ıe
used soils.		

Soil	Available N	Available P	Available K	Total Mg	Solub	le Mg	Availat	ole Mg	Exchange	able Mg	Fixed	Mg
No.	( mg kg ⁻¹ )	( mg kg ⁻¹ )		(mg kg ⁻¹ )	(mg kg ⁻¹ )	(%) of total Mg	(mg kg ⁻¹ )	(%) of total Mg	(mg kg ⁻¹ )	(%) of total Mg	(mg kg ⁻¹ )	(%) of total Mg
1	10.21	5.15	120.32	762.7	6.2	0.813	34.2	4.48	28.0	3.67	728.5	95.5
2	8.34	3.85	107.30	3088.3	34.8	1.127	149.4	4.84	114.6	3.71	2938.9	95.2
3	29.33	8.71	131.54	5265.7	97.0	1.842	397.4	7.55	300.4	5.71	4868.3	92.5